#### GROWTH PROMOTION OF SOME SOYBEAN CULTIVARS PHOSPHATE-SOLUBILIZING AND RHIZOBILIM RY BACTERIA

Zaved. A.

Soil and Water Scis. Dept., Fac. of Agric. Suez Canal Univ.

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out during 2001 at the Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the response of four soybean (Glycine max (L) Merrill) cultivars, Giza 21, Giza 35, Crawford and Clark, grown on sandy soil inoculated with rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB). Also, it includes the effects of each and both bacterial inoculation on root, shoot and seed N, P, protein and oil contents.

Roots, straw dry weights, seed yield, 100-seed weight, nodule fresh weights and nodule numbers were siginificantly higher for both single and combined inoculation by rizobia and/or PSB than the uninoculated seeds. Giza 35 soybean

cultivar exhibited the best results.

Roots, straw and seed N and P contents of soybean cultivars were greatly influenced by bacterial inoculation. The highest mean values for roots, straw and seed N and seed protein contents were obtained when soybean seeds were treated with the combined inoculation by rhizobium and PSB as compared with the single inoculation or uninoculated seeds. Meanwhile, the highest mean values for roots, straw and seed P content were obtained for soybean seeds inoculated with PSB alone as compared with the inoculated seeds with rhizobium alone or combined inoculation by rhizobium and PSB. Crawford soybean cultivar gave the highest root N and P contents while Giza 21 cultivar gave the highest straw and seed N and P contents. There were no significant differences in seed oil content as a result of bacreial inoculation. Slight differences in seed oil content were found regarding the variation in sovbean cultivars.

keywords: sandy soil, soybean cultivars, Rhizobium, phosphate-solubilizing bacteria

## INTRODUCTION

Soybean (Glycine max (L) Merrill) is the world's most important oil seed and grain legume crop. Special attention should be directed toward the propen choice of cultivars and management practices to increase both grain yield and oil production. Inoculation of soybean with superior N2-fixing strains of rhizobia resulted in increasing nodulation in plant roots and improving N2 fixation. Several investigators found that seed inoculation increased fresh plant weight, seed yield and seed oil and protein contents Boonkerd et.al., (1978) and Badawy et. al., (1992).

The availability of phosphate anions in soil has been associated with the presence and activity of soil microorganisms; Chabot et.al., (1996a). Many factors influence the distribution of microbial communities in the soil and the rhizosphere such as the roots morphology, the stage of plant growth, and the

soil physical and chemical properties.

A considerable number of bacterial species, mostly those associated with the plant rhizosphere, are able to exert a beneficial effect upon plant growth. Therefore, their use as biofertilizers or control agents for agriculture improvement has been a focus of numerous researchers for a number of years; Lemanceau,(1992),Kloepper,(1994);and Glick,(1995). Phosphate-solubilizing bacteria have increased roots and shoot elongation in canola, lettuce, and tomato; Hall et. al., (1996) and Glick et. al., (1997) as well as crop yields for potato, radishes, rice, sugar beet, tomato, lettuce, apple, citrus, beans, ornamental plants, and wheat; Lemanceau,(1992), Kloepper,

(1994), and Kloepper et. al., (1988).

It is well known that microbial biomass contains a large reservoir of P. which is potentially available to plants; Beever and Burns, (1980) as a result of mineralization process. However, insoluble inorganic P associated with the solid phase can be adsorbed to the surfaces of soil constituents or can be present in Ca, Fe, or Al minerals. Mineral P is further released and made available to plants mostly by the action of the phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms; Kucey and Legett (1989). An increase in P availability to plants through the inoculation of phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms has been reported in pot experiments; Kucey and Leggett, (1989), Salih et. al.(1989) and under field conditions; Chabot et. al. (1996b) and Kucey and Leggett,(1989). Recently, the addition of rock phosphate significantly increased N, P, total plant biomass, infection by vascular arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi, and the number of nodules of legume species under field conditions; Vanlauwe et. al.(2000). Nevertheless, little is known about the colonization of the rhizosphere by the introduced mineral phosphate solubilizing microorganisms and their impact on the size of rhizospheric microbial communities when different phosphate sources are used in a plantsoil system.

