Effect of Mineral Nitrogen Fertilization and some Organic Materials on Garlic Yield and Soil Fertility under Different Irrigation Intervals El-Dissoky, R. A.<sup>1</sup> and M. N. Gahwash<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Soils, Water and Environment Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt. <sup>2</sup>Vegetables Res. Dep., Horticulture Res. Inst., Agric. Res. Center, Giza, Egypt.



# ABSTRACT

Garlic (Allium sativum L.) is one of the most important crops in Egypt due to its high medical and nutritive values which were affected negatively by the excessive use in mineral nitrogen fertilizers and irrigation too. For this purpose, two field experiments were carried out during the successive winter seasons of 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 at a private farm in Besendela Village, Belgas District, Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt (31° 20' 326.7" N and 31° 45' 286.0" E) to assess the effect of mineral N fertilization (at three rates 72, 96, 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>) and some organic materials (control, 10 m<sup>3</sup> compost and 4 kg potassium-humate "KH" fed<sup>-1</sup>) under different irrigation intervals (15, 20 and 25 days) on plant growth, yield, quality, nutrients uptake of garlic and soil fertility. Treatments were arranged in Strip-Split Plot Design with three replicates; irrigation treatments in the strip plots; organic materials in the main plots, and rates of nitrogen fertilizer in the split plots. Results demonstrated that, treatments and their interactions improved soil fertility indices (available N, P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn and organic matter content). Besides plant growth characters and its nutrients content, garlic yield and its nutritive values (N, P, K, S, Ca, Fe, Mn and Zn contents) showed significant increase with the application of organic materials and increasing N rates under irrigation intervals. Interactions among treatments had significant effect on most of parameters under study, with superior the interactions impact of the N-rate 96 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> either with compost or KH under irrigation every 20 days. For the yield quality; bulbs content of dry matter, sulfur and pungency enhanced with the applications of compost or KH alongside with mineral N fertilization up to 96 kg N fed<sup>1</sup>, moreover its content of nitrate was decreased. On the other hand, quality indices declined with increasing the application rate of mineral N fertilizer up to 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>, and accumulation of nitrate in bulbs increased. It could be concluded that application of compost or KH along with mineral nitrogen fertilizer at the rate of 96 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> with irrigation the plants every 20 days had favorable effect on the most studied traits of garlic yield and quality.

Keywords: Nitrogen, compost, potassium-humate, irrigation, soil fertility, garlic yield, quality and nutrients uptake.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Garlic is one of the most important vegetable crops in Egypt and worldwide. Furthermore, Egypt ranks the fourth leading country in the world after China, India and South Korea for garlic production, (FAO, 2012). It is cultivated for both local consumption and export, and it used in the pharmaceutical industry. Garlic had many medical values; such as anti-bacterial, antifungal, anticancer, lowering of blood sugar, blood lipids and blood platelet aggregation as well as power supplies; also, it is used as an insecticidal (Alam *et al.*, 2016). So, increasing the productivity of garlic and its quality are of the importance goals which usually depend on many factors including nitrogen fertilization, organic fertilizers and irrigation.

Nitrogen fertilization is considered the most important limiting factor for garlic plant growth, yield and its quality. El-Zohiri and Abdou (2009) stated that increasing the nitrogen fertilizer level from 60 up to 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> significantly increased plant growth parameters of garlic i.e. plant height, number of leaves, fresh and dry matter of leaves. However, Ahmed et al., (2012) showed that the vegetative growth of garlic plants and its yield were enhanced with increasing the level of nitrogen fertilization up to 200 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> on clay loam soil, but the weight loss % of storage yield was increased. Also, Ewais et al., (2016) found that the maximum values of plant growth and garlic bulbs yield (8.980 t. fed<sup>-1</sup>) were observed with the addition of nitrogen fertilizer at a rate of 150 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> with sulfur at the rate of 150 kg S fed<sup>-1</sup> in sandy soil. In China, By Li Lujiu et al., (2004) and Luji Bo et al., (2016) reported that a high garlic yield (arrange from 7.75 to 15.8 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) were gained with the addition of 300-375 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (120-150 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>) as urea, 90 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> (36 kg  $P_2O_5$  fed<sup>-1</sup>) and 150 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> (60 kg K<sub>2</sub>O fed<sup>-1</sup>). In India, Farooqui et al., (2009) and Hore et al., (2014) found that the highest yield of garlic, bulb diameter and number

of cloves per bulb were obtained with the nitrogen fertilization at the rates from 200 to 250 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (80-100 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>) in combination with 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> (24 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>). In Ethiopia, Shiferaw *et al.*, (2014) and Shiferaw (2016) stated that combined applications of 138 kg N+ 40 kg P+ 60 kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> attained the optimum bulb quality (dry matter, protein, pungency and S content) and the highest garlic contents of N, P, K and S.

However, some previous studies showed that the rapid increase in mineral nitrogen fertilizer use had a negative effect on the quality of garlic yield. El-Gazar et al., (2010) and Ahmed et al., (2012) reported that mineral nitrogen fertilization significantly increased the weight loss % of storage garlic yield. Also, Nori et al., (2012) found that increasing the application level of mineral N fertilizer up to 300 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> (120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>) increased nitrate accumulation in garlic plants, and this was significant higher with the fed by urea (640 mg NO3<sup>-</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup> dry weight) than ammonium sulfate. Zaki et al., (2014) stated that high accumulation of nitrate and nitrite in both garlic cultivars; Balady and Sids-40 was observed at the plants treated with 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> as mineral nitrogen fertilizer, while treated plants with 15 ton compost+ 60 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> decreased these accumulations.

Furthermore, previous studies demonstrated that application of organic fertilizers with inorganic ones became necessary for saving chemical fertilizers and reducing environmental pollution. In this respect, Hassan *et al.*, (2014) found that application of nitrogen at 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> in the both forms; inorganic and organic fertilizers recorded the highest values of plant growth and yield traits with good quality of garlic. Also, studies demonstrated that application of organic fertilizers decrease the accumulation of nitrate and nitrite in garlic (El-Gazar *et al.*, 2010; El-Hifny 2010; Lima and Vianello, 2011; Ahmed *et al.*, 2012; Nori *et al.*, 2012; and Raslan *et al.*, 2015).

Nowadays, a lot of awareness has been offered in order to reduce mineral nitrogen fertilization and increase the quality of yield through the use of potassium-humate (KH) or humic acids (HA). KH is the salt of humic acid that resulting of organic matter decomposition. Some previous studies reported that the application of KH enhanced plant growth and the yield; Ahmed et al., (2010) in clay soil demonstrated that soil application of KH at the rate of 5 kg fed<sup>-1</sup> with 48 kg K<sub>2</sub>O fed<sup>-1</sup> increased the vegetative growth of garlic plant, yield, quality, storability and the bulbs and leaves contents of NPK. Abdel-Razzak and El-Sharkawy (2013) found that twice foliar spray of HA enhanced garlic bulb yield; bulb and clove diameter and bulb dry weight. Also, Zaki et al., (2014) stated that the highest values of total yield and bulb diameter were detected with garlic plants treated with 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> +2 kg KH fed<sup>-1</sup>, adding with irrigation water in clay loam soil. Furthermore, Denre, et al., (2014) observed that accumulation of mineral nutrition (Ca, Fe, S, Mg, P, Zn, K, Cu and Mn) and pungency in garlic were increased at the plants foliar sprayed with 300 ppm of KH three times. Also, Shafeek et al., (2015) showed that soil addition of KH at a rate of 4 L fed<sup>-1</sup> with 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> recorded the highest values of plant growth characters; total bulb yield and increased the percent of protein, N, P and K in garlic bulb.

Irrigation is one of the most important factors affected on growth, yield and quality of garlic. Determination of the suitable time of irrigation plays an important role in garlic yield and its quality. Many studies reported a direct relationship between the yield and its components of bulb crops and available moisture at the time of irrigation (Abo Sedera and Badr 1998; Hanson *et al.*, 2003 and Ortega *et al.*, 2004). In this respect and under field study in clay loam soil, Ahmed, *et al.*, (2009) demonstrate that irrigation of garlic plants once every 15 days followed by irrigation every 20 days were superior than other irrigation intervals (10 and 25 days) to exhibited the highest values of all vegetative growth characters, total garlic bulbs yield (8.743 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) and its components including volatile oil and garlic storability.

