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ABSTRACT

The objective of the present study was to investigate and evaluate two different drying methods of peanut (forced convection solar drying and natural convection solar drying) under three different layer thicknesses of (5, 10 and 15 cm) and two different stirring conditions (with stirring and without stirring). The studied drying methods were compared with sun drying method practiced by farmers. For the traditional drying method, the average peanut pods moisture content at different layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm was decreased from an initial level of 54.39% (w.b.) to a final level of about 13.1% (w.b.) in 52, 56 and 66 h, respectively. Meanwhile, for the natural convection solar dryer, the recorded drying time for the unstirred peanut pods with different layer thicknesses were 48, 56 and 62 h, and the corresponding drying time for the stirred treatments were 44, 50 and 54 h, respectively. Under condition of forced convection greenhouse type solar dryer, the recorded drying times for the unstirred treatments at different layer thicknesses were 40, 48 and 56 h, and the corresponding drying times for the stirred treatments were 36,40 and 46 h, respectively. The thermal efficiency of the forced convection solar dryer was 46% while it was 23.9% for the natural convection solar dryer. The quality evaluation tests showed that, the acid value decreased from 0.74 to 0.4 and the peroxide value decreased from 3 to 1.33. These values are in the range of the quality standards for peanut.

INTRODUCTION

Peanut is the third major oilseed crop in the world followed by soybean and cotton (FAO, 1990). The planted area of peanut in Egypt was 150767 feddan in 2001, which yielded 205066 ton with an average of 1.36 ton/feddan (Agricultural Statistics, 2001).

About two-thirds of world peanut production is crushed for oil and the remaining (one-third) is consumed as food. Arlington (2002) reported that, eating food with vitamin E, like whole grain, peanuts, nuts, peanut butter, vegetable oil and seeds can help to reduce the risk of Alzheimer.

The peanut plant is pulled from the ground, inverted, and left to dry in the open field. It may take up to 2 weeks and the crop is at risk from losses, aflatoxin and over drying. Therefore, peanut drying is the most important processes before shelling and handling to provide peanuts with good quality. Due to the steep increase in the price of energy, alternative energy sources have become more attractive. Solar energy is one of these alternative energy sources. The solar energy application is dependent upon the development of systems that have optimum performance, good reliability and economic characteristics that compare favorable with conventional energy systems and other energy sources (Abdelatif, 1985).

The use of solar dryers significantly reduces drying time and prevents mass losses; furthermore, product quality can be improved compared with traditional sun drying methods (Lutz and Muhlabaue, 1986).
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Freshly harvested peanut pods have a moisture content in the range of 40 to 55% (w.b). These pods carry not only an undesirable additional weight of excess water during transpiration but also an additional weight due to soil sticking onto their outer shell (Srivastava et al., 1982).

Leaving peanut to dry down to the desired level of moisture content in the field is risky. It may take long time and the crop is at risk from aflatoxin, rain, birds and over drying. Golasangi et al., (2000) reported that the quality of the dried peanut seeds decreased with increasing drying temperature and time.

The combining solar energy and natural wind in a drying system is a promising system for drying agricultural products on small scale (Sabah, 1985). Also, Abdellatif and Helmy (1992); and Helmy et al., (1995) stated that solar energy could be used for drying the grain in the greenhouses under Egyptian conditions without malfunction and with high effectiveness. El-Awady et al. (1988) found that the temperature inside the tent dryer rises about (3 to 5°C) and for the tray dryer over the ambient temperature. The prediction of the temperature by the equations showed be reasonably accepted (Shoukri et al.,1986).

The objective of the present work was to study and evaluate two different drying methods of peanut namely, forced convection solar drying, natural convection solar drying. The studied solar drying methods would be compared with the traditional sun drying methods under three different layers thickness and two different stirring conditions (with stirring and without stirring).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experimental work was conducted at the Department of Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Suez Canal University, Ismailia during peanut harvesting season throughout the period from 1 to 10 October 2000.