In a soybean greenhouse experiment, Suwastika (1997) found that there is an interaction effect between rock phosphate application and phosphate solubizing bacteria on phosphorus uptake 45 days after planting. The single effect of rock phosphate application and phosphate solubilizing

bacteria was significant on soluble phosphate.

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the response of four soybean (*Glycine max* (L) Merrill) cultivars (Giza 21, Giza 35, Crawford and Clark) grown on sandy soil to single or combined inoculation with rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB). Also, the study evalutes the effects of R and PSB on roots, shoot and seed contents of N and P.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was carried out during 2001 at the Experimental Farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the response of four soybean (*Glycine max* (L) Merrill) cultivars (Giza 21, Giza 35, Crawford and Clark) grown on sandy soil inoculated with rhizobium (R) and phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB). Also, it includes the effects of each and both bacterial inoculation on roots, shoot, seed N , P contents and oil content. Table (1) represent some characteristics of the sandy soil.

The soil was treated with superphosphate (15.5% P2O5) at rate of

50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> before sowing.

Table (1). Some characteristics of the used sandy soil

7.30
1.2
0.10
0.03
0.11
0.35
62.6
31.3
3.5
2.6
Sandy

The plot area was 4m x 4m. Soybean seeds were sown on furrows 4 m long and 60 cm between furrows. The seeds were placed in holes 15 cm apart at one bank of the furrow. Plants were thinned to 2 plants per hole after

The single inoculation with R or PSB was carried out by mixing cell germination. suspension from each inoculum with soybean seeds. For the combined bacterial inoculation, Equal amounts of cell suspension for R and PSB were mixed and soybean seeds were treated with the mixture. After germination, nitrogen as  $(NH_4)_2SO_4$  (20.5% N) and potassium as  $K_2SO_4$  (48%  $K_2O$ ) were added to the soil, 40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> each .The bio- and chemical fertilization treatments were as the follows:

- 1. Soil (control)
- 2. Soil + Rhizobium (R)
- 3. Soil + phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB)
- 4. Soil + R + PSB

At the end of pod filling stage, root samples were taken to determine nodule numbers and weights. Root samples were collected and washed from soil particles on 1 mm sieve holes for 24 h. Nodules were carefully removed and freshly weighed. At full maturity, yields of soybean shoots, pods and seeds were determined. Plant samples were oven dried at 70 °C, ground, digested and analyzed for roots, shoot and seed N and P contents according to Chapman and Pratt (1961). Seed oil content was determined by using Soxhlet a pparatus according to AOAC (1975). Soil samples were prepared and analyzed according to Page et.al. (1982). Split-plot design with three replicates was used and Plabstat version 2D computer program was used for statistical analysis.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The obtained results for roots and straw dry weights, as well as seed yield and 100-seed weight of four soybean cultivars grown on a sandy soil as affected by single or combined inocualtion by rhizobia and/or phosphatesolubilizing bacteria (PSB) are shown in Table (2). The forementioned 4 determined parameters for the investigated soybean cultivars siginificantly increased compared to the single or combined inoculation by rhizobia and/or PSB compared with the uninoculated plants. The highest mean values were obtained for inoculated soybean seeds with combined bacterial inoculation. There are significant differences between soybean cultivars. However, roots and straw dry weights as well as seed vield and 100-seed weight varied between Giza 21 and Giza 35 cultivars between 757.5 and 885.5, 3153.5 and 3686.0. 2607.3 and 3047.5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and 16.6 and 17.4 g, respectively.

Several investigators obtained similar results for the effect of rhizobium and PSB inoculation on the soybean yield and plant growth characters. El-Essawi et.al.. (1986) found that the increase in seed yield for inoculated soybean with rhizobium was more pronounced at low nitrogen application rates beside P and K fertilizers were added. Also, Atmaja (1997) and Rahmani and Rastin (2001) reported that soybean shoot dry matter. seed yield and total N uptake increased as result of rhizobium inoculation.

