

## Phosphorus Efficiency in Wheat as Affected by Foliar Spray with Zinc, Humic Acid and Biofertilizer (*Bacillus megatherium* sp.) Addition Under Calcareous Soil Conditions

Niazy, M. M.; H. A. Khafagy and Rania G. M. Helal  
Soil, Water and Environmental Res. Institute, ARC, Giza, Egypt



### ABSTRACT

To enhancing the efficiency use of phosphate fertilizer in calcareous soil by bio inoculation with (*Bacillus megatherium* sp.) as PGPR strain and foliar spray with Zn and humic acid (HA) on wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L., cv. Sakha 93) productivity and nutrients availability in soil after harvest, two field experiments were conducted at El-Nubaria Agricultural Research Station, Alexandria Governorate, Egypt during 2014 and 2015 seasons. The studied treatments involved three P application rates *i.e.*, 0, 6.5 and 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup>, foliar spraying with Zn (1.5 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> /400 L water), humic acid (HA) 1.2 L/400 L water and Zn+HA without and with bio-inoculation with (*Bacillus megatherium* sp.). The results could be summarized as follow: Available N, P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn were significantly increased due to the above mentioned treatments. Foliar spraying with Zn and humic acid in combination with biofertilizer under different P fertilizer rates increased wheat yields and 1000-grain weight as well as N, P, K, Fe, Mn and Zn content and uptake by grains in combined data. Total chlorophyll content and carbohydrates were increased as well as protein content and protein yield. Highest yield efficiency (82.7%) and harvest index (44.7%) were obtained due to the treatment of 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + Zn + bio and 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + Zn, respectively. Apparent P-recovery (APR), P agronomic efficiency (PAE) and P use efficiency (PUE) were markedly decreased with increasing P-addition rates in presence or absence of bio fertilization. Hence, the plants treated with 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + foliar spray with Zn, HA and Zn + HA when inoculated with *Bacillus megatherium* gave the highest mean values of APR, PUE and PAE. Generally, the treatment of P fertilization (13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> combined with Zn + HA) when inoculated with *Bacillus megatherium* had superior effect in improving soil properties and increasing wheat production, protein content and nutrient uptake with compared to the other treatments under calcareous soil conditions but, in most of them without significant differences with lower rate of 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup>.

**Keywords:** Calcareous soil, P-fertilization, biofertilizer, Zn, humic acid and wheat.

### INTRODUCTION

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is one of the most important cereal crops in Egypt and has a high importance worldwide, measured either by cultivated area or production (Jagshoran *et al.*, 2004). Wheat has got an evolutionary history parallel to the history of human civilization; as it decides the feast or famine for millions of people even today. Wheat provides 37% of the total calories and 40% of the protein in the Egyptian people diet. Total production of wheat in Egypt reached 9.46 million tons in 2012, produced from an area of 3.378 million feddan, (CAPMAS, 2014).

Thomas *et al.* (2009) stated that fertilizer management in calcareous soil pH value in the ranged of 7.6 – 8.3 differs from that on non-calcareous soils because of the effect of soil pH on nutrient availability and chemical reactions that affect the loss or fixation of some nutrients. The presence of CaCO<sub>3</sub> directly or indirectly affects the chemistry and availability of N, P, K, Mg, Mn, Zn, Fe and Cu. The availability of these elements decreases when soil CaCO<sub>3</sub> increases to more than about 3% by weight.

Phosphorus (P) is one of the major plant growth limiting nutrients although it is abundant in soils in both inorganic and organic forms. Phosphate solubilizing micro-organisms (PSMs) are ubiquitous in soils and could play an important role in supplying P to plants in a more environmentally friendly and sustainable manner. Phosphorus is usually supplied to the plant in many different forms some of which are manufactured, *i.e.*, phosphoric acid and calcium super phosphate, while some others are common in nature such as rock phosphate, (Abou El-Yazeid and Abou-Aly 2011). Phosphorus is highly reactive with the calcium carbonates in alkaline and calcareous soils. A series of

reactions between Ca and P reduces P solubility and its resulting availability to plants. In addition to Ca, other elements in alkaline soils including iron (Fe), aluminum (Al), and magnesium (Mg) can also react with P, reducing its solubility, (Leytem, 2008).

