# Journal of Soil Sciences and Agricultural Engineering

Journal homepage: <u>www.jssae.mans.edu.eg</u> Available online at: <u>www.jssae.journals.ekb.eg</u>

# Enhancement Yield and Quality of Faba Bean Plants Grown under Salt Affected Soils Conditions by Phosphorus Fertilizer Sources and Some Organic Acids

#### Rasha E. El-Mahdy; Eman H. Abd El-Azeiz and Riham M. N. Faiyad\*



Soil Fertility and Plant Nutrition Research Department, Soils, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt

#### ABSTRACT



Two field experiments were carried out at Experimental Farm of Tag El-Ezz Agricultural Research Station (30° 59<sup>\</sup> N latitude, 31° 58<sup>\</sup> E longitude<sup>'</sup>), Agriculture Research Center, Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt. Split plot design with three replicates was used during the two successive winter seasons of 2018/19 and 2019/20 to study the effect of four phosphorus fertilizer sources (without addition, super phosphate (SP), phosphoric acid (PA) and di ammonium phosphate (DAP)) as the main plots and four organic acids treatments (control, citric acid (CA), salicylic acid (SA) and ascorbic acid (ASA)) as foliar application in the sub plots on growth, yield and its components of faba bean plants (*Vicia faba L.*) cv. Giza 716 which grown under salt affected soil. Available elements N, P and K in the experimental soil were determined after harvesting. The obtained results could be summarized as follow: DAP gave the highest values of vegetative growth, yield and its components compared to other phosphorus sources. ASA as a foliar application has a superior effect on all studied parameters compared to the other foliar treatments. The interaction between DAP and ASA achieves the highest values of vegetative growth criteria, yield and its components of SP and CA application comparing with the other phosphorus fertilizers and organic acids treatments. The highest P use efficiency values were obtained by the combination of PA and ASA foliar application.

Keywords: Di ammonium phosphate, phosphoric acid, Salinity, Organic acids and Faba bean.

#### INTRODUCTION

Faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) is one of the most important winter legume crops and a major source of protein for both human and animal nutrition. Mature seeds of faba bean are good sources of protein, fat, carbohydrate, starch, cellulose, vitamin C, and minerals (Katell *et al.*, 2010). Bean yield and its productivity depend on fertilization as well as other crops. Faba bean is considered as a moderately sensitive legume to salinity (Mahdi, 2016). According to FAO (2000), *Vicia faba* tolerant the soil salinity till 1.7dSm<sup>-1</sup>, where the raising of soil salinity more than 1.7dSm<sup>-1</sup> causes decline the yield. For example, yield of *Vicia faba* grown on soil having ECe of 4.2dSm<sup>-1</sup> reduce to 75%.

Salinity is the accumulation of dissolved mineral salts present in soil solution and waters (Isayenkov, 2012). Salt stress affects negatively on plant metabolism, reduce photosynthesis, reduce growth via different ways i.e. osmotic stress by reducing water uptake, cytotoxicity as a result of an accumulation of Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> ions, nutritional imbalance and oxidative stress due to generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Roy *et al.*, 2014; Sallam *et al.*, 2014) as well as reduce mineral uptake consequently, reduce plant yield and its productivity (Jebara *et al.*, 2005). Salinization has adverse the effect not only on agricultural production of plants but also, on soil physiochemical properties (Hu and Schmidhalter, 2002).

Phosphorus is an important major nutrient for plants, its availability in soil depends on soil pH where, the highest availability recorded in 6 to 7 pH range (Gulmezoglu and Dughan, 2017). It plays an effective role in releasing energy during cellular metabolism which important for biological nitrogen fixation. Moreover, it stimulates root growth, photosynthesis, increase flower formation, fruit production and inter in many organic compounds formation as well as increasing salt tolerance in plants (Rafat and Sharifi, 2015; Fouda, 2017).

Super phosphate is the dominant phosphorus fertilizer used. When it added to soil moisture attracted to its granule, converted the soluble P within the granules into phosphoric acid and a less soluble form of P, di-calcium phosphate which converted into an insoluble tri-calcium phosphate in alkaline soil (Shen *et a*l., 2011).

Phosphoric acid also an excellent source of P but it's more expensive. It can be applied by injection directly to soil especially alkaline one, or added to irrigation water as occur in this search. Its application decreases pH of alkaline soil and increases the availability of soil nutrients (George *et al.*, 2016).

Di ammonium phosphate is an excellent source of P and N for the plant where it dissolves quickly in the soil to release available phosphate and ammonium. This ammonium act as a nitrogen source that converted to nitrate by soil bacteria which consequently decreases soil pH. Leading to an increase in the availability of nutrients and increasing nutrient uptake by plants (George *et al.*, 2016).

Citric acid is a vital organic acid act as an enzyme cofactor in plants, important for the respiratory cycle and other physiological processes (Raka, 2017). Citric acid application improves salinity tolerance by the plant where it affects positively on membrane stability, induces transporter enzyme

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: reham.nageb@yahoo.com

DOI: 10.21608/jssae.2021.155164

activities, eliminates free radicals produced in plants under salinity stress as well as improves growth and consequently increases plant yield (El-Tohamy *et al.*, 2015).

Salicylic acid is a natural phenolic phytohormone compound that acts as an endogenous regulator in the plant. It has a positive effective role in several plant physiological processes (Ramzan *et al.*, 2018). Abdelaal, (2015) reported that, application of salicylic acid regulates plant growth, development and flowering as well as it enhances enzyme activities as amylase and nitrate- reductase, it is accumulated osmotic adjustment solutes (protein, sugars and glutathione), increases antioxidant enzyme activities (catalase and peroxidase and decreases ROS level and lipid peroxidation (Ahmad *et al.*, 2018).

Ascorbic acid naturally occurs in the chloroplast and cell wall of the plant, it acts as a growth regulating factor and enzymatic antioxidants that reduce ROS production in plants by providing electron donors (Sadak *et al.*, 2010). Also, it plays a critical role in several physiological processes in a plant as antioxidant defense, photosynthesis regulation, cell division and enlargement, growth and plant development (Dolatabadian and Saleh Jouneghani, 2009). This work aims to study the enhancement effect of the application of P- fertilization sources and foliar application of some organic acids on yield and quality of faba bean plants grown under salt affected soil conditions.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted during two successive winter seasons of 2018/19 and 2019/20 at the farm of Tag El-Ezz, Agricultural Research Station, Agricultural Research Center, Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt, (located at 30° 59' N latitude, 31° 58' E longitude') to study the effect of P- fertilization sources and foliar application of some organic acids on growth, yield and its components as well as chemical composition of faba bean plants grown under salt affected soil. Seeds of faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) cv. (Giza 716) were obtained from the Legumes Crops Research Department, Ministry of Agriculture, Egypt. Random disturbed soil samples from the surface of the soil (at the depth 0-30 cm) were collected before planting. Some physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil were determined according to Page *et al.*, (1982) and Klute (1986) as shown in Table (1).

Table 1. Average values of physical and chemical properties of the experimental soil.

Particle size dis	tribution (% )			Tez	ctural lass	EC, dSm <sup>-1</sup> *	pH **	SSP	SAR	ESP
C.sand sand	F.sand	Silt	Clay	(	1	-	•		(%)	
4.07	15.45	38.20	42.28	- (	Jay	4.64	7.96	54.09	8.59	10.24
		Solub	le ions(mm	ol L <sup>-1</sup> )				Available	elements,	mg Kg <sup>-1</sup>
	Soluble cat	tions			Soluble	anions				
Ca <sup>++</sup>	Mg <sup>++</sup>	Na <sup>+</sup>	$K^+$	CO3 <sup></sup>	HCO3 <sup>-</sup>	Cl	SO <sub>4</sub> -	Ν	Р	K
8.80	8.31	25.10	4.20	0.00	2.00	20.41	24.00	49.62	8.77	241.35
* Soil Electrical (	andustivity (EC	) and caluble :	ana wana da	tomain ad in	coturnated cal	I posto ortugo	4			

\* Soil Electrical Conductivity (EC) and soluble ions were determined in saturated soil paste extract.

\*\* Soil pH was determined in soil suspension (1: 2.5).

#### Experiment description.

The experiments included sixteen treatments resulting from the combinations of four P fertilizer sources (without addition, super phosphate (SP), phosphoric acid (PA), and di ammonium phosphate (DAP)) as the main plots and four organic acids treatments (control, citric acid (CA), salicylic acid (SA) and ascorbic acid (ASA)) as the sub plots on faba bean (*Vicia faba* L.) var. Giza (716) plants growth, yield, its components and chemical constituents. The experimental design was a split- plot with three replications. The experimental area consisted of 48 plots. The sub plot area was 14 m<sup>2</sup> (4m ×3.5m).

Prior to sowing, faba bean seeds were inoculated with the liquid culture of rhizobium bacteria which obtained from the Agricultural Research Center (ARC) at the rate of 800 g fed-<sup>1</sup>. Arabic gum was added to the liquid culture as an adhesive agent. Seeds of faba bean were sown in hills spaced 25 cm apart at both sides of the ridge at 25th, October during the two growing seasons. Thinning was carried out when the plant height becomes 15 cm leaving two plants per hill. With regard to the soil applied treatments, super phosphate  $[Ca(H_2PO_4)_2)$ 15.5% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>] fertilizer was added to the soil during soil preparation while di ammonium phosphate [((NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>) 46% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, 18% N] and phosphoric acid [(H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) 60% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>] fertilizers divided into three equal portions and added at three times: at sowing, 21and 45 days from sowing at the rate of 15 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> fed<sup>-1</sup>. Nitrogen was added as urea (46.5% N) at the rate of 15 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>, with regard to decreasing the amount of nitrogen in DAP from the dose added and Potassium was added

as potassium sulphate (48% K<sub>2</sub>O) at the rate of 25 kg K<sub>2</sub>O fed<sup>-1</sup> according to recommendation by the Ministry of Agriculture and Soil Reclamation (MASR).The plants were sprayed with organic acids twice at 45 and 70 days of planting with freshly prepared solutions of citric acid (CA), salicylic acid (SA) and ascorbic acid (ASA) at the rate 200 mg L<sup>-1</sup> individually. Meanwhile, untreated plants were sprayed by distilled water to serve as a control.