Results obtained for the numbers of nodules and their weights for the four soybean cultivars increased as a result of rhizobium and/or phosphate solubilizing bacterial inoculation, Table (3). Similar trends were obtained for the 4 determined plant growth parameters. The highest mean values for the numbers and weights of nodules were obtained for treated soybean seeds with the combined rhizobium and PSB inoculants. Also, Giza 35 cultivar exhibited the highest response to bacterial inoculation than the other 3 soybean cultivars.

Table (2): Roots and straw dry weights as well as seed yield and 100seed weight for four soybean cultivars affected by rhizobium

and	phosphate s	olubilizin	g bacterial in	noculation			
Treatments	Giza 21	Giza 35	Crawford	Clark	Mean		
	Roots dry weight, kg ha						
Control	589	651	573	539	588.0		
" +R	806	992	887	934	904.8		
" + PSB	713	841	725	667	761.4		
* + R +PSB	922	1058	696	1025	1000.3		
Mean	757.5	885.5	795.3	816.2	813.6		
	Straw dry weight, kg ha <sup>-1</sup>						
Control	2452	2711	2388	2243	2448.5		
* +R	3355	4129	3694	3887	3766.3		
" + PSB	2968	3500	3017	3194	3169.8		
" + R +PSB	2839	4404	4146	4268	4164.3		
Mean	3153.5	3686.0	3311.3	3398.0	3387.2		
	Seed yield, kg ha <sup>-1</sup>						
Control	2027	2241	1974	1854	2024.0		
* + R	2774	3414	3054	3214	3114.0		
" + PSB	2454	2894	2494	2641	2620.8		
" + R +PSB	3174	3641	2428	3528	3442.8		
Mean	2607.3	3047.5	2737.5	2809.3	2800.4		
4	100-seed weight, g						
Control	12.9	14.3	12.6	12.3	13.0		
" + R	17.6	21.8	19.5	20.5	19.8		
" + PSB	15.6	18.4	15.9	16.8	16.7		
" + R +PSB	20.3	23.2	21.8	22.5	22.0		
Mean	16.6	19.4	17.4	18.0	17.9		
R= rhizobium			solubilizing ba	acteria			
LSD 0.05 for:	Treatment	Cultivar		Treatment X cultivar			
Roots dry weight	9.26	4.72		15.20			
Straw dry weight	4.47	9.8	35	17.02			
Seed yield	7.11	13.08		16.31			

0.79

0.86

100-seed weight

0.80

Table (3): Nodules numbers and weights for four soybean cultivars affected by rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacterial

	inoculation							
Treatments	Giza 21	Giza 35	Crawford	Clark	Mean			
.,		Nodul	es fresh weight,	g/plant				
Control	1.15	1.27	1.11	1.01	1.14			
" + R	1.64	2.01	1.86	1.82	1.83			
" + PSB	1.47	1.71	1.57	1.54	1.57			
" + R +PSE	1.87	2.13	2.09	2.07	2.04			
Mean	1.53	1.78	1.66	1.61	1.65			
		Nodu	ules numbers pe	r plant				
Control	30	32	28	26	29.0			
" + R	41	50	47	46	46.0			
" + PSB	37	43	40	39	39.8			
" + R +PSE		54	53	52	51.8			
Mean	39.0	44.8	42.0	40.8	41.7			
R= rhizobium		PSB= phosphate solubilizing bacteria						
LSD 0.05 for:		Treatment	Cultivar	Treatment	X cultivar			
Nodules fresh weight		0.012	0.011	0.03	37			
		3.70	2.25	Ns	5			

Data obtained for roots, straw and seed-N and P contents for four soybean cultivars affected by rhizobium and/or phosphate solubilizing bacterial inoculation are presented in Tables (4 and 5). The obtained results were greatly influenced by bacterial inoculation. The highest mean values for were obtained for treated soybean seeds with combined rhizobium and PSB inoculants compared with the single inoculation or uninoculated were obtained for inoculated soybean seeds with single PSB as compared with the single rhizobium inoculation or the combined rhizobium and PSB inoculation. Crawford soybean cultivar exhibited the highest roots N and P contents while Giza 21 cultivar exhibited the highest straw and seed N and P contents. Similar results were obtained by Badr El-Din et.al., (1986). Also, Suwastika (1997) found that single PSB inoculation significantly increased soybean P uptake.