Zinc is an essential trace element for plants, animals and humans, but it is generally deficient in soil, which is the primary source of this nutrient. Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) is the staple food for people living in Egypt, where potentially Zn-deficient calcareous soils (*i.e.* 0.5–1.0 mg DTPA-Zn kg<sup>-1</sup>) are common, (Shivay *et al.* 2008). The low Zn concentration and high phytic acid content (*i.e.* inositol hexa phosphate) in wheat grain are the two main factors contributing to Zn deficiency in humans, (Cakmak, 2008) and Zhang *et al.* (2010). Zinc moves primarily by diffusion in calcareous soil. The diffusion rate from fertilizer granules to the rhizosphere can influence Zn absorption by plants. For these reasons, we hypothesized that the benefits of Zn application to potentially Zn-deficient soil are limited by slow diffusion rates. It is important to clarify the interactions among Zn diffusion rate, soil DTPA-Zn concentration and Zn absorption by wheat plants on potentially Zn-deficient soil, (Oliveira *et al.* 2010). Currently; ZnSO<sub>4</sub> is the most common Zn fertilizer, Hussain and Maqsood, (2010).

Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) have been known for long time to be involved in plant growth promotion via mechanisms such as phytohormone production, suppression of plant diseases and improved nutrient acquisition by nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization and metal accumulation (Martínez Viveros *et al.* 2010, Upadhyay and Srivastava 2014). Apart from this, PGPR are also known to be key players in bioremediation of contaminated soils due to

their ability to accumulate metals (Zhuang *et al.* 2007). Also, this in metal-deficient soils, sufficing for the requirement of essential metals as shown for Zinc by Whiting *et al.* (2001).

Humic acid (HA) is one of the main organic fertilizers, which is an important component of humic substances. Humic acid is produced by the chemical and biological decomposition of organic material. Humic acid is a vital component of soil organic matter which improves the plant growth. It enhances soil fertility and improves its physical and chemical properties such as permeability, aggregation, water holding capacity, ion transport and availability through pH buffering Tan, (2003) and Turan *et al.* (2011).

The objective of this study is to compare the effects of (i) P fertilization (ii) foliar spray with ZnSO<sub>4</sub> and humic acid fertilizers, and (iii) with and without bioinoculation with phosphate-solubilising bacteria (*Bacillus megatherium var phosphaticum*) on yield components of wheat grown in calcareous soil.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

To find the effect of bioinoculation of wheat by *Bacillus megatherium* and foliar spray with Zn, HA and Zn + HA on enhancing the efficiency use of P fertilization as ordinary super phosphate (67.6 g P kg<sup>-1</sup>) in calcareous soil and its effect on yield components of wheat, two experiments were conducted at El-Nubaria Agricultural Research Station, El-Bhara Governorate, Egypt during winter 2014/2015 and 2015/2016 seasons.

A representative soil sample (0–30 cm) was taken before planting to determine physical and chemical properties. The soil was sandy Loam in texture (76.29% sand, 8.60% silt and 15.11% clay), having an EC of 3.73 dS m<sup>-1</sup> in its saturation extract and CaCO<sub>3</sub> and organic matter contents of 147 and 5.3 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Available nutrients were 32.8 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup> (mineral N extracted with 2 M KCl), 3.21 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> (extracted with 0.5 M Na-bicarbonate), 170 mg K kg<sup>-1</sup> (extracted with neutral 1.0 M NH<sub>4</sub>OAc), 1.22 mg Fe kg<sup>-1</sup>, 1.14 mg Mn kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.51 mg Zn kg<sup>-1</sup> (extracted with DTPA) according to the some methods used for analysis the initial soil as Page *et al.* (1982).