#### Data recorded.

#### 1- Growth parameters:

Plant samples were collected from each sub plot at maximum vegetative growth stage for measurement of some growth parameters (shoot length (cm), root length (cm), No. of branches, plant fresh weight (g) and plant dry weight (g)). Chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b, and total chlorophyll (mg g<sup>-1</sup> fresh weight of leaf) were determined using a method described by Nayek *et al.*, (2014). Total N, P and K content were determined according to the methods described by Chapman and Pratt (1961) and Buresh (1982), respectively. Nutrients uptake was determined according to the following formula

#### Nutrients uptake <u>Nutrient concentration x dry weight</u>

100

#### 2- Harvest stage:

At harvest, the following characters, pods number plant<sup>-1</sup>, Pods weight (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), seeds number plant<sup>-1</sup>, 100 seeds weight (g), seed and straw yields (ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded at a random of ten guarded plants from each plot. The seeds yield were cleaned and crushed to determine total N, P and K components. Protein percentage was estimated by multiplying nitrogen percentage by the factor (6.25) according to A.O.A.C. (1990). Proline was extracted in 3% sulphosalicylic acid and was determined calorimetrically according to the method of Bates *et al.*, (1973). In straw, P was determined calorimetrically. Nutrients uptake was determined according to the following formula

Nutrients uptake \_ <u>Nutrient concentration x yield</u>

100

#### Phosphorus use efficiency.

Agronomic and recovery efficiency as well as partial factor productivity of phosphorus were calculated using following equations according to Naeem *et al.* (2017).

## Seed yield

#### Fertilizer P applied

#### 3- Residual nutrients in soil:

Surface soil samples (0-30 cm) from each sub plot were collected after harvesting to determine the available N, P and K (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>).

#### Economics.

Total cost of cultivation as well as gross return was calculated on the basis of prevailing market rates for different practices and produces. The total cost of cultivation per feddan was subtracted from the gross income for computing net returns from each treatment.

Net return (£. fed<sup>-1</sup>) = Gross return ((£. fed<sup>-1</sup>) - Cost of cultivation (£. fed<sup>-1</sup>) Benefit cost ratio (BCR) was calculated treatment

wise as below.

## $Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) = \frac{Gross return}{Cost of cultivation}$ Statistical analysis

Results from identical experiments of the 2 years were combined for analysis. Significant differences among treatments means were determined at  $P \le 0.05$  by using LSD test and Duncan's Multiple Comparisons Test. Data of the present study were statistically analyzed using CoSTATE Computer Software, according to Gomez and Gomez, (1984).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 1- Plant Growth Parameters.

Presented data in Table 2 show the effect of treating faba bean plants with different P fertilizer sources and foliar application of some organic acids as well as their interactions on vegetative growth characters of faba bean plants (shoot height (cm), root length (cm), No. of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, plant fresh weight (g) and plant dry weight(g)) under salinity stress condition. Data indicated that all the treatments have a significant effect on all bean plants studied parameters.

It is obvious from data recorded in Table 2 that DAP fertilizer recorded the highest significant values of all studied vegetative growth parameters. This may be due to DAP rather solubility than SP and less reactions with the other soil components than PA, the same result was recorded by Turuko and Mohamed, (2014). While, ASA foliar application gave the highest values of all mentioned parameters. This may be due to absorption of ASA led to decrease plant soap pH which increase nutrients activities, this result in matching with that recorded by Khan *et al.*, (2011).

Table 2. Mean eff	ect of P	fertilizer	sources	and s	some	organic	acids	and	their	interactions	on	vegetative	growth
parameter	s of faba	a bean gro	wn unde	r salin	nity st	ress.							

Treatments		Shoot height	Root length	No. of branches	Fresh weight	Dry weight
Treatments		cm		plant <sup>-1</sup>	g plaı	nt <sup>-1</sup>
		Phos	ohorus Fertilizer so	ources		
Without addition		100.08 <sup>d</sup>	14.1 <sup>d</sup>	3.51 <sup>d</sup>	226.16 <sup>d</sup>	26.94 <sup>d</sup>
Superphosphate (SP	)(control)	103.41 <sup>c</sup>	15.0 <sup>c</sup>	3.66 <sup>c</sup>	256.58 <sup>c</sup>	28.82 <sup>c</sup>
Phosphoric acid (PA	A)	108.16 <sup>b</sup>	16.1 <sup>b</sup>	3.75 <sup>b</sup>	287.16 <sup>b</sup>	32.49 <sup>b</sup>
Di ammonium phos	phate (DAP)	116.75 <sup>a</sup>	17.66 <sup>a</sup>	3.83 <sup>a</sup>	321.83 <sup>a</sup>	38.26 <sup>a</sup>
		I	Foliar Application	8		
Cont.		108.58 <sup>d</sup>	14.83 <sup>d</sup>	3.75 <sup>d</sup>	259.00 <sup>d</sup>	30.19 <sup>d</sup>
Citric acid (CA)		109.08 <sup>c</sup>	15.90°	3.80 <sup>c</sup>	265.75°	30.95°
Salicylic acid (SA)		109.58 <sup>b</sup>	15.91 <sup>b</sup>	3.85 <sup>b</sup>	277.91 <sup>b</sup>	31.64 <sup>b</sup>
Ascorbic acid (ASA	.)	111.16 <sup>a</sup>	16.41ª	3.91 <sup>a</sup>	289.08 <sup>a</sup>	33.73ª
			Interactions			
	Cont.	97.33 <sup>i</sup>	12.33 <sup>m</sup>	3.33 <sup>e</sup>	215.23 <sup>p</sup>	26.36 <sup>1</sup>
Without addition	CA	100.00 <sup>h</sup>	13.00 <sup>1</sup>	4.33 <sup>b</sup>	216.23°	26.87 <sup>k</sup>
without addition	SA	103.00 <sup>g</sup>	14.00 <sup>k</sup>	3.33 <sup>e</sup>	233.56 <sup>n</sup>	27.21 <sup>j</sup>
	ASA	107.54 <sup>e</sup>	14.66 <sup>j</sup>	4.33 <sup>b</sup>	239.23 <sup>m</sup>	27.31 <sup>j</sup>
	Cont	100.00 <sup>h</sup>	15.02 <sup>i</sup>	4.00 <sup>c</sup>	241.00 <sup>1</sup>	27.85 <sup>i</sup>
CD(a - m + m - 1)	CA	102.33 <sup>g</sup>	15.33 <sup>h</sup>	4.00 <sup>c</sup>	257.00 <sup>k</sup>	28.40 <sup>h</sup>
SP (control)	SA	107.30 <sup>e</sup>	15.53 <sup>g</sup>	3.66 <sup>d</sup>	261.33 <sup>j</sup>	28.64 <sup>h</sup>
	ASA	108.00 <sup>e</sup>	16.33 <sup>f</sup>	3.33 <sup>e</sup>	267.00 <sup>i</sup>	30.38 <sup>g</sup>
	Cont	102.33 <sup>g</sup>	16.30 <sup>f</sup>	3.33 <sup>e</sup>	272.33 <sup>h</sup>	30.55 <sup>g</sup>
DA	CA	105.00 <sup>f</sup>	17.00 <sup>e</sup>	4.00 <sup>c</sup>	279.33 <sup>g</sup>	30.87 <sup>f</sup>
FA	SA	110.33 <sup>d</sup>	17.50 <sup>d</sup>	4.00 <sup>c</sup>	291.66 <sup>f</sup>	33.45 <sup>e</sup>
	ASA	115.00 <sup>c</sup>	18.01 <sup>c</sup>	4.33 <sup>b</sup>	305.33 <sup>e</sup>	35.08 <sup>d</sup>
	Cont	102.66 <sup>g</sup>	17.50 <sup>d</sup>	3.00 <sup>f</sup>	307.23 <sup>d</sup>	35.98°
DAD	CA	117.66 <sup>b</sup>	18.00 <sup>c</sup>	3.33 <sup>e</sup>	310.23°	37.42 <sup>b</sup>
DAI	SA	119.00 <sup>b</sup>	21.00 <sup>b</sup>	3.30 <sup>e</sup>	325.00 <sup>b</sup>	37.50 <sup>b</sup>
	ASA	120.63 <sup>a</sup>	21.33 <sup>a</sup>	4.66 <sup>a</sup>	344.66 <sup>a</sup>	42.15 <sup>a</sup>

Also, data revealed that the lowest values of all previously studied parameters were recorded under salinity stress (without P fertilizer and without foliar application), this reduction may be due to the negative effect of salinity which promotes accumulation of certain ions and deficiency of others (Abdul Qados, 2011). Excess of salts has an inhibitory effect on metabolic activities of cell wall leading to deposition of various materials that decrease cell wall elasticity consequently cell wall becomes rigid and turgor pressure efficiency in cell enlargement is decreased (Patel *et al.*, 2010).

On the other hand, faba bean plants gave the highest values of shoot height, root length, No. of branches plant<sup>-1</sup>, plant fresh weight and plant dry weight in case of interaction of DAP fertilizer and foliar application with ASA under salinity stress condition where, phosphorus plays a vital role in cell division activity and improve salt tolerance leading to the increase of plant height, No. of branches and consequently increased plant dry weight (Tesfay *et al.*, 2007). As well as ASA inhibits the effect of salt stress, increases physiological availability of water and nutrients that regulate root elongation, cell vacuolation and cell expansion as well as increases the content of (IAA) that induce cell division and enlargement leading to an increase in plant growth.

#### 2- Chlorophyll Content.

It is evident from Table 3 that the average of chlorophyll content (Chl. a, Chl. b and total Chl.) are decreased under salinity stress (without P fertilizer and foliar application), this reduction may be due to the negative effect of accumulated ions on the biosynthesis of chlorophyll (Stoeva and Kaymakanova, 2008). Also, salinity affects the forces binding the pigment – protein- lipid complex in chloroplast structure which leads to a reduction in chlorophyll , as well as it generates reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as  $H_2O_2$  which causes chlorophyll degradation (Hamada and El-Anany,1994).

