

## YIELD AND NITROGEN ASSIMILATION OF WINTER WHEAT INOCULATED WITH NEW RECOMBINANT INOCULANTS OF RHIZOBACTERIA.

Zaied, K.A.<sup>1</sup>; A.H. Abd El-Hady<sup>1</sup>; Aida.H. Afify<sup>2</sup> and M.A. Nassef<sup>3</sup>

1, Dept of Genetics, Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ.

2, Dept of Microbiology, Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ.

3, Water, Soil and Environ. Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

### ABSTRACT

The effects of bacterial inoculants on the growth and yield of winter wheat were studied using three strains of *Azospirillum*, four strains of *Azotobacter*, and seven new recombinant transconjugants isolated from five intraspecific hybrids as an inoculants tested. Two varieties of winter wheat were evaluated in their response to biofertilizer inoculants. Both varieties inoculated with each bacterial strain was inconsistent and varied from inoculant to another. Inoculants exhibited increases in chlorophyll synthesis in Sakha 69, also enhance the chlorophyll synthesis in Gemaza 90, whereas, Sakha 69 showed more response to most inoculants than Gemaza 90. Application of biofertilizer strains had directly, and beneficial effect on chlorophyll formation, as compared to full N fertilized controls. Plants inoculated with *Herba spirillum* strain exhibited significantly increases in grain yield / spike in both of two varieties used. The variety Sakha 69 showed more response to *Azospirillum* transconjugants than Gemaza 90 in grain yield relative to the control amended with full N recommended dose. Whereas, transconjugant 6 of *Azotobacter* and *Herba spirillum* stimulated 100-grain weight in Gemaza 90. However, most inoculants enhanced both grain yield / spike and 100-grain weight of Sakha 69 in relative to N unfertilized (chemical or biological) control. Practical use of efficient rhizobacteria as inoculants for winter wheat may have limited value to overcome 50% deficiency of N below the recommended dose in this study. *Azospirillum* exhibited increases in dry matter production in Sakha 69 due to their effect on stimulating growth rate, whereas Gemaza 90 variety did not show any enhance increase in dry matter yield, as compared with Sakha 69, in relative to the control amended with N full dose. Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) revealed three major bands of activity corresponding to molecular weights of 12, 10, 6 (KDa) in recombinant transconjugant isolates of *Azotobacter* and also two major bands of activity corresponding to molecular weights of 14 and 10 (KDa) in *Azotobacter* parental strains. Whereas, two major bands of activity corresponding to molecular weights of 10 and 7 in parental *Azospirillum* strains and also corresponding to molecular weights ranged between 6-13 in recombinant *Azospirillum* isolates. *Azospirillum* transconjugant isolates derived from the same conjugation were differed in the presence or absence from one or two bands. These differences reveal a possible biochemical differences in their efficiency to fix nitrogen free in the rhizosphere of wheat plants.

**Keywords:** *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum*, biofertilizer inoculants, molecular weight, protein pattern, transconjugant, winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum*).

## INTRODUCTION

Biofertilizer are the preparations containing live or latent cells of efficient strains of nitrogen fixing used for application to seed or composting areas with the objective of increasing the numbers of such micro-organisms and accelerating those microbial processes which augment the availability of nutrients that can be easily assimilated by plants. Biofertilizers harness atmospheric nitrogen with the help of specialized micro-organisms, which may be free living in soil or symbiotic with plants. Microbial inoculants are carrier based preparations containing beneficial micro-organisms in a viable state, intended for seed or soil application, designed to improve soil fertility and help plant growth by increasing the number of desired micro-organisms in plant rhizosphere. *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* are aerobic, nitrogen fixers and non-symbiotic bacteria, used for cereals, millets, and vegetables, in contrast with *Rhizobium* a symbiotic bacteria used as inoculants for legumes. Thus, soil microorganisms play an important role in improving crop yield and they could replace the application of chemical fertilizers (Margaret *et al.*, 1964). These microorganisms are playing an important role in mobilizing P for plants by bringing about changes in rhizospheric soil pH and also by producing chelating substance, which lead to solubilization of phosphates (Kim *et al.*, 1998).

P-solubilizing rhizobacteria have a capability to produce plant-growth-regulating substances of the auxin type leading to contribute their stimulating effects on the plant growth (Leinhos and Bergmann, 1995). The phytohormone indole-3 acetic acid affects root elongation and lateral-root formation (Pilet and Saugy, 1987). The effects of inoculating cereals and grasses with various bacteria are well documented (Kloepper *et al.*, 1988). Plant growth and yields are usually affected as a result of such inoculations. For example, significant increases in plant dry matter and/or nitrogen accumulation by wheat inoculated with various *Azospirillum spp.* have been reported (Christiansen and Van Veen, 1991). Smith *et al.* (1977) reported that inoculation of field grown guinea grass (*Panicum maximum*) and pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum*) with *Azospirillum brasilense* increased plant dry matter by 25%, however, no significant increase in the nitrogenase activity (i.e., N<sub>2</sub> fixation) was observed. In constant, Rennie *et al.* (1983) reported enhanced N<sub>2</sub> fixation in several spring wheat varieties inoculated with *A. brasilense*. Other rhizobacteria, including *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterobacter cloacae* and *Erwinia herbicola*, have been isolated from winter wheat rhizospheres. Nitrogen fixation in the root segments, estimated by the acetylene reduction assay, was 2.5g of N ha/day (Pedersen *et al.*, 1978). *Azospirillum* is receiving much attention in view of its nitrogen fixing property and enhancing mineral uptake associated with a large number of grasses and grain crops (Rai, 1988 a). The possible effects of inoculation with *Azospirillum* on plant growth can be explained by its effect on root development. Inoculation has been shown to increase the total root surface under laboratory conditions (Sumner, 1990), thereby increasing potential mineral and water uptake by the plants (Sarig *et al.*, 1988). In addition,

*Azospirillum* has been shown to increase nitrogen fixation in the rhizosphere, thus enhancing the overall environment conducive to plant growth. In many cases, *Azospirillum* inoculation promoted growth and crop yield of agronomically important forage, grain grasses, and legumes (Sumner, 1990). The bacteria may also affect plant growth by increasing nitrogen uptake in low-nitrogen soils and, more importantly, by producing plant growth substances such as indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) (Fallik *et al.*, 1988 ). In many field experiments, it has been demonstrated that *Azospirillum* inoculants do not cause either environmental hazard (Fages, 1992) or any health problems in the plants (Okon , 1985). The objective of this study was to induce new recombinant isolates of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* through transconjugational process to investigate their stimulating effects on plant growth and yield of winter wheat and also to reduce N chemical fertilizers of wheat.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Plant material:

Two varieties of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) were used in this study, i.e. Sakha 69 and Gemaza 90, which kindly provided from Field Crop Research Institute, Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.

### Bacterial inoculation:

Bacterial strains and their transconjugants used in this study are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Wild type strains were kindly supplied from Water, Soil and Environmental Res. Inst., Giza, Egypt.

**Table 1: Bacterial strains used in this study.**

Strains	Relevant characteristics	Designation
<i>Azotobacter bijerenkii</i> ATCC 130	Epamox <sup>r</sup> Strep <sup>s</sup> Ospan <sup>s</sup> tetra <sup>r</sup>	St <sub>1</sub>
<i>Azotobacter vinelandii</i> SMR 230	Epamox <sup>r</sup> Strep <sup>s</sup>	St <sub>2</sub>
<i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> ARRL-B.14346	Epamox <sup>s</sup> Strep <sup>r</sup> Ospan <sup>r</sup> tetra <sup>s</sup>	St <sub>3</sub>
<i>Azotobacter chroococcum</i> ARC RU 22	Neomycin <sup>r</sup> tetra <sup>s</sup>	St <sub>4</sub>
<i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> B-14647	Epimox <sup>r</sup> Neobiotic <sup>s</sup>	St <sub>5</sub>
<i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> 265	Epimox <sup>s</sup> Neobiotic <sup>r</sup> Amoxyllin <sup>s</sup>	St <sub>9</sub>
<i>Herba spirillum</i> SMR 422	Epimox <sup>r</sup> Neobiotic <sup>s</sup> Amoxyllin <sup>r</sup>	St <sub>10</sub>

r=recessive

s=successive

**Table 2: Bacterial transconjugation process and their transconjugants used in this study.**

Conjugation	Relevant characteristics of derived transconjugants	Designation
St <sub>1</sub> Epamox <sup>r</sup> strep <sup>s</sup> ospan <sup>s</sup> tetra <sup>r</sup> x St <sub>3</sub> Epamox <sup>s</sup> strep <sup>r</sup> ospan <sup>r</sup> tetra <sup>s</sup>	Epamox <sup>r</sup> strep <sup>r</sup> ospan <sup>r</sup> tetra <sup>r</sup>	Tr <sub>5</sub>
St <sub>1</sub> Neomycin <sup>s</sup> tetra <sup>r</sup> x St <sub>4</sub> Neomycin <sup>r</sup> tetra <sup>s</sup>	Neomycin <sup>r</sup> tetra <sup>r</sup>	Tr <sub>6</sub>
Str <sub>2</sub> Epamox <sup>r</sup> streptomycin <sup>s</sup> x Str <sub>3</sub> Epamox <sup>s</sup> streptomycin <sup>r</sup>	Epamox <sup>r</sup> streptomycin <sup>r</sup>	Tr <sub>7</sub>
St <sub>8</sub> (Epimox <sup>r</sup> Neobiotic <sup>s</sup> ) x St <sub>9</sub> (Epimox <sup>s</sup> Neobiotic <sup>r</sup> )	Epimox <sup>r</sup> Neobiotic <sup>r</sup>	Tr <sub>11</sub> Tr <sub>12</sub>
Str <sub>9</sub> (Epimox <sup>s</sup> Neobiotic <sup>r</sup> Amoxyllin <sup>s</sup> ) x St <sub>10</sub> (Epimox <sup>r</sup> Neobiotic <sup>s</sup> Amoxyllin <sup>r</sup> )	Epimox <sup>r</sup> Neobiotic <sup>r</sup> Amoxyllin <sup>r</sup>	Tr <sub>13</sub> Tr <sub>14</sub>