Peanut pods (Giza-5 variety) freshly harvested at moisture content of about 55% (w.b) was used for the experimental work. Three different systems of peanut pods drying were investigated and evaluated in this study. These methods included: a natural convection solar drying, a forced convection solar drying and traditional sun drying. The description of these methods is shown as follows:

**Natural convection solar dryer**

The dryer consists of the first solar collector, the drying chamber and the secondary solar collector as shown in Fig. (1). The first collector was constructed of a flat absorber plate made of black painted iron-sheet (2 m X 1 m) rested on the ground and completely covered by a vinyl sheet over a wooden frame.

The drying chamber constructed of a wooden frame having a gross dimensions of 1 m X 1 m X 0.2 m (L.W.H) and a perforated floor made of iron mesh (10 % opening) to allow passage of hot air and to prevent the pods falling through. The total area of the drying chamber floor was divided into two equal sections each of 0.5 m². The drying chamber was followed by a secondary solar collector. The secondary solar collector was made of vinyl
plastic cover supported on wooden frame. The absorber surface of the secondary collector was formed of perforated black plastic material covering the surface of the drying chamber. The function of the secondary collector is to raise up the temperature of the air and flow it out naturally from the drying chamber. This process occurs due to the resulted difference in the density of air across the upper part of the drying chamber. A window with dimensions of 0.1 m X 0.2 m was fitted at the back side of the drying chamber for loading and unloading the peanut pods and also for pods stirring. The dryer was sited to face a southern direction so that maximum amount of solar insolation could be collected, as compared with other directions.

Forced air solar dryer (greenhouse type)

Fig. (2) shows the greenhouse solar dryer used during the experimental work. The dimensions of the vinyl house are 0.80 m wide, 1.25 m long and 1 m high and the dimensions of the drying chamber are 0.80 m wide, 1.25 m long and 0.2 m high.

The dryer constructed from iron frame in the circumference of four wooden walls forming a batch and the frame is covered by a clear plastic film similar to that used for the natural convection dryer. Wire netting constitutes a floor at the bottom of the batch and a plenum chamber is constructed under the wire netted floor. An axial type suction fan and a duct for air suction were stetted at the back side of the dryer and a window for air inlet is opened at the front side. Whenever the fan is rotated, the window must be opened suspending with strings. To prevent the direct exposure of the peanut pods to sunrays and to increase the collection efficiency of solar radiation, a black net cloth was used for covering the surface of the drying chamber similar to that one used in the natural convection dryer.

Traditional drying method

The traditional drying system used in this study was similar to that used by farmers to dry peanut pods in the field. The freshly harvested peanut pods were spread on the floor and stirred by farmers at several times until it reaches the desired level of moisture content. During the experimental work, a wooden frame of 1m X 1m X 0.25 m (L W H) divided into two identical sections was used to investigate the three layer thicknesses of peanut pods (5, 10 and 15 cm).

Experimental treatments and measurements

Experimental treatments

The experimental treatments included three different drying methods (natural convection solar drying, forced convection solar drying and traditional sun drying). Three different layers thickness (5, 10 and 15 cm) and two different stirring conditions (stirring at two hours intervals and without stirring) were investigated.

Experimental Measurements

Temperature and relative humidity

The universal digital measuring system (Model Kaye Digi. 14) connected to 32 channels scanning box with thermocouple sensors distributed at different points was used to measure the air temperature outside and inside the dryers and also to measure the bulk and pods temperature of each drying method.
Fig. (1): Schematic diagram of the natural convection solar dryer.
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Fig. (2): Schematic diagram of the greenhouse solar dryer.
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The relative humidity meter (Model Secumed) was used to measure the air relative humidity.

**Air velocity**

A hot type anemometer (Model ATESCO 40S-V1) was used to measure the velocity of air flow at different points. The air movement was calculated on the two type of solar dryers (natural and forced convection) and the speed of air outside the dryers.

**Pods moisture content**

Pods moisture content was measured using an electrical oven, at 105°C (378 K) for 24 hours. The moisture content was calculated according to Hemeida (1974) as follows:

\[
\text{Pods moisture content} = AC + BD
\]

Where:

A: Moisture content of seed, %,
B: Moisture content of hull, %,
C: Percentage of seeds in pods, % and
D: Percentage of hulls in pods, %.