Table 3. Mean effect of P fertilizer sources and some<br/>organic acids and their interactions on<br/>chlorophyll content on vegetative growth of faba<br/>bean grown under salinity stress.

Treatments         Chlorophyll         Chlorophyll         T           a         b         chlor           hosphorus         Fertilizer sources         without addition         0.468 <sup>d</sup> 0.176 <sup>d</sup> 0.0	otal rophyll 651 <sup>d</sup> 796 <sup>c</sup> 918 <sup>b</sup> 110 <sup>a</sup>
abchlorhosphorus Fertilizer sourcesWithout addition0.468 <sup>d</sup> 0.176 <sup>d</sup> 0.0	<b>cophyll</b> 551 <sup>d</sup> 796 <sup>c</sup> 918 <sup>b</sup> 110 <sup>a</sup>
hosphorus Fertilizer sources Without addition 0.468 <sup>d</sup> 0.176 <sup>d</sup> 0.0	551 <sup>d</sup> 796 <sup>c</sup> 918 <sup>b</sup> 110ª
Without addition $0.468^{d}$ $0.176^{d}$ $0.00000000000000000000000000000000000$	551 <sup>d</sup> 796 <sup>c</sup> 918 <sup>b</sup> 110 <sup>a</sup>
	796° 918 <sup>6</sup> 110ª
Superphosphate (SP)(control) $0.478^{\circ}$ $0.287^{\circ}$ $0.78^{\circ}$	918 <sup>b</sup> 110 <sup>a</sup>
Phosphoric acid (PA) $0.512^{b}$ $0.379^{b}$ $0.9512^{b}$	110 <sup>a</sup>
Di ammonium phosphate (DAP) $0.634^{a}$ $0.402^{a}$ 1.	
Foliar Applications	
Cont. 0.448 <sup>d</sup> 0.283 <sup>d</sup> 0.'	743°
Citric acid (CA) 0.497 <sup>c</sup> 0.291 <sup>c</sup> 0.8	822 <sup>b</sup>
Salicylic acid (SA) 0.570 <sup>b</sup> 0.307 <sup>b</sup> 0.3	883 <sup>b</sup>
Ascorbic acid (ASA) 0.577 <sup>a</sup> 0.365 <sup>a</sup> 0.9	957 <sup>a</sup>
Interactions	
Cont. $0.270^{l}$ $0.093^{k}$ $0.3^{k}$	369 <sup>g</sup>
Without CA $0.400^{k}$ $0.135^{j}$ 0.	540 <sup>f</sup>
addition SA $0.460^{j}$ $0.141^{j}$ 0.	609 <sup>f</sup>
ASA 0.494i 0.213 <sup>i</sup> 0.'	712 <sup>e</sup>
Cont. 0.501 <sup>h</sup> 0.243 <sup>h</sup> 0.'	751 <sup>e</sup>
SP CA 0.505 <sup>h</sup> 0.250 <sup>gh</sup> 0.7	773 <sup>de</sup>
Control SA 0.510 <sup>g</sup> 0.260 <sup>fg</sup> 0.7	777 <sup>de</sup>
ASA 0.511 <sup>g</sup> 0.271 <sup>f</sup> 0.7	797 <sup>de</sup>
Cont. 0.519 <sup>f</sup> 0.317 <sup>e</sup> 0.3	841 <sup>d</sup>
CA 0.525 <sup>f</sup> 0.401 <sup>d</sup> 0.5	933°
SA 0.527e 0.426 <sup>c</sup> 0.5	959°
ASA $0.530^{\circ}$ $0.429^{\circ}$ $0.530^{\circ}$	963°
Cont. 0.589 <sup>d</sup> 0.441 <sup>bc</sup> 1.0	)44 <sup>b</sup>
DAD CA 0.615 <sup>c</sup> 0.454 <sup>b</sup> 1.0	075 <sup>b</sup>
SA 0.664 <sup>b</sup> 0.471 <sup>a</sup> 1.	138 <sup>b</sup>
ASA 0.746 <sup>a</sup> 0.474 <sup>a</sup> 1.1	225 <sup>a</sup>

DAP as a phosphorus fertilizer source recorded the highest significant values of chlorophyll pigments content as Darwesh *et al.*, (2013) recorded, while, ASA achieved the highest significant values of chlorophyll content compared with the other foliar application treatments, the same result concluded by (Nicholas and Wheeler, 2000).

On the other hand, the interaction between DAP fertilizer and ASA foliar application gave the highest significant effective values of chlorophyll pigments content in faba bean plants grown under salinity stress where phosphorus fertilizer enhanced cell division and increased chlorophyll development as well as playing a role in respiration, cellular energy transfer and photosynthesis as well as induced uptake and accumulation of Mg <sup>2+</sup> which important for chlorophyll synthesis (Bertrand *et al.*, 2003). Shubhra *et al.*, (2004) reported that, phosphorus application protecting photosynthetic machinery from salt-induced ROS through acting as a free radical scavenger.

ASA has an effective role in chlorophyll biosynthesis, protecting chloroplast from oxidative damage that occurred as a result of salt stress as well as inducing photosynthetic activities (Sadak *et al.*, 2010).

#### 3- Nutrients Concentration and Their Uptake by Shoots.

It is obvious from the average results in Table 4 that, salinity reduces nutrient content in leaves and their uptake especially nitrogen may be due to salinity causes nutrients unbalance or / and decreasing water absorption, the same result reported by Abd EL-Mawgoud *et al.*, (2010). Reduction in nitrogen concentration value is due to a decrease in the transpiration rate that transport N from roots to shoots (Pessaraki *et al.*, 1989).

Stoeva and Kaymakanova, (2008) showed that increasing salt concentration leading to a decreasing of P content as well as K content and their uptakes which may be attributed to the difference between osmotic pressure around the root zone and plant tissue and decreasing of P and K mobility under salt stress condition.

DAP fertilizer recorded the highest values of NPK content as Darwesh *et al.*, (2013) recorded. On the other hand, ASA foliar application gave the highest values of NPK content where it reduces salinity stress effect and increasing nutrients availability leading to increasing nutrient uptake by the plant (Al quriany, 2007).

Interaction of DAP and ASA achieved the highest values of NPK concentration in vegetative growth stage under salinity stress, this increments may be due to the role of phosphorus fertilizer in enhancing nutrients balance and the competitive between phosphate and chloride as well as ascorbic acid which adverse negative effect of salinity (Mustafa *etal.*, 2004).

#### 4- Yield and Its Components.

The data presented in Table 5 and Fig. 1 indicate the average of seed and straw yields (ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) and yield components [i.e. pods No. plant<sup>-1</sup>, pods weight (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), seeds No. plant<sup>-1</sup> and 100 seed weight (g)]. It records that salinity reduces yield and its components as Garcia *et al.*, (2019) recorded.

Reduction of yield under salt stress condition may be attributed to reduction of chlorophyll pigments thus, reduce photosynthetic rate, decreasing rhizobia activity which decrease nitrogen fixation as well as reduce efficiency of filling the developing seeds consequently decline seeds No. plant<sup>-1</sup> and dry matter yield (Ahmed, 2009).

DAP fertilizer as a source of phosphorus fertilizer gave the highest values of seed and straw yields and yield components, this is the same result obtained by Osman *et al.*, (2012). Application of phosphorus fertilizer to soil improves the nutrients balance, enhances shoot growth, promotes efficiency the formation of nods and pods in legumes and it's important for seed formation (Shubhra *et al.*, 2004).

Table 4. Mean effect of P fertilizer sources and some organic acids and their interactions on nutrient content (%) a	nd
their uptake (mg plant <sup>-1</sup> ) of faba bean shoots grown under salinity stress.	