All strains of *Azospirillum* and their transconjugants were grown in LGI medium contained K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 0.065g; K<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.095; MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2g; NaCl, 0.1g; CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2g; malic acid, 5.0g; yeast extract, 0.05g; NaMoO<sub>4</sub>, 0.002g; MnSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.001g; H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, 0.0014g; CuSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.004g; ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.0021g; Fe EDTA, 4.0 ml (1.64% w/v), NaOH<sub>3</sub>, 3.0g; bromothymol blue, 2 ml (0.05% alcoholic solution); distilled water, 1.0; pH was maintained by 0.1 N HCl before autoclaving, whereas, Fe EDTA was separately sterilized and added after sterilization of the medium (Rai, 1988b).

**Antibiotic susceptibility assays:**

Antibiotic susceptibility was measured by plate diffusion method, according to Collins and Lyne (1985) with cultures grown to logarithmic growth phase in nutrient agar medium of each microbe. Bacterial suspension (1.0 ml) was mixed with 15 ml of nutrient agar medium in petri dishes. Wells (8 mm diameter) were punched in the agar using stainless steel borer, and were filled with 0.1 ml of the antibiotic concentration. The plates were incubated overnight at 28°C and the diameter of resulting zones of inhibition was measured, three replicates were used for each bacterial strain (Toda *et al.*, 1989). Different antibiotics were used with the concentration of 400 µg/ml, according to Roth and Sonti (1989), they were the product of Hoechst Orient S.A.E., Cairo, Egypt.

**Conjugation procedure:**

Nutrient broth cultures, in the late-exponential growth phase were used. Quantitative spot mating of conjugal transfer was carried out, according to Lessel *et al.* (1993) by inoculating 10 µl samples of the donor cultures onto the surface of selective medium, previously seeded with 100 µl of the recipient culture. A single colony of transconjugants was picked up and transferred to slant nutrient agar medium.

**IAA-detection with Salkowski colorimetric technique:**

*Azospirillum* and *Azotobacter* cells were grown overnight in nutrient broth at 30°C. Production of IAA in the culture supernatant was assayed by using the PC method, as described by Pilet and Chollet (1970). This method was shown to be the most sensitive and most specific. Salkowski-based colorimetric technique (Glickmann and Dessaux, 1995). For the reaction, 1 ml of reagent R<sub>1</sub>, consisting of 12 g FeCl<sub>3</sub> per liter in 7.9 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, was added to 1 ml of the sample supernatant, mixed well and left in the dark for 30 min at room temperature. Absorbance was measured at 530 nm. IAA concentration was calculated from a standard curve using different concentrations ranged from 2 to 20 µg/ml of 3-indolylacetic acid as a standard.

**Experimental design:**

The experiment was conducted in the Experimental and Agric. Res. Station, Mansoura Univ. during the year winter of 2001/2002 in a randomized complete block design with three replicates. Soil was amended with the equivalent of 17 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> as super phosphate and ammonium sulfate,

equivalent to 35 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>. Sixteen treatments were imposed, two of them did not inoculate with biofertilizer strains as follows: (a) fertilized only with recommended dose of super phosphate (17 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>), (b) fertilized with recommended full dose of N as ammonium sulphate equivalent to 78.54 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> with super phosphate (17 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>); all other treatments inoculated with biofertilizer strains + super phosphate (17 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup>) + 50% of N recommended dose (39.27 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>). This N rate was chosen based on 50% of fertilizer recommendations, except for control which amended with 78.54 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>, for growing stimulation water wheat in this soil. The other 50% of N recommended dose depending on the efficiency of biofertilizer strains to reduced the addition rate of chemical fertilizer to cereals. After addition of fertilizer, the soil was moistened with distilled water and maintained at 22% (v.v<sup>-1</sup>) moisture (-0.33 Mpa) through the experiment (De Freitas, 2000).

#### **Bacterial inoculation and plant growth:**

*Azospirillum* strains and their transconjugants were grown in nutrient broth (LGI medium). *Azotobacter* strains and their transconjugants were grown in *Azotobacter* basal medium. Strains of *Azotobacter* were growing aerobically at 30°C in Burk's sucrose medium as described previously by Santos and Flores (1995).

Cultures (125 ml) were grown in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks on a rotary shaker (120 rpm) for three days at 28°C. Winter wheat plants after one week of planted seeds were inoculated with bacterial suspension (5 ml/pot) for four times, one week intervals between one time and each other. As determined by plate counting techniques, the procedure yielded 10<sup>7</sup>-10<sup>8</sup> colony-forming units per ml. Plants were thinned, considering plant uniformity, to three plants per pot. Three replicates (each containing 3 plants) were harvested at 58 days (end of vernalization period-corresponding to stage 5 of Feeks scale (Large 1954) and 170 days (maturity).

#### **Harvest and N analysis:**

Plants were harvested from the field experiment and root fragments recovered by passing the soil through a 5 mm sieve. Plant dry matter and photosynthetic activity (Markinney, 1941) were determined at 45 days after sowing. Shoots (leaves plus stems) and seeds were dried at 65°C in a forced air oven for 72 hr, ground and analyzed for total-N according to Bremner and Mulvaney (1982). The uninoculated plants were divided in two controls, the first inoculated with super phosphate alone and the second inoculated with super phosphate and the full dose of ammonium sulfate. N-content and grain yield were recorded after maturity. Nitrogen content in dried plant materials was determined by the wet digestion at dried and finely pulverized plant material using microkjeldahl method (Jackson, 1958). Samples of 0.20 gm dry material were digested by sulphoric and perchloric acids. Distillation was carried out with 40% NaOH, and ammonia was received in 4% boric acid solution. The distillates were then titrated with 0.041 N HCl using the mixed methyl red-bromocresol green indicator. Nitrogen concentration was determined according to Burris and Wilson (1957).

**Sodium dodecyl sulphate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE):**

This technique was carried out according to Bilal *et al.* (1990). Parental strains and their recombinant isolates were grown to stationary phase in 5 ml of nutrient broth at 30°C with shaking. Cultures were centrifuged at 1000g for 10 to 15 min. The cell pellet was processed for total soluble protein profile analysis by employing the method described by Shivikular *et al.* (1986). Samples were subjected to dimensional SDS-PAGE using 10% (w/v) resolving and 4.5% (w/v) stacking gels. A 10 µl sample containing 50 µg of protein was loaded per well on the gels. After electrophoresis, the gels were stained overnight in 25% (v/v) ethanol and 5% (v/v) glacial acetic acid containing 0.025 % (w/v) Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250, destained for 2 to 3h in 40% (v/v) absolute ethanol and then 5% (v/v) glacial acetic acid. The gels were left in methanol-glycerol-water (55:2:43 by volume) for 12 to 24 h. Coomassie Brilliant Blue stained protein patterns in the gels were photographed using a Fortepan 200 black and white film.

**Statistical analysis:**

The results were analyzed statistically by using analysis of variance according to Steel and Torrie (1960). Least significant difference (LSD) was calculated to compare between treatment means other than the control.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1. Chlorophyll formation:

In this study, inoculation of winter-wheat with different strains of *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and their transconjugants influenced chlorophyll concentration (Table 3). Different strains (St<sub>3</sub>, St<sub>9</sub> and Tr<sub>11</sub>) had a significant stimulatory effect of total chlorophyll in both varieties of winter wheat used in this study, in relative to chemical N fertilizer control. Some other strains enhanced chlorophyll formation in one variety than the other. However, plant responses to the various inoculants were not consistent from one variety to another. Thus are due to plant and/or bacterial genotype might be the controlling factor in an inoculation response. The results obtained here are in agreement with Sharma *et al.* (2001), who reported that vigorously growing nitrogen fertilized plants were able to absorb a large quantity of mineral nutrients through their well developed root system and also increased the synthesis of photosynthates. Application of biofertilizer strains and their transconjugants had directly beneficial effect on chlorophyll formation. The highest chlorophyll content in leaves was achieved in variety Gemaza 90 rather than Sakha 69. The possible reason may be that nitrogen is involved in chlorophyll synthesis. The better availability of nutrients through increased absorption surface may have brought this significant increase in most of yield contributing characters and finally it is being reflected in grain yield.