Results obtained for seeds protein and oil contents for four soybean cultivars affected by rhizobium and/or phosphate solubilizing bacterial inoculation are presented in Table (6). The trend obtained for seed protein content was similar to that obtained for seed N c ontent regarding bacterial inoculation and the response soybean cultivar. Seed oil content was not significantly affected by bacreial inoculation. Slight differences in seed oil content were found among soybean cultivars. Seeds oil content mean values were 26.9, 27.0, 27.6 and 27.6% for Giza 21, Crawford, Giza 35 and Clark, respectively. Badawi and El-Moursy (1997) and Ahmed et.al., (1997) found similar results. Their highest seed yield, seed oil and protein contents of

peanut were obtained as a result of bacterial inoculation.

Co-inoculation studies with PSP and rhizobium have shown increased roots and shoot weight,  $N_2$  fixation and seed yield of soybean, Dashti et.al., (1998). Bacterial inoculants must be effective nodulators as well as good nitrogen fixers, Parmar and Dadarwal, (1999). Plant growth promotion as a result of inoculation by PSB has been attributed to the

increase in the mobilization of insoluble nutrients and subsequent enhancement of nutrient uptake by the plants, Lifshitz et.al., (1987),

Table (4): Roots, straw and seed-N contents for four soybean cultivars affected by rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacterial

	Giza 21	Giza 35	Crawford	Clark	Mean	
Treatments	0124 21	Oiza de	Roots N,%			
0 1 1	1.14	0.82	1.06	0.89	0.98	
Control	1.28	1.07	1.31	1.21	1.22	
* +R		0.96	1.17	0.92	1.06	
+ PSB	1.17	1.58	1.64	1.40	1.48	
" + R +PSB	1.30		1.30	1.11	1.19	
Mean	1.22	1.11	Straw N,%	1		
			The second secon	0.94	1.16	
Control	1.55	0.98	1.15		1.10	
" +R	1.84	2.21	1.73	1.18	1.32	
" + PSB	1.74	1.07	1.26	1.19		
" + R +PSB	2.48	2.29	2.36	1.61	2.19	
Mean	1.90	1.63	1.63	1.23	1.60	
weari	Seed N,%					
Occident	5.72	4.49	4.39	4.28	4.72	
Control	6.41	5.83	5.61	6.00	5.96	
711	6.33	5.14	5.10	5.63	5.55	
" + PSB	THE RESERVE THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NAMED IN COLUMN TWO I	6.59	6.17	6.72	6.51	
" + R +PSB	6.55	NAME AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE PARTY.	5.32	5.66	5.69	
Mean	6.25	5.51				
R= rhizobium LSD <sub>0.05</sub> for:	Treatmen 0.009	t Cul	e solubilizing battivar 021	Treatment X c	ultivar	

0.021 0.009 Roots N 0.052 0.013 0.043 Straw N 0.091 0.057 0.117 Seed N

Table (5): Roots, straw and seed-P contents for four soybean cultivars affected by rhizobium and/or phosphate solubilizing bacterial