		N	utrients		Nutritional e	elements up	take
Treatments	-	Ν	Р	K	Ν	Р	K
	-		%		(	(mg plant <sup>-1</sup> )	)
		Phosphorus	Fertilizer sources	8			
Without addition		3.10 <sup>d</sup>	0.401 <sup>d</sup>	1.970 <sup>d</sup>	840 <sup>d</sup>	106 <sup>d</sup>	517 <sup>d</sup>
Superphosphate (SP)(con	ntrol)	3.38 <sup>c</sup>	0.437 <sup>c</sup>	2.251°	954°	122 <sup>c</sup>	629 <sup>c</sup>
Phosphoric acid (PA)		3.55 <sup>b</sup>	0.452 <sup>b</sup>	2.384 <sup>b</sup>	1149 <sup>b</sup>	145 <sup>b</sup>	769 <sup>b</sup>
Di ammonium phosphate	e (DAP)	3.64 <sup>a</sup>	0.459 <sup>a</sup>	2.449 <sup>a</sup>	1432 <sup>a</sup>	178 <sup>a</sup>	969 <sup>a</sup>
		Foliar .	Applications				
Cont.		3.21 <sup>d</sup>	0.417 <sup>b</sup>	2.096 <sup>b</sup>	1010 <sup>d</sup>	129 <sup>d</sup>	668 <sup>d</sup>
Citric acid (CA)		3.40°	0.435 <sup>ab</sup>	2.257 <sup>b</sup>	1059 <sup>c</sup>	133 <sup>c</sup>	690°
Salicylic acid (SA)		3.50 <sup>b</sup>	0.443 <sup>ab</sup>	2.328 <sup>a</sup>	1100 <sup>b</sup>	139 <sup>b</sup>	731 <sup>b</sup>
Ascorbic acid (ASA)		3.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.452 <sup>a</sup>	2.372 <sup>a</sup>	1206 <sup>a</sup>	150 <sup>a</sup>	795 <sup>a</sup>
		Inte	eractions				
	Cont.	3.01°	0.389 <sup>i</sup>	1.916 <sup>1</sup>	793 <sup>p</sup>	100 <sup>1</sup>	503 <sup>k</sup>
W7:41	CA	3.08 <sup>n</sup>	0.397 <sup>hi</sup>	1.946 <sup>k</sup>	82°	104 <sup>k</sup>	476 <sup>k</sup>
without addition	SA	3.17 <sup>m</sup>	0.403 <sup>gh</sup>	1.976 <sup>k</sup>	862 <sup>n</sup>	108 <sup>jk</sup>	536 <sup>j</sup>
	ASA	3.22 <sup>1</sup>	0.413 <sup>fg</sup>	2.040 <sup>jk</sup>	879 <sup>m</sup>	112 <sup>j</sup>	552 <sup>j</sup>
	Cont	3.22 <sup>1</sup>	0.422 <sup>ef</sup>	2.103 <sup>ij</sup>	896 <sup>1</sup>	117 <sup>i</sup>	585 <sup>i</sup>
	CA	3.28 <sup>k</sup>	0.426 <sup>ef</sup>	2.165 <sup>hi</sup>	939 <sup>k</sup>	120 <sup>hi</sup>	618 <sup>h</sup>
SP Control	SA	3.34 <sup>j</sup>	0.430 <sup>de</sup>	2.210g <sup>hi</sup>	949 <sup>j</sup>	122 <sup>h</sup>	628 <sup>h</sup>
	ASA	3.39 <sup>i</sup>	0.437 <sup>de</sup>	2.253 <sup>fgh</sup>	1030 <sup>i</sup>	131 <sup>g</sup>	683 <sup>g</sup>
	Cont	3.44 <sup>h</sup>	0.442 <sup>cd</sup>	2.296 <sup>efg</sup>	1050 <sup>h</sup>	134 <sup>fg</sup>	699 <sup>fg</sup>
DA	CA	3.49 <sup>g</sup>	0.447 <sup>cd</sup>	2.353 <sup>def</sup>	1077 <sup>g</sup>	136 <sup>f</sup>	725 <sup>f</sup>
PA	SA	3.54 <sup>f</sup>	0.452 <sup>bc</sup>	2.403 <sup>cde</sup>	$1184^{\mathrm{f}}$	156 <sup>e</sup>	803 <sup>e</sup>
	ASA	3.67 <sup>d</sup>	0.455 <sup>bc</sup>	2.426 <sup>cd</sup>	1285 <sup>e</sup>	158 <sup>d</sup>	849 <sup>d</sup>
	Cont	3.61 <sup>e</sup>	0.462 <sup>ab</sup>	2.460 <sup>bcd</sup>	1299 <sup>d</sup>	165°	885°
	CA	3.72°	0.467 <sup>ab</sup>	2.516 <sup>abc</sup>	1392 <sup>c</sup>	172 <sup>b</sup>	939 <sup>b</sup>
DAP	SA	3.75 <sup>b</sup>	0.472 <sup>a</sup>	2.556 <sup>ab</sup>	1406 <sup>b</sup>	176 <sup>b</sup>	956 <sup>b</sup>
	ASA	3.87 <sup>a</sup>	0.479 <sup>a</sup>	2.603 <sup>a</sup>	1631 <sup>a</sup>	198 <sup>a</sup>	1096 <sup>a</sup>

Table 5. Mean effect of P fertilizer sources and some organic acids and their interactions on yield and yield components of faba bean grown under salinity stress at harvest stage.

Turaturata	8	pods No.	Pods Weight	Seeds No.	100 seed	Seed Yield	Straw Yield
1 reatments		plant <sup>-1</sup>	(g plant <sup>-1</sup> )	plant <sup>-1</sup>	weight (g)	(ton fed <sup>-1</sup> )	(ton fed <sup>-1</sup> )
		Р	hosphorus Fertiliz	er sources			
Without addition		14.35 <sup>d</sup>	139.96 <sup>d</sup>	40.33 <sup>d</sup>	64.34 <sup>d</sup>	1.130 <sup>d</sup>	3.806 <sup>d</sup>
Superphosphate (SP)(	control)	15.25 <sup>c</sup>	150.16 <sup>c</sup>	40.83 <sup>c</sup>	69.47°	1.395°	4.484 <sup>c</sup>
Phosphoric acid (PA)		15.50 <sup>b</sup>	159.57 <sup>b</sup>	47.08 <sup>b</sup>	69.83 <sup>b</sup>	1.466 <sup>b</sup>	4.782 <sup>b</sup>
Di ammonium phosph	nate (DAP)	18.41 <sup>a</sup>	189.38 <sup>a</sup>	49.75 <sup>a</sup>	78.41 <sup>a</sup>	1.536 <sup>a</sup>	4.932 <sup>a</sup>
			Foliar Applica	tions			
Cont.		13.41 <sup>d</sup>	149.29 <sup>c</sup>	42.00 <sup>d</sup>	65.24 <sup>c</sup>	1.276 <sup>d</sup>	4.291 <sup>d</sup>
Citric acid (CA)		15.75 <sup>c</sup>	153.49 <sup>b</sup>	42.92 <sup>c</sup>	68.14 <sup>b</sup>	1.349 <sup>c</sup>	4.452 <sup>c</sup>
Salicylic acid (SA)		16.16 <sup>b</sup>	155.80 <sup>ab</sup>	45.00 <sup>b</sup>	70.85 <sup>b</sup>	1.421 <sup>b</sup>	4.551 <sup>b</sup>
Ascorbic acid (ASA)		18.16 <sup>a</sup>	$186.48^{a}$	47.16 <sup>a</sup>	77.82 <sup>a</sup>	1.482 <sup>a</sup>	4.710 <sup>a</sup>
			Interaction	S			
	Cont.	11.67 <sup>p</sup>	109.66 <sup>g</sup>	32.66 <sup>m</sup>	62.96 <sup>g</sup>	0.995 <sup>k</sup>	3.538°
W/4	CA	11.70°	110 .23 <sup>f</sup>	35.00 <sup>1</sup>	63.96 <sup>g</sup>	1.102 <sup>j</sup>	3.754 <sup>n</sup>
without addition	SA	12.00 <sup>n</sup>	112.76 <sup>f</sup>	36.00 <sup>1</sup>	64.05 <sup>g</sup>	1.194 <sup>i</sup>	3.908 <sup>m</sup>
	ASA	12.33 <sup>m</sup>	118.35 <sup>f</sup>	39.33 <sup>k</sup>	64.12 <sup>g</sup>	1.232 <sup>h</sup>	4.022 <sup>1</sup>
	Cont	13.67 <sup>1</sup>	129.95e <sup>f</sup>	40.33 <sup>jk</sup>	64.18 <sup>fg</sup>	1.300 <sup>g</sup>	4.339 <sup>k</sup>
	CA	14.33 <sup>k</sup>	147.47 <sup>de</sup>	41.33 <sup>ij</sup>	65.97 <sup>ef</sup>	1.367 <sup>f</sup>	4.451 <sup>j</sup>
SP Control	SA	14.43 <sup>j</sup>	149.36 <sup>de</sup>	43.00 <sup>hi</sup>	66.11 <sup>e</sup>	1.417 <sup>e</sup>	4.513 <sup>i</sup>
	ASA	15.00 <sup>i</sup>	150.98 <sup>de</sup>	44.66 <sup>gh</sup>	66.29 <sup>e</sup>	1.496 <sup>c</sup>	4.633 <sup>g</sup>
	Cont	15.33 <sup>h</sup>	151.02 <sup>de</sup>	45.66 <sup>fg</sup>	70.00 <sup>d</sup>	1.367 <sup>f</sup>	4.568 <sup>h</sup>
DA	CA	16.00 <sup>g</sup>	154.52 <sup>d</sup>	46.66 <sup>ef</sup>	70.73 <sup>d</sup>	1.426 <sup>de</sup>	$4.712^{f}$
rA	SA	17.00 <sup>f</sup>	168.35 <sup>cd</sup>	47.33 <sup>ef</sup>	71.42 <sup>d</sup>	1.509°	4.861 <sup>e</sup>
	ASA	17.33 <sup>e</sup>	179.05 <sup>c</sup>	48.00 <sup>de</sup>	74.21°	1.587 <sup>b</sup>	4.988 <sup>b</sup>
	Cont	17.35 <sup>d</sup>	180.20 <sup>c</sup>	49.66 <sup>cd</sup>	77.15 <sup>b</sup>	1.442 <sup>d</sup>	4.717 <sup>f</sup>
DAD	CA	18.67 <sup>c</sup>	215.04 <sup>b</sup>	50.33°	77.16 <sup>b</sup>	1.503 <sup>c</sup>	4.890 <sup>d</sup>
DAL	SA	20.00 <sup>b</sup>	231.32 <sup>ab</sup>	53.66 <sup>b</sup>	77.62 <sup>b</sup>	1.567 <sup>b</sup>	4.922 <sup>c</sup>
	ASA	27.33 <sup>a</sup>	248.06 <sup>a</sup>	58.33 <sup>a</sup>	92.28 <sup>a</sup>	1.635 <sup>a</sup>	5.197 <sup>a</sup>

While, ASA recorded the highest significant values of seed and straw yields and yield components [i.e. pods No. plant<sup>-1</sup>, pods weight (g plant<sup>-1</sup>), seeds No. plant<sup>-1</sup> and 100 seed weight (g)] comparing with other organic acids foliar application.



# Fig. 1. Interaction effects of P fertilizer sources and some organic acids on seed yield (ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) of faba bean grown under salinity stress.

ASA is an antioxidant molecule which adverse negative effect of salinity through detoxification of reactive oxygen entities (Khan *et al.*, 2011) as well as it induces plant growth, photosynthetic rate, transpiration and improving activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), peroxidase (POD) and catalase (CAT) anti oxidative enzymes consequently

improving growth, yield and yield components of plants (Akram et al., 2017).