**Table 3: Effect of biofertilizer on chlorophyll synthesis in winter wheat inoculated with rhizobacteria.**

Treatments	Sakha 69			Gemaza 90		
	Chl. A	Chl. B	Total Chl.	Chl. A	Chl. B	Total Chl.
A	1.13	3.54	4.67	0.80	4.18	4.98
B	0.83	4.09	4.92	1.34	4.19	5.54
St <sub>1</sub>	1.14	4.58	5.72	1.27	5.27	6.54
St <sub>2</sub>	1.09	5.72	6.81	1.11	5.10	6.22
St <sub>3</sub>	1.08	5.63	6.71	1.58	6.64	8.22
St <sub>4</sub>	1.13	3.54	4.67	0.51	6.59	6.83
Tr <sub>5</sub>	1.20	5.32	6.52	1.41	5.69	7.10
Tr <sub>6</sub>	1.59	4.58	6.17	0.62	7.95	8.27
Tr <sub>7</sub>	1.22	5.45	6.67	1.33	4.73	6.06
St <sub>8</sub>	1.16	4.58	5.74	1.03	5.06	6.09
St <sub>9</sub>	2.76	4.14	6.89	1.90	8.62	10.52
St <sub>10</sub>	1.12	4.75	5.86	1.06	4.82	5.88
Tr <sub>11</sub>	2.64	4.41	7.06	0.59	6.99	7.58
Tr <sub>12</sub>	2.81	2.88	5.69	2.02	3.80	5.82
Tr <sub>13</sub>	2.34	4.42	6.76	0.73	6.16	6.90
Tr <sub>14</sub>	2.72	4.00	6.72	1.60	4.74	6.51
L.S.D 0.05	2.15	3.09	1.51	0.72	2.21	1.62
0.01	2.88	4.15	2.03	0.96	2.97	2.20

The results obtained in this study are in harmony with Sharma (2001), who reported that inoculation with *Bradyrhizobium* culture exhibited significant differences in photosynthetic efficiency at all growth stages of mungbean (*Vigna radiata*). He also reported that this inoculation might have increased the nitrogen concentration which ultimately led to improved growth and photosynthetic surface and finally increased the crop growth rate and relative growth rate. The use of efficient biofertilizer strains are very useful to use in Egyptian new soils with poor fertility to improve plant growth in these soils. Because winter wheat are very needed to nitrogen and phosphorus which are indispensable element for growth, development, metabolism uptake of nutrients, photosynthesis and ultimately yield of any crop. It is generally believed that winter wheat and other cereals can meet their nitrogen requirement by application of chemical fertilizer or free nitrogen fixing strains to get addition nitrogen requirements from *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* strains, which fixed nitrogen in loose association with plants in an environment of low oxygen tension.

### 3. Biological yield and total-N:

Dry matter production showed significant differences between the treatments (Table 4). S<sub>4</sub> strain of *Azotobacter*, S<sub>10</sub> strain of *Azospirillum* and also all different transconjugants of *Azospirillum* showed significantly influence to increase dry matter production in Sakha 69 variety over the plants fertilized with N full dose. This are due to their effects on stimulating growth rate led to improved plant growth. These results indicated that these isolates are superior than other strains and it was be recommended to use with Sakha 69 variety, as a highly sensitive host to these biofertilizer strains.

The formation of dry matter production did not show any significant increase by any of biofertilizer strains used with Gemaza 90, in relative to the control applied with the full dose of recommended N. In fact, it has been reported that *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* and their interaspecific hybrids are able to affect wheat crop growth through mechanism such as provision of plant growth regulators (Tien et al., 1979). Plant growth hormones may be supplied by both bacteria and/or roots as a reaction to bacterial infection at the root interface (Kapulnik et al., 1985). In many cases, the shoot and root growth-altering effects induced by these rhizobacteria were comparable to those produced by additions of indole-3-acetic acid and gibberellic acid (Kucey, 1988). It is apparent from this study that inoculation with rhizobacteria may enhance the early vegetative growth of winter wheat. However, plant response to these bacteria was inconsistent, as shown here from the different responses of different varieties of wheat crop.

Bacterial enhancement plant growth is exhibited by only a few cultivars (De Freitas, 2000). Similarly, the application of efficient biofertilizer strains affect significantly on dry matter production caused an increase in plant growth surface, than others failed to significantly affect this trait. Thus, biofertilizers are taken a great attention in the last few decades because they are environment friendly and low cost or almost nonmonetary input, which can play a significant role in plant nutrition. Following they are used in plant production and they are available at almost all the agricultural colleges, universities, Agric. Res. Centers in a packets with specific quantity that is 250 g per packet.

**Table 4. Total nitrogen and dry matter production at 170 DAP (maturity) of winter wheat inoculated with rhizobacteria.**

Treatments	Sakha 69					Gemaza 90				
	Dry weight (g) / 3 plants	N %	Protein content %	Total N mg/ plant	N <sub>2</sub> uptake	Dry weight (g) / 3 plants	N %	Protein content %	Total N mg/ plant	N <sub>2</sub> uptake
A	6.2	0.41	2.84	2.52	25.22	6.17	0.46	2.86	2.83	28.28
B	8.73	0.52	3.27	4.57	45.69	14.33	0.51	3.20	7.44	73.35
St <sub>1</sub>	11.08	0.58	3.59	6.33	63.26	16.83	0.56	3.47	9.36	63.57
St <sub>2</sub>	13.77	0.48	3.00	6.31	63.09	13.47	0.42	2.61	5.62	56.22
St <sub>3</sub>	11.93	0.53	3.47	4.22	42.23	13.00	0.42	2.61	5.43	54.01
St <sub>4</sub>	14.80	0.49	3.09	7.32	40.88	9.83	0.59	3.69	5.81	58.06
Tr <sub>5</sub>	13.17	0.60	3.73	7.86	78.62	10.80	0.41	2.54	4.40	43.52
Tr <sub>6</sub>	7.53	0.43	2.83	3.42	34.15	17.80	0.58	3.64	10.39	103.87
Tr <sub>7</sub>	9.63	0.46	2.90	4.47	44.75	10.87	0.55	3.43	5.96	59.58
St <sub>8</sub>	9.77	0.54	3.37	5.27	52.70	9.27	0.52	3.24	4.82	48.18
St <sub>9</sub>	10.63	0.52	3.26	5.54	55.44	9.83	0.49	3.09	4.86	63.61
St <sub>10</sub>	19.63	0.63	2.91	12.29	92.90	9.13	0.44	2.75	4.02	40.19
Tr <sub>11</sub>	21.37	0.49	3.03	10.37	103.67	9.20	0.46	2.85	3.06	30.60
Tr <sub>12</sub>	16.60	0.57	3.59	9.54	95.39	10.50	0.50	3.10	5.22	52.18
Tr <sub>13</sub>	14.20	0.45	2.81	6.40	63.97	11.37	0.62	3.84	6.99	69.93
Tr <sub>14</sub>	16.27	0.55	3.46	9.01	90.07	12.33	0.53	3.10	2.28	22.82
F test	**	NS	NS	**	**	*	NS	NS	**	*
L.S.D.0.05	5.41			3.59	53.75	4.60			2.74	36.51
0.01	7.29			4.95	74.18	6.21			3.79	50.40

Total N (mg/plant) in plant tissue was significantly higher in Sakha 96 variety as affected by some *Azospirillum* strains and their transconjugants (St<sub>10</sub>, Tr<sub>11</sub>, Tr<sub>12</sub> and Tr<sub>14</sub>) in relative to control plants fertilized with full N

recommended dose from ammonium sulphate. However, significant increases in the total N contents (mg/plant) of the plants over unfertilized control plants were observed when the inoculant was *Azotobacter* strains (*A. bifermentans* ATCC132, *A. vinelandii* SMR230 and *A. chroococcum* ARC Ru.22) and their transconjugants (Tr<sub>5</sub>). Recombinant isolate of *Azospirillum* (Tr<sub>11</sub>) significantly increases N<sub>2</sub> uptake over control plants fertilized with N full dose in Sakha 69 variety, whereas some other recombinant isolates of *Azospirillum* and also *Herba spirillum* SMR 422 strain increases N<sub>2</sub> uptake over unfertilized control plants.

In Gemmaza 90 variety of winter wheat, a recombinant isolate of *Azotobacter* (Tr<sub>6</sub>) significantly greater total N (mg/plant) over control plants fertilized with N full dose. *Azotobacter* strains (St<sub>1</sub>, St<sub>2</sub> and St<sub>4</sub>), some of their recombinant isolates (Tr<sub>7</sub>) and some of *Azospirillum* recombinants (Tr<sub>13</sub>) affect to significantly greater total N (mg/plant) in plant tissue over unfertilized control plants. Recombinant isolates of *Azotobacter* (Tr<sub>6</sub>) and *Azospirillum* (Tr<sub>13</sub>) still affect to significantly increase N<sub>2</sub> uptake, in similar to their significantly affect on total N (mg/plant). This indicated that different genotypes of winter wheat showed different responses to inoculation with the same genotype of microbial inoculants in the same environmental conditions. However, inoculation treatments had no significant effect on the percentage of nitrogen and the percentage of protein content among two genotypes of winter wheat. Thus, as suggested by Rennie *et al.* (1983), plant and/or bacterial genotype might be the controlling factor in an inoculation response. The results obtained here are in agreement with Boddey *et al.* (1986), who reported that spring wheat inoculated with different *Azospirillum* species, and grown under semi-tropical conditions, presented increases in N concentration during the reproductive stages of plant growth. This study suggested that rhizobacteria inoculants may be capable to enhance uptake of nutrients e.g., N-fertilizer and grain yield. In fact, it has been reported that *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* are able to affect crop growth through mechanisms such as provision of plant growth regulators (Tien *et al.*, 1979). Plant growth hormones may be supplied by both bacteria and/or roots as a reaction to bacterial infection at the root interface (Kapulnik *et al.*, 1985). In many cases, the shoot and root growth-altering effects induced by these rhizobacteria were comparable to those produced by additions of indole-3-acetic acid and gibberellic acid (Kucey, 1988). Use of these rhizobacteria for winter wheat may have limited value until such time as we better understand factors which influence rhizosphere competence of bacterial inoculants. The improved of total N contents in winter wheat shoots through biological free nitrogen fixation in the rhizosphere will improve the content of protein leading to improve productivity of cattle and buffalo from meat and milk to avoid the poor quality of protein in the shoots of winter wheat.