	Giza 21	Giza 35	Crawford	Clark	Mean		
Treatments	Roots P.%						
	0.150	0.112	0.147	0.121	0.134		
Control	0.156		0.161	0.103	0.140		
" + R	0.162	0.133	0.179	0.166	0.167		
* + PSB	0.176	0.147	And in case of the last of the	0.192	0.203		
" + R +PSB	0.178	0.216	0.224	THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	0.161		
Mean	0.168	0.152	0.178	0.146	0.101		
			Straw P,%	0.440	0.147		
Control	0.197	0.125	0.145	0.119	0.147		
" + R	0.220	0.136	0.160	0.151			
* + PSB	0.233	0.280	0.220	0.152	0.221		
* + R +PSB	0.313	0.290	0.299	0.204	0.277		
+ K 11 30	0.241	0.208	0.206	0.157	0.203		
Mean	Seed P.%						
	0.603	0.473	0.463	0.455	0.499		
Control		0.541	0.537	0.593	0.585		
" +R	0.667	0.614	0.591	0.632	0.628		
* + PSB	0.676	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN 2 IS NOT THE OWNER, THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUM	0.651	0.709	0.686		
" + R +PSB	0.690	0.694	0.561	0.597	0.600		
Mean	0.659	0.581		THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING, MICH. 491-491-491-491-491-491-491-491-491-491-	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
R= rhizobium	PSB		solubilizing	Dacteria	tiver		
LSD 0.05 for:	Treatment	t Cultivar		Treatment X cul	livai		
	0.001	0.002		0.003			
Roots P	0.003	0.002		0.005			
Straw P	0.003	0.002		0.015			

0.009

0.002

Seed P

0.015

### J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 28 (10), October, 2003

Table (6):Seed protein and oil contents for four soybean cultivars affected by rhizobium and phosphate solubilizing bacteria inoculation

1110	ouldelou				and the second second second second		
Treatments	Giza 21	Giza 35	Crawford	Clark	Mean		
			Seed protein, %	)			
Control	35.7	28.0	27.4	27.0	29.5		
" +R	40.1	36.4	35.0	37.5	37.3		
" + PSB	39.6	32.1	31.9	35.2	34.7		
" + R +PSB	40.9	41.2	38.6	42.0	40.7		
Mean	39.1	34.4	33.2	35.4	35.5		
		Seed oil, %					
Control	26.2	27.4	26.2	27.1	26.7		
" +R	27.3	27.5	27.3	27.5	27.4		
" + PSB	26.4	27.3	26.9	27.4	27.0		
" + R +PSB	27.8	28.3	27.5	28.4	28.0		
Mean	26.9	27.6	27.0	27.6	27.3		
De chien himm	DS	R- phoenhate	solubilizing ba	cteria			

R= rhizobium
LSD <sub>0.05</sub> for:
Seed protein
Seed oil

PSB= phosphate solubilizing bacteria
Treatment X cultivar
Treatment X cultivar
1.73
1.45
Ns
0.26
Ns

#### CONCLUSION

Inoculating the soil or soybean seeds with rhizobium and/or phosphate-solubilizing bacteria will lead to producing high number of bacterial nodules, which may fix more  $N_2$  and release more P,thus increase dry matter production as well plant growth characters.

### REFERENCES

- Ahmed, M.K.A.; A.O.M. Saad; T.Thalooth Alice and M.O. Kabesh (1997). Utilization of biofertilization in field crops production, 10-yield response of groundnut to inorganic, organic and biofertilizers. Annals Agric., Ain Shams Univ. Cairo, 42:365-375.
- AOAC (Official Methods of Analysis) (1975). "Association Official analytical Chemists", 10<sup>th</sup> Ed, Washington, Dc., USA, 20044.
- Atmaja, I.W.D. (1997). A study of nitrogen and Rhizobium inoculation on N<sub>2</sub> fixation and vegetative growth of wills variety of soybean. Majalah limiah Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Udayana (Indonsia), 16:29-33.
- Badawi, M.A. and S.A. El-Moursy (1997). Effect of bacterial inoculation, plant distribution pattern and phosphorus fertilization levels on peanut (*Arachis hypogaea*, L). J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 22:1307-1325.
- Badawy, F.H.; S.M. Mahmoud; H.G. Hassanein and M.M. El-Dosouky (1992). Effect of planting dates and inoculation with some strains of Bradyrhizobia on nodulation and growth of soybean plants. Assiut J. of Aric. Sci., 23:149-152.
- Badr El-Din, S.M.S.; M.A. Khalafallah and H. Moawad (1986). Response of soybean to dual inoculation with *Rhizobium japonicum* and phosphate dissolving bacteria. Z. Pflanzenernaehr. Bodenk., 149:130-135.