**Thermal efficiency of the dryer**

Thermal efficiency of the dryer is defined as the heat of evaporation of the moisture removed from the peanut pods divided by the solar radiation falling on the dryer during the drying time. The thermal efficiency of both natural convection and forced air dryers was calculated according to Jindal and Reyes (1987) as follows:

\[
\eta = \frac{W_w \times L}{Q \times 3600} \times 100
\]

Where:

\(\eta\) = Thermal efficiency, %;
\(W_w\) = Water evaporated from grain, kg;
\(L\) = Latent heat of vaporization of water, KJ/kg, and
\(Q\) = Total solar energy available, kW.

The solar radiation flux incident (w/m²) was calculated according to the computer program developed by El-Sayed et al. (2005).

**Quality Evaluation:**

**Determination of acid value (A.V)**

Acid value was measured according to the method described by A.O.A.C. (1975). Mix 25 ml diethyl ether with 25 ml alcohol and 1 ml of phenolphthalein solution (1%) and carefully neutralise with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide. Dissolve 1-10 g of the oil or melted fat in the mixed neutral solvent and titrate with aqueous 0.1 M sodium hydroxide shaking constantly until a pink color which persists for 15 sec is obtained. The titration should preferably not exceed about 10 ml or otherwise two phases are liable to separate. This does not occur however if hot neutral alcohol is used as solvent or if the acidity is titrated with alcoholic alkali. The acid value was calculated according to the following equation.
\[
\text{Acid value} = \frac{V \times N \times 56.1}{W}
\]

Where:
- \(V\) = Volume of alkali required to naturalize the free fatty acids, ml;
- \(N\) = Normality of KOH, dimensionless and
- \(W\) = Mass of sample, g.

**Determination of peroxide value:**

Peroxide value was measured according to the method described by A.O.A.C. (1975). Add 10 ml of chloroform and 10 ml of glacial acetic acid to the flask and, using a micro gas flame close to the flask, boil the mixture to the top of the tube where it is condensed by the water jacket. When the mixture is boiling steadily pour 1 g of potassium iodide dissolved in 1.3 ml water slowly down the condenser so that the refluxing is not interrupted. Redissolve any precipitated iodide by adding not more than 0.3 ml water. Then 1 g (or less) of the sample down the condenser, again without interrupting the refluxing, and turn off the condenser water so that the sample is washed into the flask. Boil the mixture for a further 4 min. Then remove the flask, cool rapidly, add 50 ml water and titrate the liberated iodine with 0.01 M sodium thiosulphate using starch. The following equation was used to calculate the peroxide value of lipid samples under study.

\[
\text{Peroxide value} = \frac{S \times N \times 1000}{W}
\]

Where:
- \(S\) = Titration of sample, ml;
- \(N\) = Normality of sodium thiosulphate, dimensionless and
- \(W\) = Mass of lipid sample, g.

**Cost Analysis**

A comparison study between the forced air convection solar dryer (greenhouse type) and the natural convection solar dryer under study is made to indicate the least expensive dryer for drying peanut pods consideration according to El-Awady et al. (1986). In general, the total cost is broken down into initial and operating costs.

1- **Initial costs:**
   a- Depreciation = \((\text{cost new} - \text{salvage value}) / \text{total expected life (years)}\)
   - Salvage value = 10\% cost (new)
   b- Interest on the investment = 0.5 \((\text{Depreciable cost} + \text{estimated salvage}) \times \text{interest rate (4\%)}\)
   c- Taxes and insurances = 0.5 \((\text{Depreciable cost} + \text{estimated salvage}) \times \text{Combined rate (1.5\%)}\)

2- **Operating cost:**
   a- Electrical cost.
   b- Maintenance and labour (maintenance = 3\% of initial cost)
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Moisture Reduction of Peanut Pods:

The reduction in pods moisture content was varied under different drying methods and it was affected by the drying air temperature, relative humidity, pods layer thickness and stirring process.

For the traditional drying method, Fig. (3) and Fig. (4) show the reduction in average pods moisture content as related to drying time for different pods layer thickness and stirring process. As shown in the figures, the average peanut pods moisture content was decreased from an initial level of 54.39% (w.b.) to a final level of about 13±1% (w.b.) within 52, 56 and 66 h for the peanut pods dried with stirring process at layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm, respectively. The corresponded drying time for the pods dried without stirring process was 56, 64 and 76 h, respectively.