Therefore, this study aims to evaluate the effect of mineral N fertilization and some organic materials under different irrigation intervals on soil fertility, garlic yield and its quality and nutrients uptake for achieving the suitable treatments which attained the optimum yield with the highest quality under the ecological conditions of Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Two field experiments were carried out during the successive winter growing seasons of 2015/2016 and 2016/2017 at a private farm in Besendela Village Belqas District, Dakahlia Governorate, Egypt (that located between Latitude 31° 20' 326.7" N and Longitude 31° 45' 286.0" E). The current study aims to assess the impacts of mineral nitrogen fertilization, some organic materials (compost and KH) under different irrigation intervals on soil fertility (available N, P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn, and soil content of organic matter %), plant growth and its uptake of NPK, yield, quality parameters (dry matter, nitrate, pungency and sulfur) and yield contents of N, P, K, S, Ca, Fe, Mn and Zn of garlic grown under previous conditions.

Treatments were arranged in a Strip- Split Plot Design with three replicates; the strip plots were assigned for three intervals of irrigation: 15 (I<sub>1</sub>), 20 (I<sub>2</sub>) and 25 days (I<sub>3</sub>). The main plots were allocated for three treatments of organic materials: control (without addition), compost (10 m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup>), KH (4 kg potassium humate fed<sup>-1</sup>). The spilt plots were divided for three rates of mineral nitrogen fertilization: 72 (N<sub>1</sub>), 96 (N<sub>2</sub>) and 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>3</sub>). The spilt plot area was 9 m<sup>2</sup> (3 lines each one, 0.60 m width x 5 m length).

Samples of soil were taken from surface layer (0-30 cm) of the experiment fields before planting for physical and chemical analysis according to Page, (1982). pH was measured in 1:2.5 soil: water suspension, whereas EC, cations and anions concentrations were determined in soil paste extract (as shown in Table 1).

	Par	ticle siz	ze distr	ibution	1 <b>(%)</b>	Text	ure	Bul	k	SP	ОМ	CaC	<b>D</b> .	nH
Properties	San	d	Silt	(	Clay	cla	ss	(g cm <sup>-3</sup> )		%	%	%		(1:2.5)
Values	25.7	73	28.96	4	5.31	Cla	у	1.4	0	70.30	2.08	5.84	1	8.09
			I	EC (dSr	$n^{-1}$ ), catio	ons and a	anions	s (m mol	$le_{c}L^{-1}$					
Properties	E (soil pa	C aste ex.)	Ca <sup>2+</sup>	N	/lg <sup>2+</sup>	$Na^+$		$K^+$	CO <sub>3</sub>	<sup>2-</sup> I	ICO <sub>3</sub> -	Cl-		$SO_4^{2-}$
Values	3.	40	13.0		9.0	11.75	0	).25	N.D	).	4.3	20.1		9.6
		r	Fotal %	of C, N	N, P and	K, C/N 1	ratio a	nd avail	able ni	utrients				
Droportion		Tota	ıl %		C/N			Av	vailabl	e nutrie	nts (mg l	(g <sup>-1</sup> )		
Properties	С	Ν	Р	K	ratio	Ν	Р	Κ	Ca	Mg	Fe	Mn	Zn	В
Values	1.21	0.12	0.14	0.21	10.1/1	48	10.2	252	850	192	6.8	5.4	0.85	0.45

Table 1. Some physical and chemical properties of experimental soil (average of the two seasons).

#### **Planting and harvesting:**

Garlic cloves (cv. Sids-40) were planted on one side of line at a distance of 10 cm on October 1<sup>th</sup> 2015 and harvested on April 8<sup>th</sup> 2016 in the 1<sup>st</sup> season and planted on October 6<sup>th</sup> 2016 and harvested on April 10<sup>th</sup> 2017 in the 2<sup>nd</sup> season. All the recommended field practices for garlic crop were carried out for the two experiments according to the recommendations.

# Application of fertilizers and treatments:

Compost manure (animals waste) was applied at the rate of 10 m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup> with soil preparation before last tillage. Some chemical analyses of compost were carried out according to Page, (1982) as shown in Table 2. pH was measured in 1:10 compost: water suspension, and EC was determined in the extraction.

Duoportion	лП	EC	Moisture	Density	O.M	C/N		%	, )	
Properties	рп	dSm <sup>-1</sup>	%	(kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	%	ratio	С	Ν	Р	K
Values	7.65	5.12	19.4	600	35.79	14.8:1	20.75	1.40	0.65	0.57

Phosphorus fertilizer was applied to all plots with soil preparation according at the rate of 75 kg  $P_2O_5$  fed<sup>-1</sup> as single super phosphate (15%  $P_2O_5$ ). Potassium fertilizer was applied at the rate of 48 kg  $K_2O$  fed<sup>-1</sup> as potassium sulphate (48%  $K_2O$ ) with 1<sup>st</sup> irrigation after planting. Nitrogen fertilizer was applied as ammonium nitrate (33.5 % N) at two equal doses with first and second irrigation after planting. KH was applied at the rate of 4 Kg fed<sup>-1</sup>; it

was dissolved in water then applied with irrigation water at  $1^{st}$  irrigation. Some chemical analyses of the applied KH were carried out at the dissolving in water (as shown in Table 3), whereas pH and EC were measured in 1:10 KH: water suspension (Page 1982). Total nitrogen % was determined in the acidic digested (sulphuric and perchloric acids), and total carbon % was determined using the method of Walkly – Black (Page 1982).

Table 3. Chemic	al analysis (	f potassium-	humate (	KH).
-----------------	---------------	--------------	----------	------

Properties	рĤ	EC	O.M	C/N	С	Ν	$P_2O_5$	K <sub>2</sub> O	Fe	Mn	Zn
	(1:10)	dSm <sup>-1</sup>	dSm <sup>-1</sup> %		% mg l						
Values	9.95	12.07	38.92	56.5:1	22.58	0.40	0.31	8.67	680	110	80

#### Irrigation:

Surface irrigation system in furrows (5 m length) was followed. The treatments of irrigation began after the first irrigation (after 25 days from planting) for all strips which received equal amounts of water. Garlic plants under the 1<sup>st</sup> irrigation interval (every 15 days) received 10 irrigations, and under 2<sup>nd</sup> interval irrigation (every 20 days) received 8 irrigations, and then under 3<sup>rd</sup> interval irrigation (every 25 days) received 6 irrigations. The quantity of water applied for each strip was measured using a water meter attached to the irrigation pump (VITARA; Model: WP30CX; Quantity: 1SET) with time. Water applied (kg m<sup>-3</sup>) as an indicator for field water use efficiency.

# Soil and plant analysis after 110 days from planting:

Soil and plant Samples from each plot were taken randomly after 110 days from planting; vegetative growth parameters (plant height, leaves number plant<sup>-1</sup>, leaf area, fresh and dry plant weights) were recorded then samples were dried at 70 C° and percentages of N, P and K were determined in the acidic digestion of dry samples according to Chapman and Pratt (1982). Soil samples were air dried and prepared for analysis; total carbon % and the available of N, P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn in soil were determined according to Page (1982).

#### Plant analysis at harvest:

At harvest stage (after 180 days from planting), plants of each plot area were collected and then left for 15 days in the field to be cured. Garlic yield parameters were recorded after curing (total yield, bulb diameter, average bulb weight, number of cloves bulb<sup>-1</sup> and average clove weight). Samples of bulbs (ten bulbs) were taken randomly from each plot after curing for garlic quality parameters including dry matter %, nitrate (Singh, 1988) and pungency (determined as a pyruvic acid, Randle and Bussard, 1993) and elemental analysis for N, P, K, S, Ca, Fe, Mn and Zn content Chapman and Pratt (1982). The cloves were cut and oven dried at 70°C then ground. Sub samples (0.5 g) were wet acid digested by sulphuric and perchloric acids as described by Chapman and Pratt (1982) for determination of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium, iron, manganese and zinc concentrations and calculation of their uptakes. Sulfur was determined by turbidmetrically method using a spectrophotometer at wave length 420 nm as concentration and uptake (Singh *et al.*, 1999) in the acidic digested samples (1 g of the finely ground dried tissues was wet digested by nitric and perchloric acids) Chapman and Pratt (1982).

# Statistical analysis:

The statistical analysis was done according to the method of Gomez and Gomez (1984) and means of treatments were compared against least significant differences test (L.S.D.) at confidence level of 5% and Duncan's multiple comparisons Test for single effects of treatments.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 1- Soil fertility indices: -

## 1-1- Before planting:

Data in Table 1 illustrate the initial status of soil fertility before applying the experimental treatments. It is clear from the results that soil texture was clay; pH was slightly alkaline (8.09) and according to EC value (3.40 dSm<sup>-1</sup>), soil is considered slightly saline. For soil fertility, it was moderately fertile of available N, P, K and Mg, very high in available Ca, Fe and Mn and low in available Zn and B (Hamissa *et al.*, 1993). Also, soil content of O.M was 2.08 %, and this may be attributed to the residuals of previous crop (rice) before garlic cultivation. For C/N ratio, it seems from its value that it was suitable for N mineralization process, since it was less than 20/1(Mengel and Kirkby, 2001).