The experiment was laid out in a 3-factor split-split plot in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The plot area was 50 m<sup>2</sup> (5 X 10 m). Main plots, were assigned to phosphorus fertilization rates, none, 6.5 and 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup>. Sub-plots were assigned to foliar treatments with Zn, humic acid (HA) and Zn+HA. Sub-sub plots were assigned to biofertilizers: none and *Bacillus megatherium*. Each plot was sown with grains of wheat cultivar (*Triticum aestivum* cv. Sakha 93) on the 5<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> of November 2014 and 2015, and harvested on the 20<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> of April 2015 and 2016, respectively. Grains were inoculated with *Bacillus megatherium* strain (PGPR) biofertilizer supplement by Bio-fertilizer Production Unit, Department of Microbiology, Soils, Water and Environment Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Giza, Egypt. Grains of wheat were coating with the gum media carrying the bacteria strain on the same

day of sowing at rate of 700g per 60 kg grains. More of bacteria strain was added three times at 30, 45 and 55 days after sowing (DAS) as liquid spray on soil and plant at a rate of 20L per 400 L water fed.<sup>-1</sup>.

Nitrogen and potassium were balanced in all the plots to insure that no differences between treatments existed. Nitrogen was added at 100 kg N kg<sup>-1</sup> soil as urea (460 g N kg<sup>-1</sup>) in three equal splits at 21-day intervals after sowing. Potassium was added as potassium sulphate (400 g K kg<sup>-1</sup>) at 200 kg K fed.<sup>-1</sup> in two equal splits, 30 and 45 DAS. Agricultural practices for growing wheat were carried out as recommended by the Ministry of Agriculture.

Foliar spraying with humic acid at rate of 1.2 L / 400 L water fed.<sup>-1</sup> within irrigation system was done twice at tillering stage, 30 days after sowing (DAS) and at booting stage (70 DAS). Zn fertilizer was sprayed at rate of 1.5 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> (21%) /400 L water fed.<sup>-1</sup> within irrigation system three times after 31, 45 and 60 DAS.

To collect the data from respected treatments, 1m<sup>2</sup> was randomly thrown at three different places and then averaged to measure straw and grain yields (Mg, Mega gram fed.<sup>-1</sup>), (1 Mg = 10<sup>6</sup> g = 1000 kg). Whereas for plant height (cm), spike length (cm) and 1000 grain weight (g), 10 random plants were selected and averaged. The following parameters were calculated:

- Grain protein contents by multiplying grain N% by 6.25.
- Grain protein yield in kg fed.<sup>-1</sup> {protein content g kg<sup>-1</sup> x grain yield Mg fed.<sup>-1</sup>}.
- Harvest Index (HI): (grain yield / biological yield) x100
- Yield efficiency: (grain yield / straw yield) x 100.
- N, P and K content and uptake by grain.
- The chlorophyll content of leaf tissue was estimated following the method of Saric *et al.* (1967).
- Apparent P recovery (APR) by the equation described by Echeverria and Videla (1998), *i.e.*, ANR = [P uptake (fertilized plot) – P uptake (zero plot) / P fertilizer rate] X 100.
- Phosphorus agronomic efficiency (PAE) for P according to Craswell and Godwin (1984): grain yield (fertilized plot) - grain yield (zero plot)] / P fertilizer; yield and P fertilizer in kgfed.<sup>-1</sup>.
- Phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) is the P applied to produce yield and is defined here as the amount of grain yield per unit of applied P (kg of grain yield kg<sup>-1</sup> of P applied) as described by Angas *et al.* (2006).

Macro and micronutrients content of grain samples were determined in aliquots of digested solutions resulting from the digestion of grain samples by a mixture of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and HClO<sub>4</sub> acids after drying in an oven at 70° C as described by Ryan *et al.* (1996).