The above mentioned results revealed that, the reduction rate of pods moisture content was affected by the drying air temperature and relative humidity, and the corresponded pods and seeds bulk temperature. Also, the stirring process give more chance for pods to receive equal amount of heat and thereby faster moisture reduction and more homogeneous drying at different layers.

For the natural convection solar dryer, Fig. (5) and Fig. (6) show, the change in pods moisture as related to drying time for different pods layer and stirring process. As revealed in the figures, the recorded drying times without stirring peanut pods at layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm were 48, 56 and 62 h, respectively. The corresponding drying times for the stirred treatments were 44, 50 and 54 h, respectively. When comparing the reduction in pods moisture content at different layers for both stirred and unstirred treatments, the unstirred treatments dried fastest at the bottom layers followed by top and middle layers due to the upward movement of drying air. However, for the stirred treatments, a uniform pods moisture reduction at all layers and a faster drying process was observed as compared with the unstirred treatments.

For the greenhouse type solar dryer, Fig. (7) and Fig. (8) illustrate the reduction in average pods moisture content as related to drying time for different pods layers thickness of (5, 10 and 15cm) and stirring process. As shown in the figures, the average pods moisture content was decreased in a higher rate with the decreasing of layer thickness and stirred treatments as compared with the unstirred treatments.

For the unstirred treatments at layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm, the recorded drying times were 40, 48 and 56 hours and the corresponded drying times for the stirred treatments were 36, 40 and 46 h, respectively. When comparing the pods moisture reduction at different layers of each studied treatment, it was found that, without stirring treatments the pods moisture reduction at the surface layer was the fastest followed by middle and bottom layer.
Fig. (3): Moisture reduction of peanut pods under traditional drying method without stirring at different pods layer thickness

Fig. (4): Moisture reduction of peanut pods under traditional drying method with stirring at different pods layer thickness
Fig. (5): Moisture reduction of peanut pods using natural convection solar dryer without stirring at different pods layer thickness.

Fig. (6): Moisture reduction of peanut pods using natural convection solar dryer with stirring at different pods layer thickness.
Fig. (7): Moisture reduction of peanut pods using forced convection solar dryer without stirring at different pods layer thickness

Fig. (8): Moisture reduction of peanut pods using forced convection solar dryer with stirring at different pods layer thickness
This may be attributed to the up-down flow of drying air of this type of dryers. Also, the figures show that the pods moisture reduction was higher and uniform for the stirred treatments as compared with the unstirred treatments.

To explore, the above mentioned results, it can be found that, as compared with the widely used traditional drying method of peanut pods the greenhouse type solar dryer could decrease the total drying time by 29.57, 25 and 23.20% with layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm without stirring process while, the corresponded reduction percentages with stirred treatments were 30.76, 28.57 and 28.78%, respectively.

On the other hand, the reduction percentages in drying time with natural convection solar dryer was 14.20, 12.51 and 20.51% for the unstirred treatments at layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm and the corresponded reduction percentage for the stirred treatments was 15.39, 10.71 and 19.18%, respectively. This means that, the greenhouse type solar dryer showed a highly effective percentage of reduction in drying time for all layers under study. Also, increasing the layer thickness to 15 cm is recommended to gain more benefits of using both the greenhouse type and the natural convection solar dryers.

Air Temperature and Relative Humidity

The drying air temperature and relative humidity were recorded during the period of the experimental work every two hours intervals. The average drying air temperature and relative humidity for the traditional sun drying method at layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm were 31.63, 32.05 and 32.06°C, respectively and the corresponded values of air relative humidity were 44.96, 44.42 and 44.46%.

For the natural convection solar dryer, Fig. (9) and Fig. (10) show that, the first collector of the dryer could increase the average air temperature outside the dryer by 6.9, 6.98 and 6.93°C and decrease the air relative humidity by (13.04, 13.10 and 13.28%) at the layers thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm, respectively. Also, the drying air temperatures inside the second collector was decreased by about 2.97, 3.39 and 3.91°C at the layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm, respectively. The corresponded values of air relative humidity was increased by 13.18, 14.14 and 15.11%, respectively, compared with the air inside the first collector.