# 1-2- After 110 days from planting:

Data in Table 4 show the effect of studied treatments and their interactions on soil contents of O.M % and available N, P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn as mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil. In general soil fertility showed an increase following the application of experimental treatments as compared with soil status before planting (Table 1). Application of mineral nitrogen fertilizer rates up to N<sub>3</sub> significantly affected the available soil contents of N, P, Mn and Zn, but they had insignificant effects on O.M % and the available K and Fe.

Application of organic materials compost or KH significantly improved soil fertility given increment of O.M and the available N, P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn. Furthermore, compost was more effective on maximizing O.M and available N than KH (Table 4). This is mainly attributed to the highest O.M and nutrients content in

compost as comparing with KH, whereas the benefit effects of KH in soil occur through several mechanisms; it contains many elements which enhance the soil fertility, increasing the availability of nutrients by holding them on specific surfaces, and improving soil physical, chemical and biological properties and complex metal ions (Serenella *et al.*, 2002; Abd El-Aal *et al.*, 2005 and Abdel-Razzak and El-Sharkawy 2013).

Table 4. Effect of mineral nitrogen fertilization, some organic materials on soil contents of O.M % and the available N, P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) under different irrigation intervals after 110 days (average of the two seasons).

T	O.M		The	available of r	utrients (mg	kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
I reatments	%	Ν	Р	K	Fe	Mn	Zn
Irrigation (I):							
I <sub>1</sub>	1.98	119	14.4	263	8.1	5.2	0.96
I <sub>2</sub>	2.22	139	17.6	293	7.9	5.3	1.04
I <sub>3</sub>	2.22	109	13.2	255	7.5	5.0	0.88
Organic materials (O):							
Control	2.04	103	12.8	242	7.6	4.9	0.93
Compost	2.22	125	15.7	297	7.9	5.4	1.01
KH	2.16	140	16.7	272	7.9	5.2	0.94
Nitrogen rates (kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> ):							
72 (N <sub>1</sub> )	2.16	109	13.6	268	7.85	5.01	0.93
96 (N <sub>2</sub> )	2.14	122	15.2	271	7.69	5.05	0.96
120 (N <sub>3</sub> )	2.12	136	16.3	269	7.96	5.41	0.99
L.S.D. at 5 %:							
Ι	0.14	10.3	2.21	3.8	0.40	0.19	NS
0	0.05	5.3	1.38	5.8	0.24	0.15	0.06
Ν	NS	5.8	1.09	NS	NS	0.14	0.04
N*O	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.24	NS
N*I	0.07	NS	NS	9.2	0.37	0.24	NS
O*I	0.09	9.2	NS	10.0	0.41	0.27	NS
N*O*I	0.13	NS	NS	15.9	0.65	0.41	NS

Also, data in Table 4 demonstrate that soil content of O.M and the available contents of N, P, K, Fe and Mn significantly affected by irrigation at different intervals. Soil contents of available N, P, K, Mn and Zn increased with increasing the period between irrigations up to 20 days (I<sub>2</sub>) then decreased under irrigation every 25 days (I<sub>3</sub>). However, soil content of available Fe was the highest under irrigation every 15 days (I<sub>1</sub>), and this may be attributed to when soil are waterlogged a reduction of Fe<sup>3+</sup> to Fe<sup>2+</sup> takes place accompanied by an increased in Fe solubility (Mengel and Kirkby, 2001) which happened under irrigation interval I<sub>1</sub>. It is obvious from previous results that irrigating plants every 20 days (I<sub>2</sub>) was more favorable for soil fertility.

The interactions of N\*O\*I significantly affected soil content of O.M and the available of K, Fe and Mn, but had insignificant effect on the available N, P and Zn (Table 4 and Figs. 1, 2 and 3). Yet, the interaction of O\*I had significant effect on soil content of O.M % and the available of N, K, Fe and Mn. The interaction of N\*I had significant effect on O.M % and the available of K, Fe and Mn. The interaction of N<sub>1</sub>\*Compost\*I<sub>2</sub> had the highest effect on O.M (2.54%) and available K, Mn and Zn (359, 6.6 and 1.13 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), whereas the interaction of N<sub>3</sub>\*KH\*I<sub>2</sub> had the highest effect on available N and P (175 and 24.5 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). So, these pervious mentioned results illustrated the important of compost or KH application for improving soil fertility.





Fig. 2. Effect of interactions on soil available P and K (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 3. Effect of interactions on available Fe, Mn and Zn (mg kg<sup>-1</sup>).

#### 2- Plant growth: -

Increasing the application rate of mineral N fertilizer up to 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>3</sub>) significantly increased plant growth i.e. plant height and fresh weight plant<sup>-1</sup>, but had insignificant effect on dry weight plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 5). The differences between N<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>3</sub> were insignificant for plant fresh and dry weights. The response of plant to the application rate of mineral N fertilizer may be attributed to initial soil content of available before planting which was moderately (Table 1). These results are in accordance with those obtained by El-Zohiri and Abdo (2009) and Ahmed *et al.*, (2010).

Growth of plant (plant height, fresh and dry weights of plant) significantly enhanced with application of organic materials; compost and KH as compared with control, whereas the differences between them were insignificant for plant fresh weight. KH was more effective than compost on maximizing plant height however; compost application was the superior on plant dry weight. This result may be attributed to compost and KH contents of nutrients (Tables 2 and 3), as well as it correlated positively with their impacts on soil fertility (Table 4). KH had content of potassium and growth regulators too; which is the most abundant cation in plants that associated or involved with many physiological processes supporting plant growth and development (Nardi et al., 2002; El-Zohiri and Abdo 2009 and Ahmed et al., 2009). In this respect, Zaki et al., (2014) reported that application of organic treatments (60 kg N+ compost or 120 kg N+ compost) recorded the highest values of plant vegetative parameters compared with control (120 kg N as inorganic fertilizer).

Concerning the effect of irrigation treatments (15, 20 or 25 days intervals), results in Table 5 showed that plant height and plant fresh weight significantly affected with irrigation intervals, but the effect on plant dry weight was insignificant. Generally, the highest values of plant growth parameters were recorded with irrigation of plants every 20 days. These results are in agreement with Ahmed *et al.*, (2009) who reported that irrigation every 15 or 20 days intervals exhibited the highest values of all vegetative growth characters of garlic at Middle Delta-Egypt.

°	Pla	nt height	(cm)	Plant	Fresh wei	ight (g)	Plant Dry weight (g)			
1 reatments	1 <sup>st</sup> *	2 <sup>nd**</sup>	Mean	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Mean	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Mean	
Irrigation (I):										
I <sub>1</sub>	68.2	70.6	69.4 <sup>c</sup>	48.96	61.93	55.44 <sup>c</sup>	9.46	9.83	9.65 <sup>a</sup>	
I <sub>2</sub>	71.8	72.7	72.3 <sup>a</sup>	55.48	63.37	59.43 <sup>a</sup>	9.40	10.60	$10.00^{a}$	
Ī <sub>3</sub>	70.9	70.9	70.9 <sup>b</sup>	53.70	60.93	57.31 <sup>b</sup>	9.32	9.98	9.65 <sup>a</sup>	
Organic materials (O):										
Control	60.7	62.1	61.4 <sup>c</sup>	48.07	57.26	52.67 <sup>b</sup>	9.33	9.77	9.55 <sup>b</sup>	
Compost	74.4	75.7	75.1 <sup>b</sup>	54.63	64.30	59.46 <sup>a</sup>	9.49	10.79	10.14 <sup>a</sup>	
KH	75.8	76.4	76.1ª	55.44	64.67	60.06 <sup>a</sup>	9.36	9.86	9.61 <sup>b</sup>	
Nitrogen rates (kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> ):										
72 (N <sub>1</sub> )	67.9	68.2	68.1 <sup>c</sup>	50.25	59.00	54.55 <sup>b</sup>	9.46	9.74	9.60 <sup>a</sup>	
96 (N <sub>2</sub> )	70.4	72.4	71.4 <sup>b</sup>	53.11	63.93	58.55 <sup>a</sup>	9.32	10.17	9.74 <sup>a</sup>	
120 (N <sub>3</sub> )	72.2	73.3	72.7 <sup>a</sup>	55.11	63.37	59.24 <sup>a</sup>	9.35	10.46	9.90 <sup>a</sup>	
L.S.D. at 5 %:										
Ι	1.76	1.35	0.89	2.69	Ns	1.07	Ns	Ns	NS	
0	1.09	1.49	0.71	2.06	3.48	1.69	Ns	Ns	0.25	
Ν	0.90	1.23	0.73	2.05	2.29	1.89	Ns	Ns	NS	
N*O	Ns	*	1.26	**	**	3.27	**	Ns	0.65	
N*I	Ns	Ns	NS	Ns	Ns	NS	*	**	0.65	
O*I	*	*	1.23	**	**	2.94	**	Ns	0.43	
N*O*I	Ns	Ns	NS	*	Ns	NS	*	**	1.12	

Table 5. Effect of mineral nitrogen fertilization, some organic materials and irrigation intervals on plant growth after 110 days from planting.