On the other hand, data recorded that interaction of DAP and ASA under salinity conditions gave the highest values of seed and straw yields and yield components. Phosphorus application alleviates the plant cytotoxicity that occurred by salinity stress where, it promotes the natural anti oxidative defense system of plant and it has a positive effect in mitigating the salt that induced damage in plants (Bargaz *et al.*, 2016). All of these effective roles improve tolerance of salinity by plants leading to increases in plant growth and its yield. **5- Chemical Composition of Yield at Harvest Stage.** 

Data in Table 6, Figs. 2 and 3 showed the mean effect of different P fertilizer sources and some organic acids foliar application as well as their interactions on NPK in seeds, P in straw, protein, proline content and nutrients uptake of faba bean under salinity stress condition.

DAP gave the highest values of NPK in seeds, P in straw, protein, proline content and nutrients uptake, also, ASA achieved the highest significant values of previously studied parameters.

Interaction of DAP and ASA foliar application recorded the highest values of NPK content, protein, proline content and nutrients uptake. The same results were recorded by Darwesh *et al.*, (2013) and Akram *et al.*, (2017).

Table 6. Mean effect of P fertilizer sources and some organic acids and their interactions on yield components and their uptake (kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) of faba bean seeds grown under salinity stress at harvest stage.

		Nutr	ients in se	eeds	P in	Nut	tritional ele	ements upta	ake	Protein	Proline
Treatments		Ν	Р	K	straw	N in seeds	P in seeds	K in seeds	P in straw	7	
			%	1			Kgf	ed <sup>-1</sup>		%	µmol.g <sup>-1</sup> FW
				Phosp	horus Fe	rtilizer sour	rces				
Without addition		1.91 <sup>d</sup>	0.193 <sup>d</sup>	1.619 <sup>d</sup>	0.103 <sup>d</sup>	21.69 <sup>d</sup>	2.19 <sup>d</sup>	18.37 <sup>d</sup>	3.93 <sup>d</sup>	11.94 <sup>d</sup>	6.862 <sup>d</sup>
Superphosphate (SP)(control)		2.29°	0.237 <sup>c</sup>	1.913 <sup>c</sup>	0.120 <sup>c</sup>	32.75 <sup>c</sup>	3.39°	28.08 <sup>c</sup>	5.68 <sup>c</sup>	13.93°	7.798 <sup>c</sup>
Phosphoric ac	id (PA)	2.37 <sup>b</sup>	0.251 <sup>b</sup>	2.060 <sup>b</sup>	0.139 <sup>b</sup>	33.21 <sup>b</sup>	3.52 <sup>b</sup>	29.86 <sup>b</sup>	6.70 <sup>b</sup>	14.83 <sup>b</sup>	8.184 <sup>b</sup>
Di ammonium phosphate (DAP)		2.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.260 <sup>a</sup>	2.126 <sup>a</sup>	0.147 <sup>a</sup>	37.79 <sup>a</sup>	4.15 <sup>a</sup>	32.80 <sup>a</sup>	7.28 <sup>a</sup>	15.32 <sup>a</sup>	8.401 <sup>a</sup>
			F	Foliar App	plications						
Cont.		2.07 <sup>d</sup>	0.206 <sup>d</sup>	1.717 <sup>d</sup>	0.113 <sup>d</sup>	26.14 <sup>c</sup>	2.66 <sup>c</sup>	22.09 <sup>c</sup>	4.90 <sup>d</sup>	12.67 <sup>d</sup>	7.21 <sup>d</sup>
Citric acid (CA	4)	2.23°	0.232 <sup>c</sup>	1.928 <sup>c</sup>	0.128 <sup>c</sup>	30.44 <sup>b</sup>	3.18 <sup>b</sup>	26.31 <sup>b</sup>	5.27°	13.97°	7.83 <sup>c</sup>
Salicylic acid	(SA)	2.31 <sup>b</sup>	0.245 <sup>b</sup>	2.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.136 <sup>b</sup>	34.17 <sup>a</sup>	3.63 <sup>a</sup>	29.59 <sup>a</sup>	6.26 <sup>b</sup>	14.48 <sup>b</sup>	8.01 <sup>b</sup>
Ascorbic acid (ASA)		2.38 <sup>a</sup>	0.252 <sup>a</sup>	2.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.139 <sup>a</sup>	35.69 <sup>a</sup>	3.79 <sup>a</sup>	30.14 <sup>a</sup>	6.65 <sup>a</sup>	14.90 <sup>a</sup>	8.17 <sup>a</sup>
					Intera	ctions					
	Cont.	1.75 <sup>1</sup>	0.181 <sup>p</sup>	1.52 <sup>j</sup>	0.096°	17.45 <sup>j</sup>	1.81 <sup>j</sup>	15.20 <sup>m</sup>	3.42°	$10.95^{1}$	6.62 <sup>m</sup>
Without	CA	1.92 <sup>k</sup>	0.191°	1.61 <sup>i</sup>	0.100 <sup>n</sup>	21.2 <sup>i</sup>	2.11 <sup>i</sup>	17.76 <sup>1</sup>	3.78 <sup>n</sup>	12.02 <sup>k</sup>	6.78l <sup>m</sup>
addition	SA	1.94 <sup>k</sup>	0.198 <sup>n</sup>	1.63 <sup>i</sup>	0.106 <sup>m</sup>	23.98 <sup>h</sup>	2.42 <sup>h</sup>	20.18 <sup>k</sup>	4.14 <sup>m</sup>	12.14 <sup>k</sup>	6.94 <sup>kl</sup>
	ASA	2.02 <sup>j</sup>	0.202 <sup>m</sup>	1.70 <sup>h</sup>	0.109 <sup>1</sup>	24.17 <sup>h</sup>	2.44 <sup>h</sup>	20.36 <sup>k</sup>	4.38 <sup>1</sup>	12.64 <sup>j</sup>	7.10 <sup>jk</sup>
	Cont.	$2.07^{i}$	$0.207^{1}$	1.75 <sup>g</sup>	0.112 <sup>k</sup>	27.52 <sup>g</sup>	2.84 <sup>g</sup>	23.32 <sup>j</sup>	4.89 <sup>k</sup>	12.97 <sup>i</sup>	7.26 <sup>ij</sup>
SD Control	CA	2.22 <sup>g</sup>	0.229 <sup>i</sup>	1.91 <sup>f</sup>	0.127 <sup>h</sup>	32.48 <sup>f</sup>	3.27 <sup>f</sup>	27.30 <sup>h</sup>	5.68 <sup>i</sup>	13.87 <sup>g</sup>	7.87 <sup>g</sup>
SF COILLOI	SA	2.28 <sup>f</sup>	0.237 <sup>h</sup>	1.95 <sup>f</sup>	0.130 <sup>g</sup>	35.12 <sup>e</sup>	3.72 <sup>d</sup>	30.39 <sup>f</sup>	5.90 <sup>h</sup>	14.29 <sup>f</sup>	$8.02^{\mathrm{fg}}$
	ASA	2.33 <sup>ef</sup>	0.246 <sup>g</sup>	2.01 <sup>e</sup>	0.135 <sup>f</sup>	36.40 <sup>cd</sup>	3.72 <sup>d</sup>	31.68 <sup>de</sup>	6.27 <sup>g</sup>	14.58 <sup>ef</sup>	$8.05^{\mathrm{fg}}$
	Cont.	2.11 <sup>hi</sup>	0.214 <sup>k</sup>	1.78 <sup>g</sup>	0.119 <sup>j</sup>	28.83 <sup>g</sup>	2.89 <sup>g</sup>	24.80 <sup>j</sup>	5.45 <sup>j</sup>	13.22 <sup>hi</sup>	7.43 <sup>hi</sup>
DA	CA	2.37 <sup>de</sup>	$0.252^{f}$	2.06 <sup>d</sup>	0.140 <sup>e</sup>	32.68 <sup>f</sup>	3.45 <sup>e</sup>	28.26 <sup>g</sup>	6.61 <sup>f</sup>	14.85 <sup>de</sup>	8.17 <sup>ef</sup>
ГA	SA	2.47 <sup>c</sup>	0.266 <sup>d</sup>	2.17 <sup>b</sup>	0.147 <sup>c</sup>	35.79 <sup>d</sup>	3.86 <sup>c</sup>	31.37 <sup>ef</sup>	7.16 <sup>d</sup>	15.47 <sup>c</sup>	8.48 <sup>cd</sup>
	ASA	2.52 <sup>b</sup>	0.272 <sup>c</sup>	2.21 <sup>b</sup>	0.152 <sup>b</sup>	37.04 <sup>b</sup>	4.08 <sup>b</sup>	33.52 <sup>c</sup>	7.50 <sup>c</sup>	15.79 <sup>b</sup>	8.65 <sup>bc</sup>
	Cont.	2.16 <sup>h</sup>	0.220 <sup>j</sup>	1.79 <sup>g</sup>	0.124 <sup>i</sup>	31.2 <sup>g</sup>	3.28 <sup>f</sup>	25.89 <sup>i</sup>	5.86 <sup>h</sup>	13.52 <sup>h</sup>	7.55 <sup>h</sup>
DAD	CA	2.42 <sup>d</sup>	0.257 <sup>e</sup>	2.12 <sup>c</sup>	0.143 <sup>d</sup>	36.43 <sup>bc</sup>	3.87 <sup>c</sup>	32.92 <sup>cd</sup>	7.02 <sup>e</sup>	15.14 <sup>d</sup>	8.34 <sup>de</sup>
DAL	SA	2.56 <sup>b</sup>	0.282 <sup>b</sup>	2.27 <sup>a</sup>	0.160 <sup>a</sup>	40.15 <sup>a</sup>	4.54 <sup>a</sup>	36.26 <sup>b</sup>	7.87 <sup>b</sup>	16.04 <sup>b</sup>	8.76 <sup>ab</sup>
	ASA	2.65 <sup>a</sup>	0.289 <sup>a</sup>	2.31 <sup>a</sup>	0.161ª	42.63 <sup>a</sup>	4.62 <sup>a</sup>	37.17 <sup>a</sup>	8.37 <sup>a</sup>	16.58 <sup>a</sup>	8.93 <sup>a</sup>

On the other hand, salinity induce proline production in the plant where, these increments is important for osmotic adjustment of plant and increasing membrane stability (Abd El-mawgoud *et al.*, 2010). Application of phosphorus fertilizers to soil improves root growth, increasing root hair length, increasing root surface area, enhancing shoot growth that leads to increasing the yield component as well as it induces proline production and reducing sugar that plays an effective role in osmotic adjustment and improves salinity tolerance (Osman *et al.*, 2012).