### Soil characteristics after wheat harvest.

After harvest, representative soil samples of the field were taken (0 – 30 cm layer) from each plot. Samples were analyzed for available N, P and K as well as Fe, Mn and Zn according to Page *et al.* (1982).

### Statistical analysis

Statistical program MSTAT-C was used to analyze the data statistically analyzed using. Analysis of

variance (ANOVA) was employed to test the overall significance of the data, while the least significance difference (LSD) test at  $p \leq 0.05$  was used to compare the treatment means, Steel and Torrie (1997).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Available N, P and K in soil after harvest

Table 1 show that soil available N and K were significantly increased due to the treatments of P, Zn and HA fertilizations especially under inoculation with phosphorus dissolved bacteria (*Bacillus megatherium*). Highest values were 43.8 and 222 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil for N and K, respectively due to the treatment of P<sub>13</sub> + (Zn+HA) under bio fertilization and 4.28 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil for available-P when plants treated with P<sub>13</sub> + HA under bio fertilization. The increases percentage reached 30.7, 25.4 and 30.1 %, for N, K and P, respectively compared with untreated.

The superiority of (13 kg P kg<sup>-1</sup> + Zn +HA) when treated with bio fertilization could be due to the effect of

**Table (1) Available macronutrients content (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) in soil after wheat harvest as affected by treatments**

| P application rate, kg P fed. <sup>-1</sup> (P) | Foliar treatment (F) | Bio addition (b) |                     |         |         |                     |         |         |                     |         |
|---|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------|
|   |                      | With             | Without Available-N | Mean    | With    | Without Available-P | Mean    | With    | Without Available-K | Mean    |
| 0   | Zn                   | 34.8             | 33.5                | 34.1    | 3.64    | 3.29                | 3.46    | 181     | 177                 | 179     |
|   | Humic acid, (HA)     | 36.4             | 35.1                | 35.7    | 3.83    | 3.51                | 3.67    | 191     | 185                 | 188     |
|   | Zn +HA               | 38.5             | 37.1                | 37.8    | 4.05    | 3.63                | 3.84    | 196     | 192                 | 194     |
|   | Mean                 | 36.5             | 35.2                | 35.9 c  | 3.84    | 3.47                | 3.66    | 189     | 185                 | 187 c   |
| 6.5   | Zn                   | 36.1             | 34.8                | 35.4    | 3.75    | 3.39                | 3.57    | 193     | 183                 | 188     |
|   | HA                   | 37.9             | 35.6                | 36.7    | 4.02    | 3.64                | 3.83    | 201     | 194                 | 197     |
|   | Zn +HA               | 39.1             | 37.5                | 38.3    | 4.26    | 3.79                | 4.02    | 209     | 199                 | 204     |
|   | Mean                 | 37.7             | 36.0                | 36.8 b  | 4.01    | 3.60                | 3.81    | 201     | 192                 | 197 b   |
| 13  | Zn                   | 40.3             | 36.9                | 38.6    | 3.94    | 3.76                | 3.85    | 207     | 191                 | 199     |
|   | HA                   | 43.0             | 38.2                | 40.6    | 4.28    | 3.97                | 4.12    | 217     | 199                 | 208     |
|   | Zn +HA               | 43.8             | 38.6                | 41.2    | 3.92    | 4.07                | 3.99    | 222     | 210                 | 216     |
|   | Mean                 | 42.4             | 37.9                | 40.1 a  | 4.04    | 3.93                | 3.99    | 215     | 200                 | 208 a   |
| Grand Mean (bio)                                |                      | 38.9             | 36.4                |         | 3.96    | 3.67                |         | 202 a   | 192 b               |         |
|   |                      | P:**             | F:**                | B:**    | P: ns   | F: ns               | B: ns   | P:**    | F:**                | B:**    |
| F -test   |                      | PxF: ns          | Pxb:**              | Fxb: ns | PxF: ns | Pxb: ns             | Fxb: ns | PxF: ns | Pxb: ns             | Fxb: ns |
|   |                      |                  | PxFxb: ns           |         |         | PxFxb: ns           |         |         | PxFxb: ns           |         |
| Mean of foliar treatment (F)                    |                      |                  |                     |         |         |                     |         |         |                     |         |
| Zn  |                      |                  | 36.0 c              |         |         | 3.63                |         |         | 189 c               |         |
| HA  |                      |                  | 37.7 b              |         |         | 3.87                |         |         | 198 b               |         |
| Zn +HA  |                      |                  | 39.1 a              |         |         | 3.95                |         |         | 205 a               |         |