1<sup>st</sup>\*: first season (2015/2016); 2<sup>nd\*\*</sup>: second season (2016/2017); \*: significant \*\*: high significant

The interaction between mineral N fertilization and organic materials (N\*O) significantly affected plant fresh and dry weights as well as plant height, with superior the interactions of  $N_3$ \*KH and  $N_2$ \*KH for plant height and plant

fresh weight, respectively (Table 5 and Fig. 4). The interaction of organic with irrigation intervals (O\*I) had significant impacts on plant height, fresh and dry weights of plant with superior the interaction of KH\*I<sub>2</sub>. The interactions

of N\*I and N\*O\*I had significant impacts on plant dry weight only, with superior the interaction of  $N_2$ \*Compost\*I<sub>2</sub>. These results are in accordance with that obtained by Ahmed *et al.*, (2010), Nori *et al.*, (2012) and Zaki *et al.*, (2014).



Fig. 4. Effect of interactions on plant height, fresh and dry weight of plant.

Results in Table 6 showed that the uptake of NPK per plant (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) significantly increased with increasing

the rate of mineral N fertilization up to 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>, but without significant differences with the uptake at N-rate 96 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>. Application of organic materials; compost and KH improved the plant uptake of NPK in favor of compost.

Also, the plant uptake of NPK significantly affected with irrigation of plants at different intervals. The highest plant uptake of N (0.351 g plant<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded at the irrigation every 25 days (I<sub>3</sub>), whereas the highest plant uptake of P and K (0.025 and 0.215 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were recorded at the irrigation every 20 days (I<sub>2</sub>). These results illustrate that increasing the period between irrigations (20 and 25 days) maximized the utilization of N fertilizer applied and decreased the losses of N in leaching, and improved soil fertility that was higher under these irrigation intervals (I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub>) than I<sub>1</sub> (as shown in Table 4). In this respect, Abo-Sedera and Badr (1998), Hanson *et al.*, (2003) and Ahmed *et al.*, (2009) found similar observations.

All interactions of N\*O, N\*I, O\*I and N\*O\*I had significant effect on the plant uptake of NPK, except the interaction of N\*I had insignificant effect on the N uptake plant<sup>-1</sup> (Table 6). The highest plant uptake of NPK (0.419, 0.032 and 0.259 g plant<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were recorded with the interaction of N<sub>2</sub>\*Compost\*I<sub>2</sub>.

Table 6. Effect of mineral nitrogen fertilization, some organic materials on the plant uptake of N, P and K (g plant<sup>-1</sup>) under different irrigation intervals after 110 days (average of the two seasons).

Tusatusanta N						Р		K					
I reatment	ls –	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	I <sub>3</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	I <sub>3</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	I <sub>3</sub>	Mean
	$N_1$	0.268	0.298	0.361	0.309	0.022	0.021	0.027	0.023	0.187	0.177	0.229	0.198
Control	$N_2$	0.296	0.281	0.306	0.294	0.026	0.022	0.023	0.024	0.209	0.166	0.194	0.189
	$N_3$	0.341	0.319	0.392	0.351	0.028	0.019	0.030	0.026	0.214	0.197	0.247	0.219
Mean		0.302	0.300	0.353	0.318 <sup>b</sup>	0.025	0.020	0.027	$0.024^{b}$	0.203	0.180	0.223	0.202 <sup>b</sup>
	$N_1$	0.302	0.353	0.329	0.328	0.022	0.031	0.021	0.024	0.194	0.231	0.206	0.211
Compost	$N_2$	0.361	0.419	0.299	0.360	0.027	0.032	0.019	0.026	0.238	0.259	0.186	0.228
-	$N_3$	0.342	0.352	0.346	0.347	0.027	0.025	0.021	0.024	0.234	0.205	0.223	0.221
Mean		0.335	0.375	0.325	0.345 <sup>a</sup>	0.025	0.029	0.020	$0.025^{a}$	0.222	0.232	0.205	0.220 <sup>a</sup>
	$N_1$	0.321	0.348	0.257	0.309	0.020	0.023	0.022	0.022	0.201	0.238	0.162	0.200
KH	$N_2$	0.343	0.351	0.318	0.337	0.021	0.024	0.024	0.023	0.218	0.227	0.213	0.219
	$N_3$	0.304	0.373	0.272	0.316	0.022	0.027	0.020	0.023	0.199	0.232	0.167	0.200
Mean		0323	0.357	0.282	0.321 <sup>b</sup>	0.021	0.025	0.022	$0.023^{\circ}$	0.206	0.233	0.181	0.206 <sup>b</sup>
Mean of I		0.309 <sup>b</sup>	0.294 <sup>b</sup>	0.351 <sup>a</sup>	0.328	0.024 <sup>b</sup>	$0.025^{a}$	0.023 <sup>c</sup>	0.024	0.211 <sup>ab</sup>	0.215 <sup>a</sup>	0.203 <sup>b</sup>	0.209
Mean of N-	-rates	(kg fed	<sup>-1</sup> )										
$72(N_1)$		ν υ	0.3	15 <sup>b</sup>			0.0	23 <sup>b</sup>		0.203 <sup>b</sup>			
96 (N <sub>2</sub> )			0.3	30 <sup>a</sup>			0.0	24 <sup>a</sup>		$0.212^{a}$			
120 (N <sub>3</sub> )			0.3	38 <sup>a</sup>			0.0	24 <sup>a</sup>		0.213 <sup>a</sup>			
L. S.D. at 5	5%												
Irrigation (1	[]		0.0	)16			0.0	001			0.0	08	
Organic (O	)		0.0	008			0.0	001			0.0	05	
Nitrogen (N	(V		0.0	)13			0.0	001			0.0	08	
N*0			0.0	)23			0.0		0.014				
N*I			Ν	IS			0.014						
O*I		0.013					0.0		0.008				
N*O*I			0.0	)39			0.0	003		0.024			

#### 4- Garlic yield: -

Data in Table 7 showed that total bulbs yield, bulb diameter and average clove weight significantly increased with increasing N fertilizer rates up to 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>3</sub>). These results are in accordance with that obtained by

Ahmed *et al.*, (2012) who found that garlic yield enhanced with increasing the level of nitrogen fertilization up to 200 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> in clay loam soil, and this means that garlic yield correlated positively with N fertilization.

<sup>3-</sup> NPK-uptake Plant<sup>-1</sup> after 110 days: -

## J.Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mansoura Univ., Vol. 9(8), August, 2018

Also, results in Table 7 showed that application of organic materials (compost or KH) significantly enhanced total yield, bulb diameter and clove weight as compared with control (without addition). Total yield increased by 8.5% and 7.6% with the addition of compost and KH, respectively. Concerning bulb diameter and average clove weight, the differences among the impacts of compost and KH were insignificant. Furthermore, KH had the highest value of bulb diameter (3.99 cm). These results showed the

benefit effects of application KH, which are accordance with the studies that had been reported on the ability of humic substances to stimulate plant growth and yield by increasing soil fertility and enhanced nutrient and water uptake (Chen *et al.*, 2004; Osvalde *et al.*, 2012 and Mohsen *et al.*, 2017). In this admiration, Zaki *et al.*, (2014) stated that treating of garlic plants with compost or foliar humic increased total yield as compared with inorganic nitrogen fertilizers at 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>.

 Table 7. Effect of mineral nitrogen fertilization, some organic materials on garlic yield and its components under different irrigation intervals.