### **3. Grain yield:**

Significant increases in grain yield (g 5 spikes<sup>-1</sup>) of the plants were observed (Table 5) in Sakha 69 variety by some inoculant strains and some transconjugants of *Azotobacter*. A significantly greater of grain yield in two varieties of winter wheat was observed when the inoculant was *Herba*

*spirillum* strain. Conversely, the plants inoculated with all *Azospirillum* strains and their transconjugants showed significant increase of grain yield in relative to the control amended with full recommended dose of N. Whereas, both transconjugant 6 of *Azotobacter* and *Herba spirillum* stimulated 100-grain weight of Gemaza 90 variety. In addition, transconjugants 6, 7 of *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum brasilense* B-14647 and transconjugants 12, 14 of *Azospirillum* affect to significantly increase 100-grain weight in Sakha 69 variety, in relation to control amended with N full dose. This indicated that transconjugants 12 and 14 of *Azospirillum* indicating plasmids from *Azospirillum lipoferum* could stimulate grain yield / spike and 100-grain weight in Sakha 69 variety.

In this study, inoculation of winter wheat with fourteen different diazotrophs influenced spike grain yields, tended to exhibit a higher yield response than plants fertilized with N recommended full dose. However, plant responses to the various inoculants were not consistent from one stage to another. Bacterial enhancement of plant growth is exhibited by only a few cultivars. For example, Millet *et al.* (1984) reported that when 20 different spring wheat genotypes were inoculated with *A. brasilense*, only two cultivars (exhibiting yield increases of up to 8.0%) responded to inoculation. Similarly, Rennie and Thomas (1987) observed that of 10 different cultivars of spring wheat inoculated with *B. polymyxa* C-11-25 and *A. brasilense* ATCC 29729, only one cultivar (Cadet, inoculated with *B. polymyxa* C-11-25) showed consistent plant yield responses due to inoculation. Thus, as suggested by other workers (Rennie and Larson, 1979; Baldani *et al.*, 1983 and Rennie *et al.*, 1983), plant and/or bacterial genotype might be the controlling factor in an inoculation response.

The obtained results indicated that grain yield response to these bacteria was inconsistent. This may reflect a problem with inoculant formation or delivery to the seed, or a failure of the strains to adequately colonize roots. However, most inoculation treatments significantly increases both grain yield / spike and 100-grain weight in relative to treatment A (unfertilized with N fertilizer such biological or chemical). In fact, it has been reported that rhizobacteria are able to enhance the yield of winter wheat even with the absence of N fertilizer. Some biofertilizer strains could overcome 50% deficiency in N below recommended dose, these isolates may be a more efficient inoculant than others. Yield is the result of co-ordinated interplay of developmental characters. Vigorously growing nitrogen chemical or biofertilizer plants were able to absorb a large quantity of mineral nutrients through their well develop root system. Nitrogen increased the synthesis of photosynthates and the storage organ-seeds in the case were well developed. Application of nitrogen such chemical or biological had directly beneficial effect on 100-grain weight and grain yield. These results are in accordance with the results of Sarker and Banik (1991).

**Table 5. Grain yield at 170 DAP (maturity) of winter wheat inoculated with rhizobacteria .**

Treatments	Sakha 69		Gemaza 90	
	Grain yield / 5 spikes	100-grain weight	Grain yield / 5 spikes	100-grain weight
A	7.3	3.75	7.11	3.75
B	10.64	4.51	15.30	5.04
St <sub>1</sub>	13.21	4.17	18.05	5.21
St <sub>2</sub>	7.62	4.39	18.32	5.29
St <sub>3</sub>	7.88	4.61	13.34	5.39
St <sub>4</sub>	9.73	4.13	16.09	4.68
Tr <sub>5</sub>	8.15	4.17	16.94	4.27
Tr <sub>6</sub>	18.30	5.23	13.48	5.63
Tr <sub>7</sub>	15.55	5.52	16.13	3.97
St <sub>8</sub>	13.06	5.49	17.91	4.80
St <sub>9</sub>	12.09	4.15	20.13	4.71
St <sub>10</sub>	15.05	4.53	25.51	5.72
Tr <sub>11</sub>	12.33	4.96	13.57	4.40
Tr <sub>12</sub>	17.68	5.19	16.38	5.05
Tr <sub>13</sub>	16.59	4.79	19.62	5.47
Tr <sub>14</sub>	18.67	5.21	16.69	5.44
L.S.D. 0.05	1.30	0.52	9.17	0.44
0.01	1.73	0.69	12.37	0.61

**Table 6. Mean squares of the analysis of variance of biological traits in winter wheat inoculated with rhizobacteria.**

Traits	Sakha 69	Gemaza 90
Chl. A	1.62 <sup>NS</sup>	0.632 <sup>**</sup>
Chl. B	1.84 <sup>NS</sup>	5.76 <sup>**</sup>
Total chlorophyll	1.49 <sup>NS</sup>	5.39 <sup>**</sup>
Plant dry weight	53.81 <sup>**</sup>	26.94 <sup>**</sup>
Shoot N content	14.30 <sup>**</sup>	9.71 <sup>**</sup>
Nitrogen (%)	0.0076 <sup>NS</sup>	0.0086 <sup>NS</sup>
Protein content of shoots	0.190 <sup>NS</sup>	0.332 <sup>NS</sup>
Nitrogen uptake	2272.88 <sup>**</sup>	782.53 <sup>*</sup>
Grain yield / spike	8.54 <sup>**</sup>	1.51 <sup>NS</sup>
100-grain weight	0.37 <sup>NS</sup>	1.45 <sup>NS</sup>

Application of rhizobacteria had marked influence on vegetative traits of Gemaza 90 (Table 6). These traits affected markedly in Gemaza 90 including chl. A, chl. B, total chlorophyll and plant dry weight. Similar marked effect was shown in Sakha 69 concerning plant dry weight, shoot N content and grain yield /spike. Application of rhizobacteria increased accumulation of dry matter and shoot nitrogen content in both varieties of winter wheat. This indicated that rhizobacteria improved growth rate of both cultivars, also showed a significant influence on physiological parameters in Gemaza 90 other than Sakha 69, and also significantly influence on yield parameter of Sakha 69 other than Gemaza 90. Inoculation with rhizobacteria culture might have increased the nitrogen concentration, which ultimately led to improved growth

and photosynthetic surface as shown in Gemaza 90, and also improved growth and finally increased grain yield / spike in Sakha 69. The positive effect of rhizobacteria inoculation in increasing about mentioned growth and physiological traits is in accordance with the findings of Thakur and Panwar (1997). The results obtained herein are in agreement with Venkateswarlu and Rao (1983), who found that inoculation of pearl millet with *A. brasilense* resulted significant increase in growth and dry matter both under sterilized and unsterilized conditions, and they also reported that nitrogenase activity of the roots was very low and did not support the increase in plant growth due to inoculation. Baldani *et al.* (1987) also found that inoculation of wheat plants with *Azospirillum* spp. resulted in significant increase in grain yield and total nitrogen yield. The results obtained in this study stress the importance of factors other than nitrogen fixation (other factors like the phytohormones secreted by *Azospirillum* contribute to the beneficial responses in wheat) for the observed inoculation responses seen plant growth of both varieties. The significant effect in the nitrogen yield of inoculated wheat found in this study without increasing the concentration of applied nitrogen over than 50% of recommended dose, suggested the importance of factors other than nitrogen fixation in the N-assimilation of *Azospirillum*-inoculated wheat (Baldani *et al.*, 1987).

It was concluded that nitrogen fixation, the growth stimulating effects of bacteria seen in dry matter production affected significantly by inoculation were also possibly responsible for the positive impacts of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* inoculation of wheat. Application of biofertilizer as shown in the study may have led to improve physiological traits and yields of winter wheat, because the dry matter productivity and grains yields recorded significantly affected with the application of rhizobacteria. The increase in the yields of crops could be due to the enhanced moisture content by rhizobacteria (Narender *et al.*, 2001).