ASA is an antioxidant molecule that protects protein from oxidation under salt stress through improving activities of anti-oxidative enzymes (Akram *et al.*, 2017). Also, it promotes proline synthesis which important for providing organic carbon, inhibition of ROS and act as an enzymatic regular under stress condition (Sadak *etal.*,2010).



Fig. 2. Interaction effects of P fertilizer sources and some organic acids on protein content of faba bean grown under salinity stress at harvest stage.



Fig. 3. Interaction effect of P fertilizer sources and some organic acids on proline content of faba bean grown under salinity stress at harvest stage.

# 6- Available Concentrations of N, P and K in Soil after Harvesting.

As shown in Table 7 the highest values of N, P and K (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) of soil at the harvest stage were recorded with phosphorus fertilization by super phosphate comparing with other sources, this may be due to single super phosphate less in solubility and less reaction with the soil components comparing with DAP and PA which still in the soil long time this lead to increase soil microorganisms, increase nitrogen fixation and phosphorus solubility. P element is a macronutrient responsible for crop growth and yield, it is needed in many physiological processes in the plant such as nitrogen fixation, energy transformation and seed formation (Faiyad, 2009).

Phosphoric acid ( 60% P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) also an excellent source of P in the new irrigation methods but it's more expensive. It can be applied by injection directly to soil especially alkaline one as a reclaimed, or added to irrigation water as occur in this search. Its application decreases pH of alkaline soil and increases the availability of soil nutrients (George *et al.*, 2016). DAP fertilizer (di ammonium phosphate (46%  $P_2O_5$ ), (18% N)) is an excellent source of P and N for the plant where it dissolves quickly in the soil to release available phosphate and ammonium. This ammonium act as a nitrogen source that is converted to nitrate by soil bacteria which consequently decreases soil pH. Leading to an increase in the availability of nutrients and increasing nutrient uptake by (George *et al.*, 2016).

On the other hand, organic acids cause a decrease in the availability of N, P and K in the soil after harvesting and this may be related to the role of organic acids in improving salt stress tolerance by plant, consequently increasing nutrients uptake by faba bean plants according to (Al quriany, 2007; El-Tohamy *et al.*,2015 and Ahmad *et al.*,2018).

Interaction of SP and CA application gave the highest values of available N, P and K in the soil compared with the other interaction treatment applications i.e. the other phosphorus fertilizers (PA and DAP) and the organic acids foliar applications.

 Table 7. Mean effect of P fertilizer sources and some organic acids and their interactions on available concentrations of N, P and K (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in the soil after harvesting.

Tractments		Ν	Р	K
1 reatments	-		mg kg <sup>-1</sup>	
	Phosphorus	Fertilizer so	ources	
Without addition	on	75.27 <sup>a</sup>	12.10 <sup>b</sup>	308.85 <sup>a</sup>
Superphosphate	e (SP) (control)	61.06 <sup>b</sup>	14.30 <sup>a</sup>	255.94 <sup>b</sup>
Phosphoric acid	d (PA)	53.63°	9.92 <sup>c</sup>	241.27 <sup>c</sup>
Di ammonium	phosphate (DAP)	49.43 <sup>d</sup>	7.02 <sup>d</sup>	240.27 <sup>d</sup>
	Foliar App	olications		
Cont.		69.60 <sup>a</sup>	11.84 <sup>a</sup>	292.29 <sup>a</sup>
Citric acid (CA	)	60.45 <sup>b</sup>	10.74 <sup>b</sup>	281.98 <sup>b</sup>
Salicylic acid (S	SA)	55.9°	$10.40^{b}$	264.33 <sup>c</sup>
Ascorbic acid (	ASA)	53.3 <sup>d</sup>	10.28 <sup>b</sup>	254.71 <sup>d</sup>
F. significance		***	NS	***
LSD at 5%		0.233	1.202	3.167
	Interac	tions		
	Cont.	79.66 <sup>a</sup>	12.92 <sup>bcd</sup>	361.96 <sup>a</sup>
Without	CA	76.22 <sup>b</sup>	12.39 <sup>cde</sup>	342.42 <sup>b</sup>
addition	SA	73.74 <sup>c</sup>	11.81 <sup>de</sup>	330.89 <sup>c</sup>
	ASA	71.46 <sup>d</sup>	11.30 <sup>e</sup>	320.10 <sup>d</sup>
	Cont	69.06e	15.16 <sup>a</sup>	311.22 <sup>e</sup>
	CA	$66.08^{\mathrm{f}}$	14.61 <sup>a</sup>	300.79 <sup>f</sup>
SP Control	SA	63.62 <sup>g</sup>	14.01 <sup>ab</sup>	281.86 <sup>h</sup>
	ASA	60.77 <sup>h</sup>	13.43 <sup>abc</sup>	271.67 <sup>i</sup>
	Cont	58.16 <sup>i</sup>	10.76 <sup>ef</sup>	291.19 <sup>g</sup>
DA	CA	56.26 <sup>j</sup>	$9.50^{\mathrm{fg}}$	264.24 <sup>j</sup>
PA	SA	54.32 <sup>k</sup>	9.03 <sup>gh</sup>	255.86 <sup>k</sup>
	ASA	53.49 <sup>1</sup>	8.81 <sup>gh</sup>	247.76 <sup>1</sup>
	Cont	48.83 <sup>m</sup>	8.53 <sup>gh</sup>	238.54 <sup>m</sup>
	CA	46.06 <sup>n</sup>	8.11 <sup>gh</sup>	228.58 <sup>n</sup>
DAP	SA	43.13°	7.66 <sup>h</sup>	216.24°
	ASA	39.66 <sup>p</sup>	7.36 <sup>h</sup>	205.91 <sup>p</sup>

#### **Phosphorus Use Efficiencies:**

Total P uptake, agronomic P use efficiency (AE), P recovery efficiency (RE) and partial factor productivity (PFP) for faba bean plant under different phosphorus fertilizer sources has been tabulated in Table 8.

Phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) is an indicator to absorption, accumulation and utilization of P for seeds and straw production, it's related to phosphorus fertilizer source, environmental factor as well as soil and crop management (Bationo, 2002). From recorded data it's clear that total P-uptake (seeds + straw) significantly, increased with DAP fertilizer compared to others, where the plants treated with phosphoric acid come in the second order then super phosphate and lately control treatment (without P- fertilizer).

Agronomical P use efficiency (AE), P recovery efficiency (RE) and partial factor productivity (PFP) were significantly increased with phosphoric acid fertilizer followed by DAP then super phosphate and lately control treatment. That may be due to the amount of PA needed for feddan (20Kg) is the lowest one where it contains P units more than others.

The superior treatment for total P-uptake and most Puse efficiencies i.e. RE and PFP was ascorbic acid followed by salicylic acid, citric acid and control, respectively. While it had a reverse effect on agronomical P use efficiency (AE).

In general, the results show that the interaction effects of phosphate fertilizer sources and foliar applications on the utilization efficiencies gave different responses. A significant interaction values were recorded in all studied parameters. The highest value of total P-uptake was obtained with DAP and ASA. While, the highest values of most P-use efficiencies were obtained by combination of phosphoric acid and ascorbic acid foliar application comparing with the other interaction treatments.

Table 8. Mean effect of P fertilizer sources and some organic acids and their interactions on total P uptake, P use efficiency and partial factor productivity of faba bean yield grown under salinity stress at harvest stage.

<b>T</b> ( )	T	otal P uptake	ĂE	RE	PFP
Treatments	8	(kg fed <sup>-1</sup> )	(kg/kg)	%	(kg/kg)
	Phos	ohorus Fertilize	er sources		
Without addit	ion (control)	6.12 <sup>d</sup>	0	0	0
Superphosp	hate (SP)	9.07°	4.06 <sup>c</sup>	2.97°	15.37 <sup>c</sup>
Phosphoric	acid (PA)	10.27 <sup>b</sup>	16.78 <sup>a</sup>	20.75 <sup>a</sup>	73.30 <sup>a</sup>
Di ammoniu (DAP)	um phosphate	e 11.35 <sup>a</sup>	8.80 <sup>b</sup>	17.75 <sup>b</sup>	46.50 <sup>b</sup>
	]	Foliar Applicat	ions		
Cont.		7.61 <sup>d</sup>	8.31 <sup>a</sup>	7.87d	31.50 <sup>d</sup>
Citric acid (	CA)	8.94 <sup>c</sup>	7.26 <sup>b</sup>	10.27 <sup>c</sup>	32.97°
Salicylic aci	d (SA)	9.90 <sup>b</sup>	6.69 <sup>bc</sup>	11.27 <sup>b</sup>	34.55 <sup>b</sup>
Ascorbic ac	id (ASA)	10.37 <sup>a</sup>	7.39 <sup>b</sup>	12.05 <sup>a</sup>	36.15 <sup>a</sup>
		Interaction			
XX7:414	Cont.	5.23 <sup>1</sup>	0	0	0
without	CA	5.89 <sup>k</sup>	0	0	0
addition	SA	6.56 <sup>jk</sup>	0	0	0
(control)	ASA	6.82 <sup>j</sup>	0	0	0
	Cont	7.73 <sup>i</sup>	4.47 <sup>g</sup>	2.50 <sup>i</sup>	14.42 <sup>j</sup>
CD	CA	8.95 <sup>h</sup>	4.01 <sup>g</sup>	3.06 <sup>h</sup>	15.03 <sup>j</sup>
SP	SA	9.62 <sup>f</sup>	3.73 <sup>g</sup>	3.06 <sup>h</sup>	15.67 <sup>ij</sup>
	ASA	9.99 <sup>e</sup>	4.03 <sup>g</sup>	3.17 <sup>h</sup>	16.35 <sup>i</sup>
	Cont	8.34 <sup>hi</sup>	18.60 <sup>a</sup>	15.55 <sup>f</sup>	68.35 <sup>d</sup>
DA	CA	10.06 <sup>e</sup>	16.20 <sup>c</sup>	20.85 <sup>c</sup>	71.30 <sup>c</sup>
PA	SA	11.02 <sup>d</sup>	15.62 <sup>d</sup>	22.20 <sup>b</sup>	75.30 <sup>b</sup>
	ASA	11.68 <sup>c</sup>	16.75 <sup>b</sup>	24.30 <sup>a</sup>	78.35 <sup>a</sup>
	Cont	9.14 <sup>g</sup>	10.17 <sup>e</sup>	13.03 <sup>g</sup>	43.33 <sup>h</sup>
	CA	10.89 <sup>de</sup>	8.83 <sup>f</sup>	16.67 <sup>e</sup>	45.57 <sup>g</sup>
DAP	SA	12.41 <sup>b</sup>	7.43 <sup>g</sup>	19.50 <sup>d</sup>	47.23 <sup>f</sup>
	ASA	12.99 <sup>a</sup>	8.80 <sup>f</sup>	20.56 <sup>c</sup>	49.87 <sup>e</sup>