Treatments	Total b	ulbs yield	$(t. fed^{-1})$	Bulb	diameter	: (cm)	Average weight (g clove <sup>-1</sup> )			
Treatments	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Mean	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Mean	1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	Mean	
Irrigation (I):										
I <sub>1</sub>	7.080	7.245	7.162 <sup>c</sup>	3.53	3.79	3.66 <sup>c</sup>	1.52	1.61	1.56 <sup>c</sup>	
I <sub>2</sub>	7.666	7.766	7.716 <sup>a</sup>	4.02	4.17	4.09 <sup>a</sup>	1.68	1.78	1.73 <sup>a</sup>	
I <sub>3</sub>	7.494	7.622	7.558 <sup>b</sup>	3.80	3.98	3.89 <sup>b</sup>	1.55	1.66	1.61 <sup>b</sup>	
Organic materials (O):										
Control	7.004	7.194	7.099 <sup>c</sup>	3.61	3.78	3.69 <sup>b</sup>	1.50	1.60	1.55 <sup>b</sup>	
Compost	7.662	7.736	7.699 <sup>a</sup>	3.84	4.07	3.95 <sup>a</sup>	1.63	1.72	1.68 <sup>a</sup>	
КН	7.573	7.703	7.638 <sup>b</sup>	3.90	4.09	3.99 <sup>a</sup>	1.62	1.74	$1.68^{a}$	
Nitrogen rates (kg N fed <sup>-1</sup> ):										
72 (N <sub>1</sub> )	7.037	7.279	7.158 <sup>c</sup>	3.62	3.83	3.72 <sup>c</sup>	1.46	1.56	1.51 <sup>c</sup>	
96 (N <sub>2</sub> )	7.518	7.619	7.568 <sup>b</sup>	3.81	4.01	3.91 <sup>b</sup>	1.61	1.72	1.66 <sup>b</sup>	
120 (N <sub>3</sub> )	7.680	7.739	7.710 <sup>a</sup>	3.92	4.09	$4.00^{a}$	1.70	1.80	1.75 <sup>a</sup>	
L.S.D. at 5 %:										
Ι	0.071	0.112	0.064	0.097	0.11	0.06	0.012	0.012	0.009	
0	0.053	0.040	0.035	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.022	0.023	0.018	
Ν	0.048	0.077	0.036	0.06	0.10	0.04	0.024	0.019	0.017	
N*O	**	**	0.063	Ns	Ns	NS	NS	Ns	*	
N*I	*	**	0.063	Ns	*	0.07	NS	Ns	Ns	
O*I	**	**	0.061	Ns	Ns	0.09	0.038	**	**	
N*O*I	**	**	0.109	Ns	Ns	NS	0.071	Ns	Ns	

Irrigation of plants at different intervals had significant impacts on total yield and bulb diameter, since the highest yield  $(7.716 \text{ t. fed}^{-1})$  and bulb diameter  $(4.10 \text{ t. fed}^{-1})$ cm) was gained at irrigation every 20 days (I2) as compared with other irrigation intervals. The rank of irrigation intervals for their effect on total bulbs yield, bulb diameter and average clove weight was as follows:  $I_2 > I_3 > I_1$ . So, it could be concluded that garlic yield and its components increased with increasing the period between irrigation which may be attributed to enhancing the rate diffusion of roots, plant growth, nutrients uptake and then yield (tables 5 and 6). In this respect, Ahmed et al., (2009) found that irrigation every 15 days intervals had the highest values of total yield followed by irrigation every 20 days under the environmental conditions of Middle Delta-Egypt whereas, the location of this experiment was in North Delta-Egypt (the rate of annual precipitation is higher than in Middle Delta-Egypt).

Also, calculated results showed that the total quantity of irrigation water which applied was 3205, 2532 and 2410 m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup> for the irrigation intervals I<sub>1</sub>, I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub>, respectively (the means of two seasons). For water productivity (WP), the highest value was recorded at I<sub>3</sub> (3.14 kg m<sup>-3</sup>) followed by I<sub>2</sub> (3.05) then I<sub>1</sub> (2.23). This result revealed that WP increased with increasing irrigation interval with a slight difference between I<sub>2</sub> and I<sub>3</sub>. For

interaction effect, the highest WP (3.27 kg m<sup>-3</sup>) was attained at the interaction of 120 N\*Compost\*I<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 5).



Fig. 5. Effect of interactions among treatments on total yield and water productivity (WP).

Concerning the effect of interactions, all interactions (N\*O, N\*I, O\*I and N\*O\*I) had significant effect on total yield (Table 7 and Figs 5 and 6). The highest total yield (8.247 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) was gained at the interaction of N<sub>3</sub>\*Compost\*I<sub>2</sub>, without significant difference with yield that attained at the interaction of N<sub>2</sub>\*Compost\*I<sub>2</sub> (8.208 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>). Also, the interaction of O\*I had significant effect

on bulb diameter and average clove weight (Fig 6). The highest value of bulb diameter (4.40 cm) was recorded at the interaction effect of N<sub>3</sub>\*KH\*I<sub>2</sub> whereas, the highest ■ Total yield (t. fed-1)



average of clove weight (1.90 g) was attained at the interaction of N3\*compost\*I2.

■ Total yield (t. fed-1)



Ŧ

Compost

11

Control

Fig. 6.Effect of interactions on total yield, bulb diameter and average clove weight.

# 5- Quality of garlic yield: -

Data in Table 8 illustrated the effect of treatments under study on some quality indices of garlic yield. It is obvious from results that increasing the application rate of mineral N fertilizer had significant effects on quality of garlic as its content of dry matter % (D.M), nitrate and sulfur, as well as the pungency content as a pyruvic acid (allicin). Bulbs content of D.M enhanced with increasing the application rate of N mineral fertilizer up to 96 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>  $(N_2)$  whereas, the accumulation of nitrate in bulbs increased with increasing the application rate of mineral N fertilizer up to 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>3</sub>). However, pungency was declined with increasing the application rates of N mineral fertilization up to  $N_3$  as compared with  $N_1$  (72 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>). These results are agreeable with those obtained by Jones et al., (2004) and Nori et al., (2012) who found that accumulation of nitrate in garlic increased with increasing nitrogen fertilizer. Moreover, Bloem et al., (2011) reported that application of high rates of sulfur in combination with low level of N fertilization significantly increased the allicin concentration in garlic, but high N levels had an adverse effect

Application of organic materials; compost and KH had a positive effect on the quality of garlic (Table 8). Garlic content of D.M, sulfur and pungency significantly enhanced with the application of compost or KH as compare with control. Moreover, cloves content of nitrate significantly decreased with the addition of organic materials as compared with control. The lowest accumulation of nitrate

in garlic was recorded with application of KH. For pungency, the differences between the effect of compost and KH were insignificant, but compost attained the superior impact on garlic content of D.M %. These results are in accordance with Lima and Vianello (2011) and Raslan et al., (2015) who stated that allicin content (as pungency) was higher in organically garlic grown than conventionally grown and had a lower content of nitrate. Furthermore, Abd El-Aal et al., (2005) found that application of KH at a rate of 6 L fed<sup>-1</sup> with irrigation water significantly increased onion bulb dry weight. Abdel-Razzak and El-Sharkawy (2013) and Denre et al., (2014) also found that pungency concentration of garlic enhanced with the application of humic acid.

Ŧ

Control

Compost

13

Compost

12

Ŧ

Irrigation treatments had significant effect on quality indices of garlic yield, since cloves content of D.M, sulfur and pungency increased with increasing the period between irrigations (as shown in Table 8). Irrigation every 20 days (I<sub>2</sub>) attained the superior impacts for bulbs content of D.M. sulfur and pungency. Additionally, the yield content of  $NO_2$ significantly decreased with increasing the period between irrigations up to  $I_3$  (every 25 days). This result may be attributed to that reducing the period between irrigations (every 15 days) promote the content of moisture in plant that correlated negatively with estimation of D.M, sulfur and pungency too, whereas with increasing the period between irrigation the opposite occurred and quality indices of garlic enhanced. These results are in accordance with that obtained by Hanson et al., (2003) and Ahmed et al., (2009).

Also, results in Table 8 reveled that interaction of in garlic, but it had in N\*O had significant effect on the content of D.M and nitrate of sulfur and pungence Table 8. Effect of mineral nitrogen fertilization, some organic materials on qual

in garlic, but it had insignificant effect on bulbs yield content of sulfur and pungency.

Table 8. Effect of mineral nitrogen fertilization,	, some organic materials o	n quality of garli	ic yield under different
irrigation intervals (average of the two	seasons)		