### Available Fe, Mn and Zn in soil after Harvest

Data presented in Table 2 show that soil available Fe, Mn and Zn were significantly increased by application of different P fertilization rates and foliar spraying with Zn and HA especially under inoculation with phosphorus dissolved bacteria (*Bacillus megatherium*). The highest values were 2.45, 1.53 and 0.72 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil for Fe, Mn, and Zn, respectively owing to the treatment of P<sub>13</sub> + (Zn+HA) under bio fertilization. The increases percentage reached 87.0, 29.7 and 33.3 %, for Fe, Mn, and Zn, respectively. These results agree with Zaki and Radwan (2006) who found that the applied P fertilizer and phosphate dissolving bacteria enhanced micronutrients availability in calcareous soil. biofertilizer treatments enhanced also the biological conditions in soil that caused nutrient uptake by plants to increase. These results may be attributed to one or more of the following reasons:

i. The presence of microbial media of bio-fertilizer, and in turn produces active organic and inorganic acids that led to decrease soil pH beside their ability to chelate metal ions (Fe, Mn and Zn). These chelated metal ions are held in forms available for plant and

microorganisms and their biological activity in build up the microflora and released active organic acids during microbial activity, thus enhancing solubilization of nutrient from the native and added sources Application of mineral-P might have improved the activities of microorganisms responsible for P transformation (Ewees and Abdel Hafeez, 2010). Humic substances act as a natural soil conditioner which improved soil properties and consequently soil productivity. These results are in accordance with those obtained by, Ali *et al.* (2011).

The used amendment sources could be arranged according to their effects in the following descending order: P<sub>13</sub> > P<sub>6.5</sub> > P<sub>0</sub> for phosphorus fertilization rate; Zn + HA > HA > Zn for treatments and Bio > without bio for bio inoculation effect. This trend was found true for available N, P and K content, which was significant for available N and K while insignificant for available P in the studied soil.

consequently they are found as strategic storehouse in organo-metalic compounds that are more suitable for uptake by plant roots (Mohammed, 2004).

- ii. The effective role of microbial activity to reduce soil salinity stress, could be interpreted according to many opinions outlined by Ashmayer *et al.* (2008) who reported that many strains produce several phytohormones (i.e., indole acetic acid and cytokinins) and organic acids. Such products reduce the deleterious effect of Na-salts, and simultaneously improve soil structure, i.e., increase aggregate stability and drainable pores and hence accelerate leaching of soluble salts and soil profile with the drained water.
- iii. The released soluble Ca<sup>2+</sup> partially substitutes exchangeable Na and leads to reduce ESP value and formation of small clay domains. Such clay domains are coated with the released active organic acids, and then form coarse sizes of water stable aggregates which accelerate leaching of a pronounced content of soluble salts and accordingly reduce the ECe value (Ewees and Abdel Hafeez, 2010).