#### **Indole acetic acid (IAA) production:**

The quantitative estimation of IAA from culture filtrates of different *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* strains (Table 7) revealed the largest amounts of IAA using tryptophane precursor were from *Azotobacter* mid-parents of St<sub>1</sub> x St<sub>3</sub> in relation to all other mid-parents. From IAA produced using tryptophane precursor, *Azospirillum* strains caused the highest mid-parents rather than *Azotobacter* strains. In case of lactic acid and ethanol precursors, *Azotobacter* strains caused higher mid-parents in IAA production rather than *Azospirillum* strains. These observations confirm the results of Tien *et al.* (1979), who have detected 3 or 4 types of indoles including IAA and indole lactic acid besides gibberellins and cytokinins in the culture filtrates of *A. brasilense* and demonstrated that these compounds cause similar effects on the plant growth as that of pure auxins and gibberellins. Since inoculation of roots with optimal concentrations of *Azospirillum* enhances root proliferation, consisting mainly of increased lateral root and root hair formation (Kapulnik *et al.*, 1985), the production of plant growth substances by *Azospirillum* has often been proposed as one of the key factors responsible for the observed plant growth promotion (Dobbelaere *et al.*, 1999). In this report, the mid-

parents of *Azotobacter* strains produced largest amounts of IAA in relative to *Azospirillum* mid-parents showed a broad range of largest indoleacetic acid (IAA) biosynthetic intermediates using tryptophan (Trp), indoleacetic acid and indoleethanol. In contrast, mid-parents of *Azospirillum* strains produced largest amounts of indole tryptone in relative to mid-parents of *Azotobacter* strains. Together, these results confirm the important role of IAA produced by *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* strains and their recombinant transconjugants in altering growth morphology and illustrate the power of combining genetic tools and bioassays to elucidate the mechanism of a beneficial *Azospirillum*-plant interaction. Since three types of plant growth substances could be detected in the supernatant of *Azospirillum* cultures : auxins (Crozier *et al.*, 1988), cytokinins (Cacciari *et al.*, 1989) and gibberellins (Bottini *et al.*, 1989).

**Table 7. Mid-parents of IAA production (µg/ml) by bacterial strains.**

Transconjugants	Source or Reference	Indolic compounds			
		Tryptophane	Tryptone	Lactic acid	Ethanol
Tr <sub>5</sub>	St <sub>1</sub> x St <sub>3</sub>	29.58	6.93	0.68	1.18
Tr <sub>6</sub>	St <sub>1</sub> x St <sub>4</sub>	19.13	7.22	0.44	0.94
Tr <sub>7</sub>	St <sub>2</sub> x St <sub>3</sub>	18.36	7.62	0.76	1.15
Tr <sub>11</sub>	St <sub>8</sub> x St <sub>9</sub>	19.77	40.91	0.36	0.69
Tr <sub>12</sub>	St <sub>8</sub> x St <sub>9</sub>	19.77	40.91	0.36	0.69
Tr <sub>13</sub>	St <sub>9</sub> x St <sub>10</sub>	11.36	43.01	0.28	0.50
Tr <sub>14</sub>	St <sub>9</sub> x St <sub>10</sub>	11.36	43.01	0.28	0.50

Although all *Azotobacter* transconjugants (Table 8) exhibited more indole ethanolbiosynthesis than their mid-parents, as well as, the isolate of Tr<sub>7</sub> achieved significant production of indole lactic acid, in relative to other transconjugants of *Azotobacter* and other indolic compounds. All *Azospirillum* transconjugants has been shown to produce largest amounts of indole tryptone in relative to their mid-parents. Some transconjugants of *Azospirillum* revealed significant production of indole lactic acid and indoleethanol other than their mid-parents. The marked increase over the mid-parents in indoletryptone, indole lactic acid and indoleethanol biosynthesis can be obtained by the *Azospirillum* recombinant Tr<sub>11</sub>. There is an evidence that phytohormones produced by bacteria in the rhizosphere can increase plant growth and improve the yields (Barea and Brown, 1974). In the present study, strains which produced more indoles were found to be more effective in enhancing plant growth, chlorophyll content, grain yield / spike and 100-grain weight. The present results are in agreement with Venkateswarlu and Rao (1983), who found that *Azospirillum* which lives on or in the roots can continuously release the phytohormones affecting the plant growth by inducing the proliferation of lateral roots. The marked increase shown in this study in biological biomass and grain yield particularly suggested the role of growth hormones produced in the rhizosphere by these rhizobacteria. Interestingly, striking differences among strains of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* were noticed with regard to their effectiveness. The increased biological biomass affected by rhizobacteria might have resulted in a higher nutrient uptake and a better growth than N<sub>2</sub>-fixation by the bacteria. Together, this evidence points towards an important role of indole biosynthesis in the

observed plant growth promotion upon inoculation. In addition, IAA biosynthesis in the phytostimulatory effect observed upon recombinant transconjugants of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* inoculation over their mid-parents, are in agreement with the results reported by Dobbelaere *et al.* (1999), who found that *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp245 (pFAJ055) strain, containing an extra copy of the *ipdc* gene, was expected to exert an enhanced stimulatory effect on wheat root morphology compared to the wild type. The lack of such an enhancement can be explained in two ways. First of all, the increase in IAA production resulting from an extra copy of the *ipdc* gene is too low to produce any visible effect on root morphology. On the other hand, since *ipdc* expression is strictly regulated in *A. brasilense* (Vande Broek *et al.*, 1999) and since the introduced copy of the *ipdc* gene still contains its own promoter and upstream regulatory sequences, this strict regulation might overrule the effect of adding extra copies of *ipdc*. The same authors hypothesized that the *orf*, located immediately downstream of the *ipdc* gene, probably as an operon might also be involved in IAA biosynthesis via the IPYA pathway, but this *orf* gene plays no or only a minor role in IAA biosynthesis (Dobbelaere *et al.*, 1999).

**Table 8. Production of IAA by *Azotobacter*, *Azospirillum* strains and their recombinant transconjugants.**

Strains	Tryptophane	Tryptone	Lactic acid	Ethanol
St <sub>1</sub>	30.20	6.39	0.570	0.89
St <sub>2</sub>	7.77	7.77	0.733	0.83
St <sub>3</sub>	28.95	7.46	0.780	1.47
St <sub>4</sub>	8.05	8.05	0.317	0.99
Tr <sub>5</sub>	17.73	8.36	0.630	1.71
Tr <sub>6</sub>	3.02	7.74	0.337	2.58
Tr <sub>7</sub>	6.53	7.79	1.033	2.19
St <sub>8</sub>	22.05	37.86	0.450	0.54
St <sub>9</sub>	17.49	43.95	0.264	0.84
St <sub>10</sub>	5.22	42.07	0.299	0.16
Tr <sub>11</sub>	24.07	70.90	0.589	1.18
Tr <sub>12</sub>	19.88	46.26	1.160	0.73
Tr <sub>13</sub>	4.26	54.38	0.125	1.13
Tr <sub>14</sub>	11.93	45.65	0.357	0.95
F test	**	**	**	**
L.S.D. 0.05	7.60	5.10	0.173	0.49
0.01	10.25	8.09	0.233	0.66

#### Differential detection of rhizobacteria proteins after SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis:

Protein pattern technique of rhizobacteria strains and their transconjugant recombinants after SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis detected genetic differential between parental strains and their recombinants (Table 9 and Fig. 1). Physiological genetic characterization of these strains and their recombinant demonstrated that all *Azotobacters strains* (St<sub>1</sub>, St<sub>2</sub>, St<sub>3</sub> and St<sub>4</sub>) and their recombinants (Tr<sub>5</sub>, Tr<sub>6</sub> and Tr<sub>7</sub>) indicated common bands numbered from one to eight, whereas , they differed slightly from bands number 9, 10, 11 and 12. Bands numbered 9, 10 were present in all

*Azotobacter* strains, whereas number 11 was present in *Azotobacter vinelandii* SMR 230 and also number 11, 12 are present in *Azotobacter chroococcum* NRRL strain. In addition, only recombinant *Azotobacter* isolate (Tr<sub>7</sub>), indicate the bands number 9, 10 and 11 than other recombinants, resembled closely related to their parental strains *A. vinelandii* and *A. chroococcum*. *Azotobacter* strains and their recombinants were differed slightly in their molecular weight proteins might reveal a possible biochemical differences. *Azotobacter chroococcum* NRRL B-14346 indicated higher molecular weight proteins and maximum number of bands than other strains and recombinants. In contrast, *Azospirillum* strains and their recombinants indicating common bands numbered from one to ten, but they were differed slightly in the bands numbered 11 and 12. Two recombinant isolates isolated from the same cross were also differed slightly in the presence or absence of the band number 11 and 12. *A. brasilense* B-14647 represent the maximum number of bands (12 band), whereas *A. lipoferum* 265 represent the common bands (10 band) only, although *Herba spirillum* SMR422 indicate 11 bands. The differences obtained between *Azospirillum* strains and their recombinants might reveal a possible biochemical differences in their efficiency of fixed nitrogen in loose association with wheat plants. Relative flow (front), band optical density (O.D.) and average of peak area (%) were differed from strain to another, and from recombinant to another, even isolated from the same cross. In addition, this further suggest that the common bands present in *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* strains are 10 number of bands, whereas the common number of bands in recombinant isolates of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* were 8 and 10, respectively. This indicated that recombinant isolates of *Azotobacter* and *Azospirillum* were differed in three and two number of bands, respectively. Whole cell protein pattern comparison by appropriate choice of separation method can discriminate microorganisms at the genus, species or strain levels required (Jackman, 1988). Sundaram *et al.* (1988) have characterized various *Azospirillum* isolates using a one-dimensional SDS-PAGE method. The obtained results are in agreement with those reported by Bilal *et al.* (1990), who found that comparison with *Azospirillum lipoferum*, *A. brasilense* and *A. amazonense* strains depending on SDS-PAGE for total soluble bacterial proteins showed that their strains including the genera *Azospirillum*, *Herbaspirillum*, *Acetobacter*, *Azotobacter* resembled closely *A. brasilense* strain, whereas these differed slightly from *A. brasilense* strain Sp-7 in their lower molecular weight proteins, and from *A. amazonense* in several low and higher molecular weight proteins. SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis in small slab gels provides a rapid, high resolution method for analyzing a complex mixture of rhizobacteria proteins. The detection technique is based on the ability of rhizoproteins diffusing form bands in polyacrylamide gel. These manipulation allow the rapid assignment of molecular weight to each component of bacterial protein complex.