Dry matter					-ge 01 0	N	litrate	"NO <sub>3</sub>	-11		Sulf	ur		Pungency			
Treatments	5		. (	%)			(mg	kg <sup>-1</sup> )			(%	)		(n	n Mol p	yrovic	g <sup>-1</sup> )
		I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	I <sub>3</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	I <sub>3</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	I <sub>3</sub>	Mean	I <sub>1</sub>	I <sub>2</sub>	I <sub>3</sub>	Mean
	$N_1$	36.58	38.61	38.80	38.00	876	565	412	618	0.421	0.473	0.464	0.453	19.03	19.45	20.62	19.70
Control	$N_2$	37.55	39.39	39.49	38.81	945	617	500	688	0.441	0.505	0.479	0.475	18.83	19.39	20.32	19.51
	$N_3$	37.06	38.39	38.69	38.04	1084	629	604	772	0.447	0.511	0.487	0.482	18.54	19.74	19.93	19.40
Mean		37.06	38.79	38.99	38.28 <sup>c</sup>	969	604	505	693 <sup>a</sup>	0.436	0.496	0.477	0.470	18.80	19.52	20.29	19.54 <sup>b</sup>
	$N_1$	37.09	39.00	38.87	38.82	776	366	397	513	0.443	0.493	0.484	0.473	19.91	20.12	21.14	20.39
Compost	$N_2$	38.59	41.36	39.13	39.19	832	489	465	595	0.478	0.522	0.524	0.508	19.21	20.13	20.59	19.98
	$N_3$	37.53	40.20	39.36	39.03	872	532	497	634	0.495	0.534	0.529	0.519	19.09	19.81	20.21	19.70
Mean		37.74	40.19	39.12	39.01 <sup>a</sup>	827	462	453	581 <sup>b</sup>	0.472	0.516	0.512	0.500	19.41	20.02	20.65	20.02 <sup>a</sup>
	$N_1$	36.91	38.73	38.85	38.16	793	266	308	456	0.480	0.496	0.477	0.484	19.36	19.92	20.78	20.02
KH	$N_2$	37.10	39.50	39.66	38.75	883	278	341	501	0.532	0.545	0.490	0.522	19.30	19.96	20.55	19.94
	$N_3$	37.52	38.95	39.45	38.64	986	428	442	619	0.534	0.550	0.525	0.536	19.31	19.78	20.06	19.72
Mean		37.18	39.06	39.32	38.52 <sup>b</sup>	887	324	364	525°	0.515	0.530	0.497	0.514	19.32	19.89	20.46	19.89 <sup>a</sup>
Mean of I		37.33 <sup>b</sup>	39.35 <sup>a</sup>	39.14 <sup>a</sup>	38.61	894 <sup>a</sup>	463 <sup>b</sup>	441 <sup>c</sup>	599	0.475	0.514	0.495	0.495	19.18 <sup>c</sup>	19.81 <sup>b</sup>	$20.47^{a}$	19.82
Mean of N-	rates	(kg fed <sup>-1</sup>	)														
72 (N <sub>1</sub> )			38	3.32 <sup>c</sup>			53	9 <sup>c</sup>			0.47	'1 <sup>b</sup>			20	0.03 <sup>a</sup>	
96 (N <sub>2</sub> )			38	8.85 <sup>a</sup>			61	5 <sup>b</sup>			0.50	)1 <sup>a</sup>			19	9.78 <sup>b</sup>	
120 (N <sub>3</sub> )			38	3.57 <sup>b</sup>			67	'5 <sup>a</sup>			0.51	2 <sup>a</sup>			- 19	9.61°	
L. S.D. at 5	%																
Irrigation (I	)		0	.20			21	.5			N	5			0	.23	
Organic (O)			0	.23			21	.1			0.0	13			0	.26	
Nitrogen (N	)	0.25					20	).4			0.0	15			0	.17	
N*O			0.44			35	5.4			N	5		NS				
N*I			]	NS			NS		NS			0.30					
O*I	0.41				36.5			0.022			NS						
N*O*I	I NS					61	.3		NS				NS				

Moreover, the interaction of N\*I had significant effect pungency only. The interaction of O\*I had significant effects on the content of D.M, nitrate and sulfur. The interaction of N\*O\*I had significant impacts on the garlic content of nitrate, but it had insignificant effect on its content of D.M, sulfur and pungency. The lowest bulb content of nitrate (266 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded at the interaction of N<sub>1</sub>\*KH\*I<sub>2</sub>, while the highest content (1084 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded at the interaction of N<sub>3</sub>\*control\*I<sub>1</sub>. Furthermore, the highest D.M % (41.36) was recorded at the interaction of N<sub>2</sub>\*Compost\*I<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 7).



Fig. 7. Effect of interaction among treatments on bulbs content of dry matter and nitrate.

The highest content of pungency (21.14 m Mol pyrovic fresh  $g^{-1}$ ) was obtained at the interaction of

N<sub>1</sub>\*compost\*I<sub>3</sub>. It is clear from the above mentioned results that interaction of mineral N fertilization at 72 or 96 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>1</sub> or N<sub>2</sub>) with application of compost or KH and irrigation every 20 days (I<sub>2</sub>) had the favorable effect on quality indices of garlic. This may be attributed to increasing the bulbs contents of dry matter and nutritive values especially sulfur that related positively with pungency. Pungency level in garlic is correlating with synthesis and the content of S, containing amino acids that are precursors of flavor compounds and pyruvate (Randle and Bussard, 1993; Jones *et al.*, 2004; Denre *et al.*, 2014; Shiferaw *et al.*, 2014 and Mohsen *et al.*, 2017).

## 6- Bulbs yield uptake of nutrients (kg fed<sup>-1</sup>): -

Data in Table 9 show that the net yield uptake of N, P, K, S, Ca, Fe, Mn and Zn significantly increased with increasing mineral N fertilization rate up to 120 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>3</sub>). However, the uptake of P, K, S, Ca and Mn uptakes at N<sub>2</sub> (96 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup>) were insignificant with those recorded at N<sub>3</sub>. These results are in accordance with Ahmed *et al.*, (2012) and Ewais *et al.*, (2016) who reported that garlic yield uptake of NPK increased up to 105, 21.2 and 29.8 kg NPK fed<sup>-1</sup>, respectively with increasing the application level of N up to 150 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>.

Application of compost or KH significantly increased the garlic yield uptake of the above mentioned nutrients. For the yield uptake of N, K, S and Mn, the differences between the effect of compost and KH were insignificant. The highest yield uptake of K, S, Fe, Mn and Zn were obtained at the application of KH, while the highest yield uptake of N, P and Ca were attained at the application of compost. These results are in agreement with

Abdel-Razzak and El-Sharkawy (2013) who stated that foliar spraying of humic acids (HA) enhanced P and K concentrations of garlic bulb tissues. Denre et al., (2014) also reported that K, S, Ca, Zn and Mn contents in garlic were significantly increased with increase the concentration of HA applications. Yet KH improved the soil fertility through providing nutrients that consequently affected positively on the plant nutrient uptake, growth and yield. KH also serve as growth regulators to control hormone levels that enhance plant growth and increase stress tolerance (Nardi et al., 2002; Serenella et al., 2002; Abd El-Aal et al., 2005 and Abdel-Razzak and El-Sharkawy 2013).

Irrigation plants at different intervals had significant effects on the bulbs yield content of N, P, K, S, Ca, Fe, Mn and Zn (Table 9). The highest values of the yield content of N, P, K, S, Ca, Fe, Mn and Zn were obtained at irrigation plants every 20 days. The rank of irrigation intervals for its impacts on the yield content of nutrients was as follows:  $I_2 > I_3 > I_1$ . However, for garlic yield uptake of P, K and S the differences between their values that recoded at the irrigation intervals I2 and I3 were insignificant. These results may be attributed to increasing the period between irrigations gave the chance for plants to have the biggest rate of root diffusion which positively correlated with nutrients uptake. Also, these results are positively correlated with the effect of irrigation intervals on soil fertility (Table 4) and plant growth and nutrients uptake after 110 days (Tables 5 and 6). In the same trend, Ahmed et al., (2009) found that the highest bulbs content of NPK were recorded with irrigation every 15 days followed by irrigation every 20 days without significant differences between them.

Table 9. Effect of mineral nitrogen fertilization, some organic materials on bulbs yield uptake of nutrients (Kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) under different irrigation intervals (average of the two seasons).