#### Benefit: Cost Ratio.

Economics of faba bean as affected by phosphorus fertilizer sources and some organic acids foliar application has been given in Table 9. The highest total cost of cultivation ( $\pounds$ . fed<sup>-1</sup>) was noticed with all treatments of PA followed by DAP

treatments. The cost of cultivation for control (super phosphate fertilizer and no foliar application) was 11050 £. fed<sup>-1</sup>. This clears that phosphoric acid and di ammonium phosphate recorded more cost of cultivation as compared to the same doses of phosphorus applied through super phosphate.

The maximum gross income of  $38865 \text{ \pounds}$ . fed<sup>-1</sup> of bean crop was recorded under combination of DAP and ASA applied treatment followed by interaction of DAP and SA applied treatment with gross income of  $36841 \text{ \pounds}$ . fed<sup>-1</sup>. The gross income for super phosphate fertilizer without any organic acid foliar application applied treatment was  $30700 \text{ \pounds}$ . fed<sup>-1</sup>. The highest net return of  $27475 \text{ \pounds}$ . fed<sup>-1</sup> was obtained from DAP and ASA applied treatment followed by DAP and SA applied treatment with net return  $25546 \text{ \pounds}.\text{fed}^{-1}$ . The lowest net return  $19650 \text{ \pounds}$ . fed<sup>-1</sup> noticed in super phosphate and no foliar application of organic acid treatments. The highest B:C ratio 3.41 was recorded from DAP and ASA applied treatment with 3.26 B:C ratio. The B:C ratio of super phosphate and no foliar application was 2.78.

The cost of cultivation of phosphoric acid treatments and di ammonium phosphate treatments were higher than that of super phosphate treatments because of price of PA and DAP. For phosphoric acid feddan required 12 L and cost 17.00 £. for one liter while DAP with cost 14 £. for one kilo gram and feddan required 30 kg. On the other hand, PA and DAP enhanced seed yield and recorded higher net return than super phosphate fertilizer treatments. Among PA and DAP treatments there was much difference in B:C ratio, the DAP and ASA applied treatment recorded higher net return and B:C ratio could be a good alternative to other treatments and viable option for enhancing crop yield and farmers income.

Table	9.	Со	st	of	cultiv	ation,	gross	and	net	return,	and
		ben	efi	t : •	cost r	atio of	differ	ent ti	reati	nents.	

		Total	Gross	Net	D.C
Treatments	_	coast	return	return	B:U Dofio
			( <b>£. fed</b> <sup>-1</sup> )		Nauo
	Cont.	10850	23685	12835	2.18
Without addition	Citric acid (CA)	10863	26146	15283	2.41
	Salicylic acid (SA)	10870	28262	17392	2.60
	Ascorbic acid (ASA)	10970	29136	18166	2.66
Suman	Cont.	11050	30700	19650	2.78
Super	Citric acid (CA)	11062	32241	21179	2.91
Phosphate	Salicylic acid (SA)	11075	32464	21389	2.93
(control)	Ascorbic acid (ASA)	11170	33391	22221	2.99
	Cont.	12175	33598	21423	2.76
Phosphoric	Citric acid (CA)	12187	33966	21779	2.79
acid	Salicylic acid (SA)	12200	35208	23008	2.89
	Ascorbic acid (ASA)	12295	35369	23074	2.88
	Cont.	11270	35438	24168	3.14
Di ammoniun	n Citric acid (CA)	11283	36795	25512	3.26
phosphate	Salicylic acid (SA)	11295	36841	25546	3.26
	Ascorbic acid (ASA)	11390	38865	27475	3.41

#### CONCLUSION

The results indicated that di ammonium phosphate (DAP) fertilizer is the best phosphorus source in almost studied faba bean plant growth parameters in these soil conditions; in respect to spraying ascorbic acid (ASA) foliar application is the best organic acid application used. Finally, the interaction of DAP and foliar application of ASA record the highest growth, yield, yield components and P total uptake of the faba bean plants.

The highest residual N P K (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) in the soil was recorded with the interaction of super phosphate (SP) and citric acid (CA) application comparing with the other phosphorus fertilizers and organic acids treatments.

Also, the highest values of recovery efficiency (RE) and partial factor productivity (PFP) were obtained by combination of phosphoric acid (PA) and ASA foliar application. While, Interaction of PA and no foliar application obtained the highest values of Agronomical P use efficiency (AE).

Finally, the DAP and ASA applied treatment ratio could be a good alternative to other treatments and viable option for enhancing crop yield and farmers income.

#### REFERENCES

- Abd El-Mawgoud, A. M. R.; M. A. El-Nemr; A. S. Tantawy and H. A. Habib (2010). Alleviation of salinity effects on green bean plants using some environmental friendly materials. J. Appl. Sci. Res. 6 (7): 871-878.
- A.O.A.C. (Association of Official Analytical Chemist) (1990). "Official Method of Analysis". 15<sup>th</sup> ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington. D. C., USA.
- Abdelaal Kh. A.A.(2015). Effect of salicylic acid and abscisic acid on morpho. Physiological and anatomical characters of faba bean plants under drought stress. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 6 (11): 1771-1788.
- Abdul Qados, A. M. S. (2011). Effect of salt stress on plant growth and metabolism of bean plant Vicia faba L. J. Saudi. Society. Agric. Sci. (10): 7-15.
- Ahmad, P.; M. N. Alyemeni, M.A.Ahanger, D.Egamberdieva, L.Wijaya and P. Alam (2018). Salicylic acid induced alteration in growth biochemical attributes and antioxidant enzyme activity in Faba bean seedling under NaCl toxicity. Russian. J. plant. physiology. 65. 104-114.
- Ahmed, S. (2009). Effect of soil salinity on the yield components of mung bean. Pak. J. Bot. 41 (1): 263-268.
- Akram, N. A.; F. Shafik and M. Ashraf (2017). Ascorbic acid –A potential oxidant scavenger and its role in plant development and abiotic stress tolerance. Front. Plant. Sci. 26. April. 2017.
- Al quriany, F. (2007). Responses of bean and pea to vitamin C under salinity stress. Res. J. Agric. & Biol. Sci. 3 (6): 714-722.
- Bargaz, A.; R. M. A. Nassar; M. N. Rady; M. S. Gaballah; S. M. Thompson; M. Brestic; U. Schmidhalter and M. T. Abd El-Hamid (2016). Improved salinity tolerance by phosphorus fertilizers in two Phasolus vulgaris recombinant inbred lines contrasting in their P. Effeciency. J. Agro. Crop. Sci. issn. 0931-2250,497-507.
- Bates, L.S.; R.P. Waldern and I. D. Teare (1973). Rapid determination of free proline for water stress studies, Plant and Soil, 39: 205-208.
- Bationo,A.(2002): Phosphorus use efficiency as related to sources of P fertilizers, rainfall, soil, crop mangament and genotypes in the west African semi-arid tropics, Book series (DPSS,vol.95). Springer International Publishing AG 2002.

- Bertrand, I.; R. E. Holloway; R. D. Armstrong and M. C. M. Laugnline (2003). Chemical characteristics of phosphorus in alkaline soil from southern Australia. Austalian. J. Agric. Res. (41): 61-76.
- Buresh, R. J.; E. R. Austin and E. T. Craswell (1982). Analytical methods in N-15 research. Ferti. Res. (3): 37-62.
- Chapman, H. D. and P. Pratt (1961). "Methods of Analysis for soils, Plants and Waters". Division of Agric. Sci. Univ. California., USA.
- Darwesh, D. A.; M. P. Maulood and A. A. Shireen (2013). Effect of phosphorus fertilizers on growth and physiological phosphorus use efficiency of three soybean cultivars. IOSR. J. Agric. and Veterinary. Sci. 3 (6): 32-36.
- Dolatabadian, A. and R. J. Saleh-Jouneghani (2009). Impact of exogenous ascorbic acid on antioxidant activity and some physiological trait of common been subjected to salinity stress. Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobot. Chj. 37 (2): 165-172.
- El-Tohamy, W. A.; H. M. ElAbagy; M. A. Badr and N. Gruda (2015). Drought tolerance and water status of bean plants as affected by citric acid application. J. Appl. Botany and Food. Quality. 86 (1): 212-216.
- Faiyad, R. M. N. (2009). Phosphorus availability to plants as affected by some fertilizers. M. Sc. Thesis., Fac. Agric. Menoufia Univ., Egypt.
- FAO (2000). Extent and causes of salt-affected soils in participating countries. Global network on integrated soil management for sustainable use of salt-affected soils. FAO-AGL website.
- Fouda K.F. (2017). Effect of Phosphorus Level and Some Growth Regulators on Productivity of Faba Bean (*Vicia Faba* L.). *Egypt. J. Soil Sci.*, Vol. 57, No.1, pp.73–87.
- Garcia, C. L.; S. Dattamudi; S. Chanda and K. Jayachandran (2019). Effect of salinity stress and microbial inoculation on Glomalin production and plant growth parameters of Snap bean. Agron. J. 9 (9): 545.
- George T.S.; P. Hinsinger and B. L. Turner (2016). Phosphorus in soils and plants- facing phosphorus scarcity. Plant Soil 401:1-6.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez (1984). "Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research". 2nd ed., International Rice Research Institute, College, Laguna, 680pp.
- Gulmezoglu, N. and H. Dughan (2017). The interactive effects of phosphorus and salt on growth, water potential and phosphorus uptake in green beans. Appl. Ecology and Environ. Res. 15 (3): 1831-1842.
- Hamada, A. M.; A. E. El-Anany (1994). Effect of NaCl salinity on growth, pigment and mineral content and gas exchange of broad bean and pea plants. Biologia. Plantarum. 36 (1): 75-81.
- Hu, Y. and U. Schmidhalter (2002). Limitation of salt stress to plant growth. In: Hock B Elstner CF (eds) Plant toxicology. Marcel Dekker Inc. New York. pp91-224.
- Isayenkov, S. V. (2012). Physiological and molecular aspects of salt stress in plants. Cytol.Genet. 46: 302-318.