9CONT

8805

St1 – St2 – St3 – St4 – Tr5 – Tr6 – Tr7 – St8 – St9 – St10 – Tr11 – Tr12 – Tr13 – Tr14

**Fig. 1. SDS-PAGE pattern of total soluble proteins of different rhizobacteria strains and their recombinant transconjugants numberd one to 14 from right to left.**

In conclusion, biofertilizers are environment friendly and low cost which can play a significant role in plant nutrition. It is apparent that inoculation with rhizobacteria may enhance the early vegetative growth of winter wheat. However, plant response to these bacteria was inconsistent as shown in these study, resulting from different plant genotypes response to the same and different strains of *Azotobacter* and *azospirilla* giving different patterns of colonization, which may have different consequences for the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing ability of the association. The use of rhizobacteria inoculation appears to be much cheaper than fertilization based on a comparison of the cost of its application in agricultural crops such as winter wheat, corn, sorghum, and sunflower as compared with NP fertilization (Okon, 1985). Inoculation has been shown to have no hazardous effects on the environment or the plants (Fages, 1992), making it an ecological sound alternative to fertilization.

## REFERENCES

- Baldani, V.L.; J.I. Baldani and J. Döbereiner. (1983). Effects of *Azospirillum* inoculation on root infection and nitrogen incorporation in wheat. *Canadian J. Microbiol.*, 29:924-929.
- Baldani, V.L.; J.I. Baldani and J. Döbereiner. (1987). Inoculation of field grown wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) with *Azospirillum* spp. in Brazil. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 4:37-40.
- Barea, J.M. and M.E. Brown. (1974). Effects on plant growth produced by *Azotobacter paspali* related to synthesis of plant growth regulating substances. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, 40:583-593.
- Bilal, R.; G. Rasul; J.A. Qureshi and K.A. Malik. (1990). Characterization of *Azospirillum* and related diazotrophs associated with roots of plants growing in saline soils. *World J. Microbiol. and Biotechnol.*, 6:46-52.
- Boddey, R.M.; V.L.D. Baldani; J.I. Baldani and J. Döbereiner. (1986). Effect of inoculation of *Azospirillum* spp. on nitrogen accumulation by field-grown wheat. *Plant and Soil*, 95:109-121.
- Bottini, R.; M. Fulchieri; D. Pearce and R.P. Pharis. (1989). Identification of gibberellins A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>3</sub>, and iso-A<sub>3</sub> in cultures of *Azospirillum lipoferum*. *Plant Physiol.*, 90:45-47.
- Bremner, J.M. and L. Mulvaney. (1982). Nitrogen Total. In: Page, A.L., Baker, D.E., Keeney, D.R. (Eds). *Methods of Soil Analysis* 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. American Society of Agronomy, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, PP. 595-698.
- Burris, R.H. and P.W. Wilson. (1957). Methods for measurement of nitrogen fixation. In *Methods in Enzymology*. Vol. III. Eds. S.P. Colwick and N.O. Kaplan, PP. 355-366. Academic Press, N.Y.
- Cacciari, I.; D. Lippi; T. Pietrosanti and W. Pietrosanti. (1989). Phytohormone-like substances produced by single and mixed diazotrophic cultures of *Azospirillum* and *Arthrobacter*. *Plant Soil*, 115:151-153.
- Christiansen-Weniger, C. and J.A. Van Veen. (1991). NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-excreting *Azospirillum brasilense* mutants enhance the nitrogen supply of a wheat host. *Appl. and Environ. Microbiol.*, 57:3006-3012.
- Collins, C.H. and P.M. Lyne. (1985). *Microbiological Methods*. 5<sup>th</sup> Ed. Butterworths, London, 167-181.
- Crozier, A.; P. Arruda; J.M. Jasmin; A.M. Monterio and G. Sandberg. (1988). Analysis of indole-3-acetic acid and related indoles in culture medium from *Azospirillum brasilense*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 54:2833-2837.
- De Freitas, J.R. (2000). Yield and N assimilation of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., var. *Norstar*) inoculated with rhizobacteria. *Pedobiologia*, 44:97-104.
- Dobbelaere, S.; A. Croonenborghs; A. Thys; A. Vande Broek and J. Vanderleyden (1999). Phytostimulatory effect of *Azospirillum brasilense* wild type and mutant strains altered in IAA production on wheat. *Plant and Soil*, 212:155-164.

- Fages, J. (1992). An industrial view of *Azospirillum* inoculants. Production and application technology. *Symbiosis*, 13:15-26.
- Fallik, E.; Y. Okon and M. Fisher (1988). Growth response of maize roots to *Azospirillum* inoculation: Effect of soil organic matter content, number of rhizosphere bacteria and timing of inoculation. *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 20:45-49.
- Glickmann, E. and Y. Dessaux. (1995). A critical examination of the specificity of the Salkowski reagent for indolic compounds produced by phytopathogenic bacteria. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 61:793-796.
- Jackson, M.L. (1958). *Soil Chemical Analysis*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Delhi.
- Kapulnik, Y.; Y. Okon and Y. Henis. (1985). Changes in root morphology of wheat caused by *Azospirillum* inoculation. *Can. J. Microbiol.*, 31:881-887.
- Kim, K.Y.; D. Jordan and G.A. McDonald. (1998). Effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae on tomato growth and soil microbial activity. *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 26(2):79-87.
- Kloepper, J.W.; R. Lifshitz and M.N. Scgroth. (1988). *Pseudomonas* inoculants to benefit plant production. *ISI Atlas of Science, Animal and Plant Science Inst. for Public Information, Philadelphia, USA*, PP. 60-64.
- Kucey, R.M.N. (1988). Plant growth-altering effects of *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Bacillus* C-11-25 on two wheat cultivars. *J. Appl. Bacteriol.*, 64:187-196.
- Large, E.C. (1954). Growth stages in cereals. Illustration of the feeks scale. *Plant Pathology*, 3:128-129.
- Leinhos, V. and H. Bergmann. (1995). Influence of auxin producing rhizobacteria on root morphology and nutrient accumulation of maize (*Zea mays* L.) by inoculation with indol-3-acetic acid (IAA) producing *Pseudomonas* strains and by exogenously applied IAA under different water supply conditions. *Angew. Bot.*, 69:37-42.
- Lessel, M.; D. Balzer; K. Weyrauch and E. Lanka. (1993). The mating pair formation system of plasmid RP4 defined by RSF 1010 mobilization and donor-specific phage propagation. *J. Bacteriol.*, 175(20):6415-6425.
- Margaret, E.B.; S.K. Susan and R.M. Jackson. (1964). Studies on *Azotobacter* species in soil. III. Effects of artificial inoculation on crop yields. *Plant and Soil*, XX2:194-214.
- Markinney, G. (1941). Absorption of light by chlorophyll solution. *J. Bio. Chem.*, 140:315-332.
- Millet, E.; Y. Avivi and M. Feldman. (1984). Yield response of various genotypes to inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense*. *Plant and Soil*, 80:261-266.
- Narender, K.S.; B. Bhushan and P.K. Sharma. (2001). Effect of phosphorus, mulch and farmyard manure on soil moisture and productivity of maize in mid hills of Himachal Pradesh. *Res. on Crops*, 2(2):116-119.
- Okon, Y. (1985). *Azospirillum* as a potential inoculant for agriculture. *Trends Biotechnol.*, 3:223-228.