Tugatmanta	Kg fed⁻¹												
I reatments	Ν	Р	K	S	Ca	Fe	Mn	Zn					
Irrigation													
I <sub>1</sub>	70.60 <sup>c</sup>	9.88 <sup>b</sup>	17.65 <sup>b</sup>	12.74 <sup>b</sup>	9.92°	0.245 <sup>c</sup>	0.020 <sup>b</sup>	0.054					
I <sub>2</sub>	81.90 <sup>a</sup>	11.24 <sup>a</sup>	21.34 <sup>a</sup>	15.55 <sup>a</sup>	11.39 <sup>b</sup>	0.281 <sup>a</sup>	$0.019^{\circ}$	0.070					
I <sub>3</sub>	77.86 <sup>b</sup>	$11.30^{a}$	21.61 <sup>a</sup>	14.68 <sup>a</sup>	11.90 <sup>a</sup>	0.261 <sup>b</sup>	$0.021^{a}$	0.078					
Organic materials													
Control	68.71 <sup>b</sup>	9.66 <sup>c</sup>	18.15 <sup>b</sup>	13.83 <sup>b</sup>	10.15 <sup>c</sup>	0.224 <sup>b</sup>	$0.017^{c}$	0.061					
Compost	$80.56^{a}$	11.22 <sup>b</sup>	21.14 <sup>a</sup>	15.10 <sup>a</sup>	11.80 <sup>b</sup>	0.271 <sup>a</sup>	0.021 <sup>b</sup>	0.068					
KH	$81.08^{a}$	11.53 <sup>a</sup>	21.31 <sup>a</sup>	15.15 <sup>a</sup>	12.25 <sup>a</sup>	0.263 <sup>a</sup>	$0.022^{a}$	0.067					
Nitrogen rates (kg N fee	d-1)												
$72(N_1)$	69.45 <sup>c</sup>	9.87 <sup>b</sup>	18.50 <sup>b</sup>	12.85 <sup>b</sup>	10.68 <sup>b</sup>	$0.239^{\circ}$	0.018 <sup>b</sup>	$0.061^{\circ}$					
96 (N <sub>2</sub> )	79.66 <sup>b</sup>	11.20 <sup>a</sup>	21.02 <sup>a</sup>	14.93 <sup>a</sup>	11.45 <sup>a</sup>	0.268 <sup>b</sup>	$0.020^{a}$	0.068 <sup>b</sup>					
$120(\bar{N}_3)$	81.25 <sup>a</sup>	11.34 <sup>a</sup>	21.07 <sup>a</sup>	15.29 <sup>a</sup>	11.09 <sup>ab</sup>	$0.280^{a}$	$0.021^{a}$	$0.072^{a}$					
L.S.D. at 5 %													
Irrigation (I)	1.06	0.36	0.36	1.47	0.47	0.007	0.0014	0.0003					
Organic (O)	1.12	0.16	0.57	0.39	0.34	0.013	0.0012	0.002					
Nitrogen (N)	1.25	0.23	0.46	0.43	0.48	0.011	0.0008	0.002					
N*O	2.17	0.39	NS	NS	NS	0.019	NS	NS					
N*I	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.019	0.001	NS					
O*I	1.94	0.28	0.99	0.68	0.59	NS	NS	0.004					
N*O*I	NS	0.68	NS	NS	NS	0.032	NS	0.007					

Interaction of N\*O had significant effect on the bulbs yield content of N, P and Fe, but had insignificant effect on the yield contents of K, S, Ca, Mn and Zn (Table 9 and Fig. 8). The interaction of O\*I had significant effect on the yield content of N, P, K, S, Ca and Zn (Fig. 9). For the interaction of N\*O\*I, it had significant effect on the yield content of P, Fe and Zn. The highest yield content of N (92.78 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) was obtained at the interaction of N<sub>3</sub>\*KH\*I<sub>2</sub>, and of P (12.88 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) was recorded at the interaction of N<sub>2</sub>\*KH\*I<sub>2</sub>. However, the highest yield content of K, S and Ca (24.70, 17.72 and 13.15 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was attained at the interaction of N<sub>2</sub>\*Compost\*I<sub>2</sub>(Figs. 10, 11 and 12).



Fig. 8. Effect of interaction between nitrogen rates and organic materials on the uptake of N, P and Fe (kg fed<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 9. Effect of interaction between organic materials and irrigation intervals on the uptake of N, P, K, S, Ca and Zn (kg fed<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 10. Effect of interaction among treatments on the uptake of N, P and K (kg fed<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 11. Effect of interaction among treatments on the uptake of S and Ca (kg fed<sup>-1</sup>).



Fig. 12.Effect of interaction among treatments on the uptake of Fe, Mn and Zn (kg fed<sup>-1</sup>).

# CONCLUSION

From the previous results, it can be concluded that mineral nitrogen fertilization at a rate of 96 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> (N<sub>2</sub>) along with the application of one of organic materials compost (at 10 m<sup>3</sup> fed<sup>-1</sup>) or KH ( at 4 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) and irrigation every 20 days had integrated effect on soil fertility, plant growth, total yield, quality parameters and the net yield uptake of N, P, K, S, Ca, Fe, Mn and Zn, as well as, it could be rationalize mineral N fertilization by 20%. Furthermore, the previous interactions (96N\*Compost\*I2 and 96N\*KH\*I2) attained the optimum yield (8.208 and 7.952 ton fed<sup>-1</sup>) that had the highest indices of quality (the highest content of D.M, sulfur, pungency and nutrient content, as well had the lowest

content of nitrate). Also, results too showed the possibility of application potassium humate (KH) as alternative of compost at the time that the cost of compost became more expensive and not available at all time, whereas potassiumhumate was lower cost per feddan (200 L.E.) than compost (2000 L.E.) and had the highest net return.

So, under the environmental conditions of Dakahlia Governorate- Egypt, supplying garlic plants with nitrogen at a rate of 96 kg N fed<sup>-1</sup> (as ammonium nitrate) with application of 4 kg potassium humate fed<sup>-1</sup> (through irrigation water) and irrigation plants every 20 days can be recommended to attain the optimum yield that having the highest quality, as well addition the recommended doses of other nutrients.

# REFERENCES

- Abd El-Aal F. S.; M. R. Shafeek; A. A. Ahmed and A. M. Shaheen (2005). Response of growth and yield of onion plants to potassium fertilizer and humic acid. J. Agric. Sci. Mansoura Univ., 30:441-452.
- Abdel-Razzak H. S. and G. A. El-Sharkawy (2013). Effet of biofertilizer and humic acid application on growth, yield, quality and storability of two garlic (*Allium* sativum L.) cultivars. Asian J. of Crop Sci., 5(1):48-64.
- Abo-Sedera, F. A. and L. A. A. Badr (1998). Plant growth and chemical composition of garlic plant as affected by irrigation frequency and NPK fertilization. Annals of Agric. Sci. Moshtohor, 36:1057-1071.
- Ahmed M. E. M.; A. A. El-Aidy; A. A. Radwan and T. S. Abd El-Bary (2010). Response of garlic plants to humic acid and different application methods of potassium. Minufiya J. Agric. Res., 35(6):1-17.
- Ahmed M. E.; N. I. Abd El-Kadar and A. A. Derbala (2009). Effect of irrigation frequency and potassium source on the productivity, quality and storability of Garlic. Australian J. of Basic and Applied Sci., 3(4):4490-4497.
- Ahmed S. I.; A. A. Hemada and H. S. H. Toney (2012). Response of garlic plants to the application of two bio-fertilizers and four mineral nitrogen levels. Minia J. of Agric. Res. and Development, 32(4):593-611.
- Alam K.; O. Hoq and S. Uddin (2016). Medicinal plant Allium sativum–A Review. J. of Medicinal Plants Studies; 4(6):72-79.
- Bloem E.; S. Haneklaus and E. Schnug (2011). Storage life of field-grown garlic bulbs (*Allium sativum* L.) as influenced by nitrogen and sulfur fertilization. J. Agric. Food Chem., 59(9):4442-4447.
- By Li L.; G. Xisheng; Z. Qingsong; X. Hongmin and Z. Lin (2004). Balanced Fertilization Increases Garlic Yield in Anhui. Better Crops /Vol. 88 (4):30-35.
- Chapman H.D., P. F. Pratt (1982). "Methods of Plant Analysis, I. Methods of Analysis for Soil, Plant and Water". Chapman Publishers, Riverside, California, USA.
- Chen, Y.; C. E. Clap and H. Magen (2004). Mechanisms of plant growth stimulation by humic substances: The role of organic-iron complexes. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 50,1089-1095.

- Denre, M.; S. Ghanti And K.Sarkar (2014). Effect of humic acid application on accumulation of mineral nutrition and pungency in garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). Int. J. of Biotechnology and Molecular Biology Res., 5(2):7-12.
- El-Gazar T. M.; H. M. Abd El-Naby; A. M. Abd El-Hamed; A.S. El-Gamal and A. E. S. Abd El-Kader (2010). Effect of some organic, chemical and biofertilizers on garlic (*Allium sativum* L.)-1-cattle manure. J. Plant Production, Mansoura Univ., 1(7):947-960.
- El-Hifny M. I., (2010). Response of garlic (Allium sativum L.) to some sources of organic fertilizers under North Sinai conditions. Research J. of Agric. and Biological Sci., 6(6):928-936.
- El-Zohiri, S. S. M. and Y. M. Abdou (2009). Response of garlic plants to the effect of nitrogen levels and some growth stimulants. Annals of Agric. Sci. J., Moshtohor, 47 (3): 361-374.
- Ewais, M. A.; S. M. Zakaria and A. H. El-Guibali (2016). Response of garlic plants to nitrogen and sulphur fertilization. Menoufia J. Soil Sci., 1(8):1-20.
- FAO, (2012). "Statistical Yearbook". Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), Rome, Italy.
- Farooqui, M. A.; I. S. Naruka; S. S. Rathore; P. P. Singh and R. P. S. Shaktawat (2009). Effect of nitrogen and sulpher levels on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium* sativum L.). Asian J. of Food and Agro-Industry, Special Issue, S18-23.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez (1984). "Statistical Procedures for Agriculture Research". 2nd Ed., John Wiley and Sons.
- Hamissa M. R.; A. Serry and N. M. EL-Mowelhi (1993). "Fertilizer Management for Corn in Egypt". Soil and Water Research Institute, ARC, Cairo, Egypt -Critical limits of major and micro plant nutrients in soil for various crops; pp.36.
- Hanson B. R.; D. May; R. Voss, M. Cantwell and R. Rice (2003). Garlic in clay loam soil thrives on little irrigation. California-Agriculture, 56:128-132.
- Hassan A. H.; M. A. El-Helaly; T. El-Shourbagy and H. A. Ibrahim (2014). Response of two garlic cultivars (*Allium sativum* L.) to some sources of organic and inorganic fertilizers. Annals of agric. Sci. J., Moshtohor, 52(4): 523-532.
- Hore J. K.; S. Ghanti and M. Chanchan (2014). Influence of nitrogen and sulphur nutrition on growth and yield of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). J of Crop and Weed, 10(2):14-18.
- Jones M. G.; J. Hughes; A. Tregova; J. Milne; A. B. Tomstt and H. A. Collin (2004). Biosynthesis of the flavor precursors of onion and garlic. J. Experimental Botany, 55(404):1903-1918.
- Lima G. P. and F. Vianello (2011). Review on the main differences between organic and conventional plantbased foods. Inter. J. Food. Sci. Technol., 46(1):1-13.
- Luji B.; Y. Zhang; Y. Li; J. Luo; M. Sun; Z. Zhong and Y. Jing (2016). Effective use of nitrogen fertilizers for growing garlic. International Nitrogen Initiative Conference, "Solutions to improve nitrogen use efficiency for the world", 4 – 8 December 2016, Melbourne, Australia.