Beever R.E. and D.J.W. Burns (1980). Phosphorus uptake, storage and utilization by fungi. Adv. Bot. Res., 8:127-219.

Boonkerd, N.; D.F. Webber and D.F. Bezdcek (1978). Influence of Rhizobium japonicum strains and inoculation methods in soybeans grown in

rhizobia populated soils. Agron. J., 70:547-549.

Chabot, R.; H. Antoun; J.W. Klopper and C.J. Beauchamp (1996a). Root colonization of maize and lettuce by bioluminescent posphatesolubilizing Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar phaseoli. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 62,2767-2772Growth promotion of maize and lettuce by phosphate-solubilizing Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar. phaseoli. Plant and Soil, 184:311-321.

Chabot, R.; H. Antoun; M.P. Cescas (1996b). Growth promotion of maize and lettuce by phosphate-solubilizing Rhizobium leguminosarum biovar.

phaseoli. Plant and Soil, 184:311-321.

Chapman, H.D. and P.F. Pratt (1961). "Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plants and Waters". Barkeley, CA; University of California, Agricultural Publication.

Dashti, N.; F. Zhang; R. Hynes and D.L. Smith (1998). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria accelerate nodulation and increase nitrogen fixation activity by field grown soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.] under short season conditions. Plant and Soil, 200:205-213.

El-Essawi, T.M.; S.E.D. Faizy and A. Dawalt (1986). Response of soybean grain yield to inoculation and different levels of nitrogen fertilization. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Conference of African Association for Biological Nitrogen

Fixation, Cairo, Egypt, 15-19 Dec.

Glick, B.R.; L. Changping; G. Sibdas and E.B. Dumbroff (1997). Early development of canola seedlings in the presence of the plant growthpromoting rhizobacterium Pseudomonas putida GR12-2. Soil Biol. Biochem., 29:1233-1239.

Glick B.R.. (1995). The enhancement of plant growth by free-living bacteria.

Can. J. Microbiol., 41:109-117.

Hall J.A.; D. Pierson; S. Ghosh and B.R. Glick (1996). Root elongation in various agronomic crops by the plant growth promoting rhizobacterium Pseudomonas putida GR12-2. Isr. J. Plant Sci., 44:37-42.

Kloepper, J.W.; K. Lifshitz and M.N. Schroth (1988). Pseudomonas inoculants to benefit plant production. ISI Atlas Sci. Anim. Plant Sci.,

pp. 60-64.

Kloepper, J.W. (1994). Plant growth promoting b acteria (other systems) In: Okon J, e ditor. A zospirillum/Plant A ssociation. B oca R aton, FL: CRC

Press, pp. 137-154.

Kucey, R.M.N. and M.E. Leggett (1989). Increased yields and phosphorus uptake by westar canola (Brassica napus L.) inoculated with a phosphate-solubilizing isolate of Penicillium bilaji. Can. J. Soil Sci., 69:425-432.

Lemanceau, P. (1992). Effects benefiques de rhizobacteries sur les plantes: exemple des Pseudomonas spp. fluorescent. Agronomie, 12:413-437.

# J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 28 (10), October, 2003

- Lifshitz, R., J.W. Kloepper; M. Kozlowsky; C. Simonson; J. Carlson; E.M. Tipping and I. Zaleska (1987). Growth promotion of canola (rapeseed) seedlings by a strain of *Pseudomonas putida* under gnotobiotic conditions. Can. J. Microbiol., 33:390–395.
- Page, A.L.; R.H. Miller and D.R. Keeney (1982). "Methods of Soil Analysis".