- Pedersen, W.L.; K. Chakrabarty; R.V. Kluvas and A.K. Vidaver. (1978). Nitrogen fixation (*Acetylene reduction*) associated with roots of winter wheat and sorghum in Nebraska. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 35:129-135.
- Pilet, P. and M. Saugy. (1987). Effect on root growth of endogenous and applied IAA and ABA. A critical reexamination. *Plant Physiol.*, 83:33-38.
- Pilet, P.E. and R. Chollet. (1970). Sur le dosage colorimetrique de l'acide indolylacetique. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Ser.*, D271:1675-1678.
- Rai, R. (1988a). High-temperature-adapted *Azospirillum brasilense* strains: Growth and interaction response on associative nitrogen fixation, mineral uptake and yield of cheena (*Panicum miliaceum*) genotypes in calcareous soil. *J. Agric. Sci.*, (India), 100:321-329.
- Rai, R. (1988b). Effect of *Azospirillum brasilense* strains on the iron uptake and N<sub>2</sub> fixation by roots of cheena (*Panicum miliaceum* L.) genotypes. *J. Plant Nutr.*, 11:871-879.
- Rennie, R.J. and J.B. Thomas. (1987). <sup>15</sup>N-determined effect of inoculation with N<sub>2</sub>-fixing bacteria on nitrogen assimilation in Western Canadian wheat. *Plant and Soil*, 100:213-223.
- Rennie, R.J. and R.I. Larson. (1979). Dinitrogen fixation associated with disomic chromosome substitution lines of spring wheat. *Canadian J. Botany*, 57:2771-2775.
- Rennie, R.J.; J.R. de Freitas; A.P. Ruschel and P.B. Vose. (1983). <sup>15</sup>N isotope dilution to quantify dinitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>) fixation associated with Canadian and Brazilian wheat. *Canadian J. Botany*, 61:1667-1671.
- Roth, J.R. and R.V. Sonti. (1989). Role of gene duplications in the adaptation of *Salmonella typhimurium* to growth on limiting carbon sources. *Genetics*, 123:19-28.
- Santos, A. and M. Flores. (1995). Effects of glyphosate on nitrogen fixation of free-living heterotrophic bacteria. *Lett. in Appl. Microbiol.*, 20:349-352.
- Sarig, S.; A. Blum and Y. Okon. (1988). Improvement of water status and yield of field grown grain sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*) by inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense*. *Israel J. Agric. Sci.*, 110:271-277.
- Sarker, R.K. and P. Banik. (1991). Effect of phosphorus on yield and nodulation of green gram. *Indian J. Agron.*, 36:91-94.
- Sharma, S. (2001). Growth, physiological and yield aspects of mung bean (*Vigna radiata*) as affected by inoculation treatment by different strains of *Bradyrhizobium* culture. *Res. on Crops*, 2(2):112-115.
- Sharma, S.; N.S. Daramwal; C.R. Sharma and R.G. Upadhyay. (2001). Influence of various doses of nitrogen and phosphorus on protein content, yield and its attributes of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczak). *Res. on Crops*, 2(2):108-111.
- Shivikular, A.G.; G.J. Gundling; T.A. Benson; D. Casuto; M.F. Miller and B.B. Spear. (1986). Vegetative expression of endotoxin genes in *Bacillus thuringiensis* sub-species *kurstaki* in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J. Bacteriol.*, 166:194-204.

- Smith, R.L.; J.H. Bouton; S.C. Schank and K.H. Guesenberry. (1977). Yield increases of tropical grain and forage grasses after inoculation with *Spirillum lipoferum* in Florida. In: Ayanaba, A Dart, P.J. (Eds.). Biological N<sub>2</sub>-fixation in Farming Systems of the Tropics. John Willey and Sons, New York, USA, pp. 307-311.
- Steel, R.G. and H. Torrie. (1960). Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill. Inc., Toronto, Canada.
- Sumner, M.E. (1990). Crop responses to *Azospirillum* inoculation. P. 53-123. In: B.A. Stewart (Ed.). Advances in Soil Science, Springer-Verlag, N.Y.
- Thakur, A.K. and J.D. Panwar. (1997). Response of *Rhizobium* and VAM symbionts on photosynthesis, nitrogen metabolism and sucrose translocation in green gram. Indian J. Agric. Sci., 67:245-248.
- Tien, T.M.; M.H. Gaskins and D.H. Hubbell. (1979). Plant growth substances produced by *Azospirillum brasilense* and their effect on the growth of pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L.). Appl. and Environ. Microbiol., 37:1016-1024.
- Toda, M.; S. Okubo; R. Hiyoshi and T. Shimamura. (1989). The bactericidal activity of tea and coffee. Lett. in Appl. Microbiol., 8:123-125.
- Vande Broek, A.; M. Lambrecht; K. Eggermont and J. Vanderleyden. (1999). Auxins upregulate expression of the indole-3-pyruvate decarboxylase gene from *Azospirillum brasilense*. J. Bacteriol., 181:1338-1342.
- Venkateswarlu, B. and A.V. Rao. (1983). Response of pearl millet to inoculation with different strains of *Azospirillum brasilense*. Plant and Soil, 74:379-386.

## إنتاج وتمثيل النيتروجين فى الأقمح الشتوية الملقحة بتراكيب وراثية جديدة من لقاحات الريزوبكتيريا

خليفه عبد المقصود زايد<sup>1</sup> ، أشرف حسين عبد الهادى<sup>1</sup> ، عايدة حافظ عفيفى<sup>2</sup> ، محمود عبد المقصود ناصف<sup>3</sup>

1- قسم الوراثة - كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة

2- قسم الميكروبيولوجى- كلية الزراعة - جامعة المنصورة

3-معهد بحوث الأراضى والمياه والبيئة - مركز البحوث الزراعية بالجيزة

تمت فى هذا البحث دراسة تأثير اللقاحات البكتيرية على صفات المحصول والنمو فى الأقمح الشتوية من خلال تجربة حقلية إستخدمت فيها ثلاثة سلالات من الأروسبيريللم ، أربع سلالات من الأروتوباكتر ، سبعة تراكيب وراثية جديدة ناتجة عن خمسة تزاوجات مختلفة ثلاثة تزاوجات منها أجريت بين سلالات مختلفة من الأروتوباكتر وإثنان منها أجريت بين سلالات مختلفة من الأروسبيريللم ، وقد تم تقييم إستجابة صنفين من الأقمح الشتوية لهذه اللقاحات الحيوية هما الصنف سخا ٦٩ والصنف جيمزة ٩٠ . وقد أظهرت النتائج إختلاف إستجابة هذه الأصناف للتلقيح الحيوى بنفس السلالة أو العزلة البكتيرية كما إختلفت إستجابة الأصناف للتلقيح من سلالة بكتيرية لأخرى ، وقد أظهرت بعض اللقاحات البكتيرية زيادة معنوية فى تركيز الكلوروفيل فى الصنف سخا ٦٩ وجميزة ٩٠ ، بينما أظهر تركيز الكلوروفيل فى أوراق النباتات أن الصنف سخا ٦٩ كان أكثر إستجابة للتسميد الحيوى عن الصنف جيمزة ٩٠ بالنسبة لهذه الصفة . وقد كان لتأثير التلقيح الحيوى بالسلالات والعزلات البكتيرية المختلفة تأثيرا مفيدا وإيجابيا ومباشرا على معدل تكوين الكلوروفيل مقارنة بالتسميد المعدنى فى تجربة المقارنة . كما أظهرت النباتات الملقحة بسلالة الهرباسبيريللم زيادة معنوية فى معدل إنتاج الحبوب بالنسبة للسنبلة على مستوى كلا صنفى القمح اللذان قد تم إستخدامهما فى

هذه الدراسة . وقد كان الصنف سخا ٦٩ أكثر إستجابة عن الصنف جميزة ٩٠ بالنسبة للتلقيح الحيوى بالعزلات المحورة وراثيا والنتيجة عن التزاوج بين السلالات الأبوية وذلك بالنسبة لصفة إنتاج الحبوب للسنبلة مقارنة بالنباتات التي تم تسميدها معدنيا بالجرعة الموصى بها من النيتروجين . بينما أدى التلقيح بالعزلة المحولة وراثيا والنتيجة عن التزاوج بين سلالات الأروتوباكتر وهي Tr6 وكذلك التلقيح بالهبرباسبيريلم إلى زيادة وزن ال ١٠٠ حبة فى الصنف جميزة ٩٠ . بينما أدى التلقيح بمعظم اللقاحات الميكروبية المستخدمة فى هذا البحث إلى تعزيز صفة إنتاج الحبوب للسنبلة ووزن ال ١٠٠ حبة فى الصنف سخا ٦٩ بالنسبة للنباتات فى تجربة المقارنة الغير مسمدة معدنيا أو بيولوجيا . وبذلك فإن هذه الدراسة تعد من الدراسات صديقة البيئة فى ترشيد إستخدام الأسمدة المعدنية مع النجيليات من خلال إستخدام لقاحات ميكروبية من الريزوبكتيريا ذات كفاءة وراثية ومعدل ثبات وراثى مرتفع فى تلقيح الأقمح الشتوية وسوف يؤدى هذا إلى إنخفاض معدل إضافة الأسمدة النيتروجينية المعدنية التى تحتاجها نباتات القمح كما سيؤدى أيضا إلى تغطية حوالى ٥٠% من النقص فى التسميد النيتروجينى المعدنى عن الجرعة الموصى بها . كما أدت بعض اللقاحات خاصة بالأزوسبيريلم إلى تعزيز الزيادة فى إنتاج المادة الجافة فى الصنف سخا ٦٩ ويرجع هذا إلى تأثير هذه السلالات على زيادة معدل نمو النباتات ، بينما لم يظهر الصنف جميزة ٩٠ أى زيادة فى إنتاج المادة الجافة مقارنة بالصنف سخا ٦٩ ومقارنة بتجربة المقارنة التى عولمت فيها النباتات بالجرعة الموصى بها من التسميد النيتروجينى المعدنى . وقد أظهر التفريد الكهربى بإستخدام البولى أكريلاميد جيل للمادة البروتينية أنه توجد ٣ حزم رئيسية تتعلق بنشاط هذه السلالات وهى ذات الأوزان الجزئية ١٢ ، ١٠ ، ٦ كيلو دالتون وذلك على مستوى العزلات المحولة وراثيا من خلال التزاوج البكتيرى ذات التراكيب الوراثية الجديدة من الأروتوباكتر ، كما توجد حزمتين رئيسيتين تتعلق بنشاط السلالات الأبوية من الأروتوباكتر وهى ذات الأوزان الجزئية ١٤ ، ١٠ كيلو دالتون بينما توجد حزمتين رئيسيتين تتعلقان بالنشاط الحيوى للسلالات الأبوية من الأزوسبيريلم وهما ذات الأوزان الجزئية ١٠ ، ٧ ، بينما كانت الحزم التى تتعلق بنشاط العزلات المحولة وراثيا من خلال التزاوج فى الأزوسبيريلم هما ذات الأوزان الجزئية التى تتراوح ما بين ٦ ، ١٣ وقد أظهرت النتائج إختلاف عزلات الأزوسبيريلم المعزولة من نفس التزاوج فى وجود أو غياب حزمة بروتينية واحدة أو إثنين . وهذه الإختلافات الوراثية الموجودة بين السلالات البكتيرية والهجن الناتجة من التزاوج فيما بينها تعكس الإحتمال المتوقع للإختلافات الوراثية الكيموحيوية فى كفاءة اللقاحات البيولوجية فى تثبيت النيتروجين بصورة حرة فى منطقة الريزوسفير لنباتات القمح .