- Mengel K. and E. A. Kirkby (2001). "Principles of plant nutrition". (5<sup>th</sup> Eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht. Pp. 426.
- Mohsen, A. A. M.; S. K. A. Ibraheim and M. K. Abdel-Fattah (2017). Effect of potassium humate, nitrogen bio fertilizer and molybdenum on growth and productivity of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). Current Sci. Int. J., 6(1):75-85.
- Nardi, S.; D. Pizzeghello; A. Muscolo and A. Vianello (2002). Physiological effects of humic substances on higher plants. Soil Boil. Biochem. 34, 1527-1536.
- Nori M.; J. Aali and R. Sharifi (2012). Effect of different sources and levels of nitrogen fertilization on yield and nitrate accumulation in garlic (*Allium sativum* L.). Int. J. of Agric. and crop Sci., 4(24):1878-1880.
- Ortega J. F.; J. A. De Juan and J. M. Tarjuelo (2004). Evaluation of the water cost effect on water resource management: application to typical crops in a semiarid region. Agric. Water Management, 66:125-144.
- Osvalde A.; A. Karlsons; G. Cekstere and S. Malecka (2012). Effect of humic substances on nutrient status and yield of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) in field conditions. Proc. Latvian Acad. Sci., Section B, 66(4/5):192-199.
- Page, A. L. (1982). "Methods of soil analysis". 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Am. Soc. At Agron. Inc. Soil Sci. Soc. Of Am. Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, VSA.
- Randle W. M. and M. L. Bussard (1993). Pungency and Sugars of Short-day Onions as Affected by Sulfur Nutrition. J. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 118(6):766-770.

- Raslan M.; S. Abouzid; M. Abdallah and M. Hifnawy (2015). Studies on garlic production in Egypt using conventional and organic agricultural conditions. African J. of Agric. Res., 10(13):1631-1635.
- Serenella N.; D. Pizzeghelloa; A. Muscolob and A. Vianello (2002). Physiological effects of humic substances on higher plants. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 34:1527-1536.
- Shafeek M. R.; A. H. Ali; A. R. Mahoud; M. M. Hafez and F. A. Rizk (2015). Improving growth and productivity of garlic plants (*Allium sativum* L.) as affected by the addition of organic manure and humic acid levels in sandy soil conditions. Int. J. of Current Microbiology and App. Sci., 4(9):644-656.
- Shiferaw D. G. (2016). Review of Management Strategies of Constraints in Garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) Production. J. of Agric. Sci., 11(3), September, Pp 186-207.
- Shiferaw D. G.; N. R. Dechassa; K. Woldetsadik; G. Tabor and J. J. Sharma (2014). Bulb quality of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) as influenced by the application of inorganic fertilizers. African J. of Agric. Res., 9(8):778-790.
- Singh D.; P. K. Chhonkar and R. N. Pandey (1999). "Soil, Plant& Water Analysis" –A methods manual. New Delhi, IARI.
- Singh, J. P. (1988). A rapid method for determination of nitrate in soil and plant extracts. Plant and Soil J., 10:137-139 (Kluwer Academic Publishers).
- Zaki, H. E. M.; H. S. H. Toney and R. M. Abd Elraouf (2014). Response of two garlic cultivars (*Allium* sativum L.) to inorganic and organic fertilization. Nature and Science J., 12(10):52-60.

تأثير التسميد النتروجيني المعدني وبعض المواد العضوية على محصول الثوم وخصوبة التربة تحت فترات ري مختلفة رمضان عوض الدسوقي<sup>1</sup> و محمود نبيه جحوش<sup>2</sup> 1معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة - مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة - مصر 2

<sup>2</sup>قسم بحوث الخضر - معهد بحوث البساتين - مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة - مصر

الثوم (.Allium sativum L) هو واحد من أهم المحاصيل في مصر نظراً لقيمه الطبية والغذائية العالية والتي تأثرت سلبا من الاستخدام المفرط للأسمدة النيتروجينية المعدنية والري أيضا؛ لهذا الهدف أجريت تجربتان حقليتان خلال موسمي الزراعة الشتويين 2016/2015 و 2017/2016 بمزرعة خاصة بقرية بسنديلة –مركز بلقاس - محافظة الدقهلية – مصر (الواقعة بين خطى: عرض 31° - 20′ - 326.7 شمالاً وطول 31° -45' - 286" شرقاً) لتقييم تأثير التسميد النتروجيني المعدني (عند ثلاث مُعدلات: 72 ، 96 و 120 كجم نتروجين للفدان) وبعض إضافات المواد العضوية (كنترول، 10 م3 كمبوست و4 كجم هيومات بوتاسيوم للفدان) تحت تأثير الري عند ثلاث فترات (كل 15 ، 20 و25 يوم) وزلك على نمو ومحصول الثوم وجودته وامتصاص العناصر وخصوبة التربة؛ وزعت المعاملات في أرض التجربة في تصميم شرائح منشَّقة في ثلاث مكررات، حيث تمثَّلت فترات الري بالشرائح الرئيسية، والمواد العضوية في القطع الرئيسية ومعدلات التسماد النتروجيني المعدني بالقطع الشقية. هذا وقد أوضحت النتائج المتحصل عليها إلى تحسن خصوبة التربة معنوباً مع إضافة المعاملات والتدخلات بينهم حيث زاد محتوى التربة من الميسر من العناصر الغذائية: النتروحين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والحديد والمنجنيز والزنك ومن المادة العضوية؛ كذلك وقد أوضحت النتائج زيادة قياسات نمو النبات وامتصاصه من العناصر، محصول الثوم وقيمتة الغذائية من النتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم والكبريت والكالسيوم والحديد والمنجنيز والزنك معنوياً مع إضافات المواد العضوية (الكمبوست أو هيومات البوتاسيوم) بجانب التسميد النتر وجيني المعدني تحت فتر أت الري؛ كما كان للتفاعلات بين المعاملات تأثير ات معنوية على مُعظم القياسات تحت الدر اسة ونلك مع تفوق تأثير التفاعل بين التسميدُ النتروجيني المعدّني بمعدل 96 كجم نتروجين للفدان مع إضافة الكبوست أو ُ هيومات البوتاسيومُ والرّي كلّ 20يّوم وبالنسبة لجودةً المحصول تحسنت الجودة حيث زاد محتوى رؤوس الثوم من المادة الجافة والكبريت والحرافة مع إضافات المواد العضوية بجانب التسميد النتروجيني المعدني حتى معدل 96 كجم نتروجين للفدان، علاوة على ذلك انخفض محتوى الرؤوس من النترات؛ وعلى العكس انخفضت مؤشَّرات جودة المحصول بزيادة إضافة معدلات السماد النتروجيني المعدني حتى 120 كجم ن للفدان حيث زاد تراكم النترات؛ ولذا يمكن أن تخلص الدراسة إلى أن إضافة أي من الكمبوست أو هيومات البوتاسيوم بجانب التسميد النتر وجيني المعدني حتى معدل 6⁄2 كجم نتر وجين للفدان و الري كل 20 يوم كان له التأثير التكاملي المفضل على معظم صفات محصول الثوم المدر وسة و لأسيما الجودة.