For the forced convection solar dryer (greenhouse type), Fig. (11) and Fig. (12) show that, the average drying air temperature outside the dryer was 32.21, 32.01 and 32.06°C at the layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm and the corresponded values for relative humidity were 44.76, 45.02 and 44.48%, respectively. On the other hand, the average drying air temperature inside the solar collector of the greenhouse dryer was 36.34, 36.15 and 36.18°C at layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm and the corresponded relative humidity values were 36.25, 36.54 and 36.06%, respectively.

This means that, the solar collector of the dryer could increase the outside air temperature by 4.13, 4.14 and 4.12°C at pods layer thicknesses treatments of 5, 10 and 15 cm, while decreased the air relative humidity by 8.51, 8.48 and 8.42 %, respectively.
Fig. (9): Air temperature (outside and inside) the Natural convection solar dryer at different peanut pods layer thickness.

Fig. (10): Air relative humidity (outside and inside) the Natural convection solar dryer at different peanut pods layer thickness.
Fig. (11): The outside, inside and outlet air temperature for the forced convection solar dryer at different peanut pods layer thickness.

Fig. (12): The outside, inside and outlet air relative humidity for the forced convection solar dryer at different peanut pods layer thickness.
Bulk and seed temperatures of peanut pods:

In general, both bulk and seed temperatures of all treatments were decreased during the first period of drying and starts to fluctuate until reaching the drying air temperature at the end of drying process.

For the traditional sun drying method, Fig. (13) and Fig. (14) show that, the recorded average pods bulk temperatures at the pods layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm were 30.38, 30.32 and 30.15°C, respectively. The corresponded values for the treatments without stirring process were 31.34, 31.23 and 31.02°C, respectively. On the other hand, the recorded seed temperature at the pods layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm with stirring process were 30.37, 30.19 and 29.75°C and the corresponded values for the unstirred beds were 30.84, 30.43 and 30.18°C, respectively.

For the natural convection solar dryer, Fig. (15) and Fig. (16) show that, the recorded average pods bulk temperatures at layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm with stirring process were 33.88, 32.74 and 31.72°C, respectively. While, the corresponding values for seed temperature were 33.3, 31.77 and 30.75°C, respectively. However, for the unstirred beds, the recorded bulk temperatures were 34.83, 33.57 and 32.48°C, respectively, and the corresponding values for seed temperatures were 33.67, 32.24 and 31.18°C, respectively.

Meanwhile, for the greenhouse type forced convection solar dryer, Fig. (17) and Fig. (18) show that, the recorded average bulk temperatures with stirring process were 36.33, 34.62 and 33.23°C at the layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm, respectively. While, the corresponded values of seed temperature were 34.12, 32.95 and 32.11°C, respectively. On the other hand, the recorded bulk temperatures at the layer thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm without stirring were 37.19, 35.47 and 33.95°C and the corresponded values for seed temperature were 34.65, 32.56 and 32.18°C, respectively.

In general, for all studied drying methods the pods bulk temperature and seed temperature were increased with increasing of drying air temperature and decrease of pods layer thicknesses. Also, for all treatments, the stirring was decreased both pods bulk temperature and seed temperature due to heat loss from the pods bulk during the stirring process. So that, the stirring process shows more uniform drying and also could accelerate the drying process.

Solar Energy Flux Incident:

Fig. (19) presents the calculated solar energy flux incident during the overall period of experimental work (from 1 to 10 October 2000). In general, the solar radiation gradually increased from sun rise till it reaches the maximum value at noon, it then decreased gradually until it reached the minimum value at sunset.

Dried Peanut Quality:

Dried peanut quality was evaluated by measuring acid value (A.V.) and peroxide value in the beginning and in the end of the drying time for the three drying methods. The results showed that, the acid value decreased from 0.74 to 0.4 and the peroxide value decreased from 3 to 1.33. These values are in the range of the quality standards for peanut oil according to Patterson (1989).
Fig. (13): Bulk temperature for peanut pods dried by traditional drying method at different pods layer thickness

Fig. (14): Seed temperature for peanut pods dried by traditional drying method at different pods layer thickness
Fig. (15): Bulk temperature for peanut pods dried by natural convection dryer at different pods layer thickness

Fig. (16): Seed temperature for peanut pods dried by natural convection dryer at different pods layer thickness
Fig. (17): Bulk temperature for peanut pods dried by forced convection dryer at different pods layer thickness.