**Table 9. Detection in SDS-polyacrylamide gels of the proteins produced by rhizobacteria strains and their transconjugant recombinant isolates.**

		Number of bands											
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
St <sub>1</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.133	0.152	0.187	0.246	0.354	0.389	0.464	0.496	0.550	0.667	0.0	0.0
	Molecular weight (KDa)	251	206	141	99	59	44	29	24	18	9	0.0	0.0
	Band density (OD)	51.4	48.7	35.04	18.5	29.7	36.9	39.5	39.04	39.4	73.7	0.0	0.0
	Average of peak area %	0.83	0.78	0.96	0.58	1.08	1.15	1.61	1.10	2.01	4.92	0.0	0.0
St <sub>2</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.130	0.151	0.202	0.242	0.328	0.360	0.447	0.495	0.563	0.598	0.663	0.0
	Molecular weight (KDa)	260	208	120	100	70	56	32	24	17	14	10	0.0
	Band density (OD)	26.9	26.1	19.5	9.1	15.8	15.8	18.8	17.4	13.4	22.1	36.1	0.0
	Average of peak area %	0.99	1.17	1.17	0.93	0.58	0.73	0.88	1.04	0.41	0.67	1.09	0.0
St <sub>3</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.095	0.121	0.153	0.184	0.235	0.344	0.374	0.444	0.502	0.549	0.595	0.663
	Molecular weight (KDa)	378	288	203	147	103	65	50	32	24	18	14	10
	Band density (OD)	38.3	35.8	29.9	25.8	18.3	15.3	20.4	24.1	27.7	23.5	28.5	39.8
	Average of peak area %	1.14	0.87	1.38	1.58	0.66	0.47	0.61	0.61	0.84	0.59	0.68	0.99
St <sub>4</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.162	0.190	0.246	0.339	0.355	0.445	0.483	0.559	0.587	0.668	0.0	0.0
	Molecular weight (KDa)	184	137	99	67	59	32	26	17	15	9	0.0	0.0
	Band density (OD)	59.1	48.0	27.1	32.5	33.1	39.2	43.3	43.5	52.0	75.9	0.0	0.0
	Average of peak area %	2.82	0.88	0.48	0.59	0.48	0.44	0.48	0.91	0.57	16.4	0.0	0.0
Tr <sub>5</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.148	0.190	0.237	0.325	0.360	0.434	0.483	0.555	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Molecular weight (KDa)	214	137	103	71	56	34	26	18	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Band density (OD)	61.4	51.8	24.6	43.5	36.2	44.8	44.2	39.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Average of peak area %	0.64	1.14	0.51	2.05	0.40	0.81	0.65	0.58	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tr <sub>6</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.151	0.239	0.188	0.327	0.364	0.452	0.485	0.571	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Molecular weight (KDa)	209	102	140	70	54	31	26	16	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Band density (OD)	57.0	26.9	52.5	36.8	31.6	38.0	40.8	40.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Average of peak area %	1.03	0.50	4.99	0.83	0.49	1.0	0.92	1.14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tr <sub>7</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.123	0.148	0.190	0.237	0.329	0.381	0.455	0.503	0.564	0.594	0.668	0.0
	Molecular weight (KDa)	281	214	137	103	69	47	31	23	17	14	9	0.0
	Band density (OD)	48.0	37.3	31.7	18.2	23.9	29.5	35.4	35.7	24.4	36.0	72.2	0.0
	Average of peak area %	1.5	0.67	0.73	0.53	0.52	0.68	0.97	0.82	0.42	0.81	1.96	0.0

O.D. = Optical density of band.

Table 9: Continued

		Number of bands											
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
St <sub>8</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.118	0.160	0.197	0.238	0.336	0.384	0.438	0.491	0.539	0.574	0.650	0.729
	Molecular weight (KDa)	297	190	128	102	67	45	34	25	19	16	10	7
	Band density (OD)	48.9	41.8	34.0	18.7	24.3	26.6	30.9	28.7	23.7	24.8	63.8	63.2
	Average of peak area %	0.93	1.53	3.57	0.77	0.69	0.71	0.97	0.95	0.45	0.55	7.14	2.09
St <sub>9</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.120	0.162	0.199	0.234	0.329	0.373	0.44	0.495	0.569	0.667	0.0	0.0
	Molecular weight (KDa)	289	185	124	104	70	50	32	24	16	9	0.0	0.0
	Band density (OD)	43.08	40.0	34.6	20.3	20.2	22.2	32.2	31.8	31.3	66.0	0.0	0.0
	Average of peak area %	1.03	1.23	3.50	0.85	0.54	0.51	0.77	0.77	1.35	2.49	0.0	0.0
St <sub>10</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.132	0.164	0.182	0.233	0.312	0.365	0.464	0.515	0.554	0.614	0.654	0.0
	Molecular weight (KDa)	257	181	149	104	75	54	29	22	18	13	10	0.0
	Band density (OD)	26.3	24.4	20.5	14.7	17.2	14.7	22.3	19.7	15.3	26.4	39.4	0.0
	Average of peak area %	0.88	2.21	0.71	1.10	0.70	0.51	1.38	0.95	0.43	0.73	1.96	0.0
Tr <sub>11</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.097	0.139	0.199	0.250	0.354	0.394	0.449	0.500	0.546	0.623	0.650	0.736
	Molecular weight (KDa)	371	237	124	97	59	43	32	24	18	12	10	6
	Band density (OD)	17.6	20.7	15.6	8.2	8.5	12.1	13.8	11.8	10.8	17.3	21.5	24.5
	Average of peak area %	1.21	1.31	1.90	0.76	0.50	0.68	0.78	0.81	0.75	1.21	1.72	2.3
Tr <sub>12</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.118	0.151	0.183	0.251	0.343	0.383	0.469	0.513	0.578	0.615	0.664	0.0
	Molecular weight (KDa)	296	209	147	97	65	46	28	22	15	13	10	0.0
	Band density (OD)	52.7	42.7	34.2	13.4	18.4	23.6	32.8	28.8	28.2	35.1	43.0	0.0
	Average of peak area %	0.85	1.10	1.00	0.40	0.40	0.62	1.23	0.93	0.87	1.62	1.83	0.0
Tr <sub>13</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.123	0.164	0.190	0.262	0.343	0.377	0.468	0.495	0.532	0.567	0.609	0.674
	Molecular weight (KDa)	282	181	137	93	66	48	29	24	20	16	13	9
	Band density (OD)	58.4	44.7	41.2	19.5	28.8	31.0	41.7	43.3	37.4	30.1	41.6	59.4
	Average of peak area %	1.30	1.06	1.30	0.82	0.76	1.86	1.21	1.23	0.89	0.43	1.60	1.69
Tr <sub>14</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.144	0.167	0.204	0.251	0.336	0.385	0.448	0.517	0.580	0.617	0.675	0.0
	Molecular weight (KDa)	225	175	118	97	67	45	32	22	15	12	9	0.0
	Band density (OD)	37.7	33.6	28.8	15.3	21.1	27.4	36.3	32.7	26.4	33.9	45.1	0.0
	Average of peak area %	2.78	2.98	4.03	0.77	0.80	0.99	2.66	1.63	0.63	1.57	1.36	0.0
Tr <sub>15</sub>	Relative flow (Front)	0.153	0.206	0.252	0.343	0.387	0.468	0.500	0.558	0.609	0.678	0.0	0.0
	Molecular weight (KDa)	205	116	97	66	45	29	24	17	13	9	0.0	0.0
	Band density (OD)	49.6	40.1	14.3	20.6	26.10	32.8	27.2	21.7	31.2	49.5	0.0	0.0
	Average of peak area %	1.56	1.70	0.59	0.75	1.23	1.40	1.15	0.99	1.31	1.21	0.0	0.0