  Part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Analysis. Am. Soc., Madison,
  Wisconsin, USA.
- Parmar, N.; K. R. Dadarwal (1999). Stimulation of nitrogen fixation and induction of flavonoid-like compounds by rhizobia, J. Appl. Microbiol., 86:36-44.
- Rahmani, H.A. and N.S. Rastin (2001). A study of the effect of soil-available N and indigenous rhizobial population on the growth and yield of soybean and prediction by models of the necessity to inoculate. Plant Nutrition-Food Security and Sustainability of Agro-Ecosystems through Basic and Applied Research (Developments in Plant and Soil Sciences, Vol. 92), XIV International Plant Nutrition Colloquium, Hannover, pp. 556-657.
- Salih, H.M.; A.I. Yahya; R.A. Abdul and B.H. Munam (1989). A vailability of phosphorus in a calcareous soil treated with rock phosphate or superphosphate as a ffected by phosphate-dissolving fungi. Plant and Soil, 120:181-185.
- Suwastika, A.A.N.G. (1997). Phosphorus in soil and soybean soluble phosphorus on ultisol result of the phosphate solubilizing bacteria inoculation and nature phosphate. Majalah Ilmiah Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Udayana (Indonesia).,16:1-3.
- Vanlauwe, B.; O.C. Nwoke; J. Diels; N. Sanginga; R.J. Caarsky; J. Deckers and R. Merckx (2000). Utilization of rock phosphate by crops on a representative toposequence in the Northern Guinea savanna zone of Nigeria: response by Mucuna prunes, Lablab purpureus and maize. Soil Biol. Biochem., 32:2063-2077.

تشجيع نمو بعض أصناف فول الصويا بالتلقيح بالريزبيوم والبكتيريا المذيبة

عبد المنعم زايـــــد

قسم الأراضي والمياه - كلية الزراعة - جامعة فناة السويس

أجريت تجربة حقلية خلال سنة ٢.٠١ فى المزرعة التجريبية لجامعة قناة السويس، لدراسة تأثير التلقيح بالريزبيوم و/أوالبكتيريا المذيبة للفوسفات فى وجودالتسميد المعدنى على الصفات المحصوتيه الأربعة أصناف من فول الصويا (جيزة ٢١ – جيزة ٣٥ – كراو فورد – كلارك).

تم استخدام المعاملات التالية:

۱- تسمید معدنی

٢- تسميد معدني + تلقيح بالريزبيوم

٣- تسيمد معدني + تلقيح بالبكتيريا المذيبة للفوسفات

٤- تسميد معدنى + تلقيح بالريزبيوم + تلقيح بالبكتيريا المذيبة للفوسفات

وقد تم الحصول على النتائج التالية:

۱- زاد وزن كل من الجذور والقش ومحصول البذور ووزن ۱۰۰ بـــذرة ووزن وعـــدد العقــد الجذرية ومحتوى الجذور والقش والبذور من النتروجين والفوســفور والبــروتين باســـتخدام التسميد الحيوى مع التسميد المعدنى منفردا.

٢- تم الحصول على أعلى قيم لوزن الجذور والقش ومحصول البذور ووزن ١٠٠ بـــذرة ووزن وعدد العقد الجذرية ومحتوى الجذور والقش والبذور من النتــروجين والبــروتين باســـتخدام معاملة التلقيح المزدوج مع التسميد المعدني.

٣- تم الحصول على أعلى قيم لمحتوى الجذور والقش والبذور من الفوسفور باستخدام معاملة التلقيح بالبكتيريا المذيبة للفوسفات مع التسميد المعدني.

٤- أعطى صنف "جيزة ٣٥" أعلى استجابة لمعاملات التسميد المختلفة لصفات وزن كل من الجذور والقش ومحصول البذور ووزن ١٠٠ بذرة ووزن وعدد العقد الجذرية ، بينما أعطى صنف "كراوفورد" أعلى استجابة لصفة محتوى الجذور من النتروجين والفوسفور ، وأعطى صنف "جيزة ٢١" أعلى استجابة لصفات محتوى القش والبذور من النتروجين والفوسفور.

هـ لم يتأثر محتوى البذور من الزيت معنويا بالمعاملات التسميدية المختلفة ، بينما كانت هناك فروق بسيطة في محتوى الزيت بين الأصناف المستخدمة في الدراسة.