Fig. (19): Seed temperature for peanut pods dried by forced convection dryer at different pods layer thickness.
Cost Estimation.

The cost analysis for the drying costs of the forced air convection solar dryer (greenhouse type) and the natural convection solar dryer indicated that the drying cost of peanut pods was 0.27 L.E./kg for forced air convection dryer in comparison with 0.19 L.E./kg for the natural air convection dryer. This means that the natural convection solar dryer is more economic under Egyptian conditions in comparison with the forced air solar dryer. The drying cost could be less than this amount when using the dryer for drying several crops throughout the year.

Thermal Efficiency of the Dryers

The results of calculating thermal performance for both natural convection and forced air dryers showed that, the overall thermal efficiency of natural convection dryer was 23.93% and the corresponding result for forced air dryer (greenhouse) was 48%.
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Fig. (19): Hourly average daily solar energy flux incident (calculated).

Conclusion

The obtained results could be summarized as follows:

1- As compared to the traditional drying method, the greenhouse type solar dryer could decrease the total drying time by 29.57, 25 and 23.20% at layers thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm without stirring process while, the corresponded reduction percentages for the stirred treatments were 39.76, 28.57 and 28.78%, respectively. The reduction percentages in drying time for the natural convection solar dryer were 14.20, 12.51 and 20.51% for the unstirred treatments at layers thicknesses of 5, 10 and 15 cm and the corresponded reduction percentages for the stirred treatments were 15.39, 10.71 and 18.18%, respectively.

2- The stirring process could give more chance for pods to receive equal amount of heat and thereby faster pods moisture reduction.

3- The overall thermal efficiency of natural convection dryer and forced air dryer were 23.93% and 48%, respectively.

4- The acid value decreased from 0.74 to 0.4 and the peroxide value decreased from 3 to 1.33. These values are in the range of the quality standards for peanut.
5. The drying cost of peanut pods was 0.27 L.E./kg for forced air convection dryer in comparison with 0.19 L.E./kg for natural air convection dryer. This means that, the natural convection solar dryer is more economic under Egyptian conditions in comparison with the forced air solar dryer.
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التجفيف الشمسي لقرن الروز المدفوع باستخدام نوعين مختلفين من المجففات الشمسية

غلال سالم ديد، صلاح كامل السماحي، شريف محمد عبد الحق رضوان وعلى أسر
السعود
كلية الزراعة - جامعة قازان الموسوي

الغرض من هذه الدراسة هو فحص تأثيرتين من الطرق للتجفيف لقرن الروز الباهلي (القرن الباهلي) وتشمل طريقة التجفيف الشمسي في الباهلي، وطريقة التجفيف في الباهلي الطبيبي الباهلي، ونظام التجفيف الشمسي في الباهلي، ونظام التجفيف الشمسي في الباهلي.

تنتهي عملية التجفيف في الباهلي، وتشمل طريقة التجفيف الشمسي في الباهلي، وتشمل طريقة التجفيف الشمسي في الباهلي.

1- بالنسبة للطريقة التجفيف الشمسي، تتراوح المدى الزمني السريع من 10% إلى 12% في 10% إلى 12%.

2- بالنسبة للطريقة التجفيف الشمسي، تتراوح المدى الزمني السريع من 10% إلى 12% في 10% إلى 12%.

3- بالنسبة للطريقة التجفيف الشمسي، تتراوح المدى الزمني السريع من 10% إلى 12% في 10% إلى 12%.

4- بالنسبة للطريقة التجفيف الشمسي، تتراوح المدى الزمني السريع من 10% إلى 12% في 10% إلى 12%.

5- بالنسبة للطريقة التجفيف الشمسي، تتراوح المدى الزمني السريع من 10% إلى 12% في 10% إلى 12%.

6- بالنسبة للطريقة التجفيف الشمسي، تتراوح المدى الزمني السريع من 10% إلى 12% في 10% إلى 12%.