- Jebara, S.; M. Jebara; F. Limam and M. E. Aouani (2005). Changes in ascorbate peroxidase, catalase, guiacol peroxidase and superoxide dismutase activities in common bean nodules under salt stress. J. Plant. Physiol. 162 (8): 929-936.
- Katell C., P. Marget, C. Peyronnet, B. Carrouee, P. Arese, and G. Duc (2010). "Nutritional value of faba bean (Vicia faba L.) seeds for feed and food. "Field Crops Research, 115(3), pp. 329-339.
- Khan, T. A.; M. Mazid and F. Mohammad (2011). A review of ascorbic acid potentialities against oxidative stress induced in plants. J. Agrobiol. 28 (2): 97-111.
- Klute, A. (1986). Methods of Soil Analysis. Part-1: "Physical and Mineralogical Methods" (2nd). Amer. Soc. Agron. Madison. Wisconsin. U. S. A.
- Mahdi, A. H. A. (2016). Improvement of salt tolerance in Vicia faba L. plants by exogenous application of polyamines. Egypt. J. Agron. 38 (1): 1-21.
- Mustafa, K. K.; D. A. Darwesh and A. A. Luay (2004). Effect of mycorrhiza phosphorus and urea on ammonia volatilization and soybean growth. J. Dohuk. Univ. 7 (2): 101-105.
- Naeem, M.; A. A. Ansari and S. S. Gill (2017). Essential plant nutrients uptake, use efficiency, and management. (eBook) Library of Congress Control Number: 2017946075. Springer International Publishing AG 2017.
- Nayek, S.; I. H. Choudhury; N. Jaishee and S. Roy (2014). Spectrophotometric analysis of chlorophylls and carotenoids from commonly grown fern species by various extracting solvents. Res. J. chem. Sci. 4 (9): 63-69.
- Nicholas, S. and L. W. Wheeler (2000). Ascorbic acid in plants: Biosynthesis and Function. Current. Review. Plant. Sci. 19 (41): 267-290.
- Osman S. Y. ; W. M. A. Ahmed and A. A. Mariod (2012). Effect of urea, NPK and compost on growth and yield of soybean in semi-arid region of sedan. ISRN. Agronomy. 2012.
- Page, A. L.; R. H. Miller and D. R. Keeney (1982). "Methods of Soil Analysis". Part-2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties. 2nded. Amer. Soc. Agron. Madison. Wisconsin. U. S. A.

- Patel, R. P.; S. SKajal; V. R. Patel and S. M.Khristi (2010). Impact of salt stress on nutrient uptake and growth of cowpea. Braz. J. plant. physiol. 22 (1): 43-48.
- Pessaraki, M.; J. T. Huber and T. C. Tucker (1989). Protein synthesis in green beans under salt stress with two nitrogen sources. J. Plant. Nutr. 12 (11): 1361-1377.
- Rafat, M. and P. Sharifi (2015). The effect of phosphorus on yield and yield components of green bean. J. Soil. Nature. 8 (1): 9-13.
- Raka, M. K. A. (2017). Response of common bean to foliar application with some antioxidants under different irrigation intervals. Current. Sci. Inter. 6 (4): 920-922.
- Ramzan, M.; M. S. Nawaz; R. Suba and Z. Ahmad (2018). The role of salicylic acid alleviating salt stress in mung bean plants. WULFENIA. J. 25 (3).
- Roy, S. J.; S. Negrao and M. Tester (2014). Salt resistance crop plants. Curr. Opin. Biotechnol. (26): 115-124.
- Sadak, M. Sh.; M. T. Abd-El-Hamid and M. A. El-Saady (2010). Physiological responses of faba bean plant to ascorbic acid grown under salinity stress. Egypt. J. Agron. 32 (1): 89-106.
- Sallam A. M.; Kh. A. Shaban and M. S. Abuhashem (2014). Influence of Water Deficit on Seed Yield and Seed Quality of Faba bean under Saline Soil Conditions at North Sinai, Egypt. *Egypt. J. Soil Sci.* Vol. 54, No. 3 pp. 265 – 278.
- Shen, J.; L. Yuan; J. Zhang; H. Li; Z. Bai; X. Chen; W. Zhang and F. Zhang (2011). Phosphorus Dynamics: From Soil to Plant. Plant physiology . issue3
- Shubhra, D. J.; C. L. Goswami and R. Munjal (2004). Influence of phosphorus application on water relation, biochemical parameters and gun content in cluster bean under water deficit. Biol. Plant. 48 (3): 445-448.
- Stoeva, N. and M. Kaymakanova (2008). Effect of salt stress on the growth and photosynthesis rate of bean plants. J. Central. European. Agric. 9 (3): 385-392.
- Tesfay, M. J.; D. L. Aliu and C. P. Vance (2007). Genomic and genetic control of phosphate stress in legumes. Plant. Physiol. (44): 594-603.
- Turuko, M. and A. Mohammed (2014). Effect of different phosphorus fertilizer rates on growth, dry matter yield and yield components of common bean. World. J. Agric. Res. 2 (3): 88-92.

# تحسين إنتاجية وجودة الفول البلدي النامي تحت ظروف الأراضي المتأثرة بالأملاح بالتسميد بمصادر مختلفة من الأسمدة الفوسفاتية والرش ببعض الأحماض العضوية

رشاً السيد المهدي، إيمان حمدي عبد العزيز و ريهام محمد نجيب فياض\* قسم بحوث خصوبة الأراضي وتغنية النبات – معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة – مركز البحوث الزراعية مصر

أجريت تجربتان حقليتان فى محطة البحوث الزراعية بتاج العز بمحافظة الدقهلية- مركز البحوث الزراعية- مصر في تصميم قطع منشقة مرة واحدة في ثلاث مكررات، فى الموسمين الشتوبين لعامي ٢٠١٨/ ٢٠١٩ و ٢٠٢٠/٢٠١٩ بهدف دراسة تأثير أربعة مصادر مختلفة من التسميد الفوسفاتى ( بنون اضافة، سوبر الفوسفات ، حمض الفوسفوريك و فوسفات ثنائي الأمونيوم) كمعاملات رئيسية و فى وجود أربعة معاملات رش ببعض الأحماض العضوية (كنتر ول ، حمض الستريك، حمض السلسليك وحمض الإسكوربيك) كمعاملات منشقة و التداخلات بينيم على صفات النمو الخضري و المحصول ومكوناته لنبات الفول البلدي صنف جيزة ٢١٦ النامي تحت ظروف الأراضي المتأثر ه بالاملاح. وكذلك در اسة المتبقي فى التربة من النيتر وجين و المحصول ومكوناته لنبات الفول البلدي صنف جيزة ٢١٦ المامي تحت ظروف الأراضي المتأثر ه بالاملاح. وكذلك در اسة المتبقي فى التربة من النيتر وجين و الفوسفور و البوتاسيوم بعد مرحلة الحصاد ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج المامي تحت ظروف الأراضي المتأثر ه بالاملاح. وكذلك در اسة المتبقي فى التربة من النيتر وجين و الفوسفور و البوتاسيوم بعد مرحلة الحصاد ويمكن تلخيص أهم النتائج المتحصل عليها فيما يلى: ٢- كان أفضل الأسمدة الفوسفاتية فى معظم القياسات الخضرية وفى الانتاجية وجودة المحصول هو سماد فوسفات ثنائي الأمونيوم. 2- أعطي حمض الإسكوربيك أفضل نتائج لمعاملات الرش لكل الصفات تحت الدراسة مقارنة بالأحماض العضوية الأخرى المستخدمة. 3- التفاعل بين فوسفات ثنائي الأمونيوم وحمض الإسكورييك أفضل نتائج لمعاملات الرش لكل الصفات تحت الدراسة مقارنة بالأحماض العضوية الأخرى المستخدمة. 3- التفاعل بين فوسفات ثنائي الأمونيوم وحمض الإسكورييك حقق أعلى نمو و انتاجية فى معظم القياسات الخضرية وفى الانتاجية وجودة المحصول هو سماد فوسفات ثنائي الأمونيوم وحمض الإسكورييك مقم الني الرش لكل الصفات تحت الدراسة مقارنة بالقي العاموية العرمي الخرى المستخدمة. 3- التفاع بين فوسفات ثنائي الأمونيوم وحمض الإسكورييك حقق أعلى نمو و التارين ولسفات وحمض الستريك مقارنة بباقي معاملات. فى التربة كانت أعلى قيم للنيتر وجل الور المنوسفر و البوتاسيوم المتقوني في حالة التداخل بين معاملات ساد سور فوسفات وحمض الستريك مع مصل الإسكورييك أعلى القيم الموس العضوية محل الدراسة. 4- في معظم قياسات كفاءة الفوسفور المعادي معاملة حاصل الفوسفوريك مع حمض الإسكورييك أعلى ال