**Table (2) Available micronutrient contents (mg kg<sup>-1</sup> soil) in soil after wheat harvest as affected by treatments**

| P application rate, kg P fed. <sup>-1</sup> (P) | Foliar treatment (F) | Bio addition (b)     |           |        |                      |           |         |                      |           |         |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------|----------------------|-----------|---------|----------------------|-----------|---------|
|   |                      | Without Available-Fe |           |        | Without Available-Mn |           |         | Without Available-Zn |           |         |
| 0   | Zn                   | 1.79                 | 1.31      | 1.55   | 1.25                 | 1.18      | 1.21    | 0.56                 | 0.54      | 0.55    |
|   | HA                   | 1.97                 | 1.54      | 1.75   | 1.32                 | 1.22      | 1.27    | 0.60                 | 0.57      | 0.58    |
|   | Zn +HA               | 2.07                 | 1.99      | 2.03   | 1.36                 | 1.26      | 1.31    | 0.63                 | 0.59      | 0.61    |
|   | Mean                 | 1.94                 | 1.61      | 1.78 b | 1.31                 | 1.22      | 1.26 b  | 0.59                 | 0.57      | 0.58 b  |
| 6.5   | Zn                   | 1.87                 | 1.35      | 1.61   | 1.28                 | 1.20      | 1.24    | 0.60                 | 0.55      | 0.57    |
|   | HA                   | 2.20                 | 1.60      | 1.90   | 1.39                 | 1.28      | 1.33    | 0.65                 | 0.61      | 0.63    |
|   | Zn +HA               | 2.40                 | 2.02      | 2.21   | 1.44                 | 1.31      | 1.37    | 0.68                 | 0.64      | 0.66    |
|   | Mean                 | 2.16                 | 1.65      | 1.91 a | 1.37                 | 1.26      | 1.31 b  | 0.64                 | 0.60      | 0.62 ab |
| 13  | Zn                   | 1.95                 | 1.37      | 1.66   | 1.35                 | 1.25      | 1.30    | 0.61                 | 0.60      | 0.60    |
|   | HA                   | 2.25                 | 1.73      | 1.99   | 1.46                 | 1.35      | 1.41    | 0.67                 | 0.63      | 0.65    |
|   | Zn +HA               | 2.45                 | 2.05      | 2.25   | 1.53                 | 1.39      | 1.46    | 0.72                 | 0.68      | 0.70    |
|   | Mean                 | 2.22                 | 1.71      | 1.97 a | 1.45                 | 1.33      | 1.39 a  | 0.67                 | 0.64      | 0.65 a  |
| Grand Mean (bio)                                |                      | 2.11 a               | 1.66 b    |        | 1.38 a               | 1.27 b    |         | 0.64                 | 0.60      |         |
|   |                      | P: **                | F: **     | B: **  | P: **                | F: **     | B: **   | P: *                 | F: *      | B: ns   |
| F -test   |                      | PxF: ns              | Pxb: ns   | Fxb: * | PxF: ns              | Pxb: ns   | Fxb: ns | PxF: ns              | Pxb: ns   | Fxb: ns |
|   |                      |                      | PxFxb: ns |        |                      | PxFxb: ns |         |                      | PxFxb: ns |         |
| Mean of foliar treatment (F)                    |                      |                      |           |        |                      |           |         |                      |           |         |
| Zn  |                      |                      | 1.61 c    |        |                      | 1.25 b    |         |                      | 0.57 b    |         |
| HA  |                      |                      | 1.88 b    |        |                      | 1.34 a    |         |                      | 0.62 ab   |         |
| Zn +HA  |                      |                      | 2.16 a    |        |                      | 1.38 a    |         |                      | 0.66 a    |         |

The availability of soil N, P and K as well as micronutrients in the studied calcareous soil were greatly affected by humic acid enriched with nutrients particularly P and Zn especially with bio inoculation with PDB.

The interaction between P, Zn and HA fertilization treatments showed that the values of available nutrients available were in ascending order of : Zn+HA > HA > Zn for available Fe and Zn as well as Zn+HA > HA > Zn for available Mn. As for P fertilization, the order was: P<sub>13</sub> ≥ P<sub>6.5</sub> > P<sub>0</sub> for available Fe and Zn and P<sub>13</sub> > P<sub>6.5</sub> ≥ P<sub>0</sub> for available Mn. In other words, the availability of micronutrients responded more to bio inoculation with (*Bacillus megatherium*). These results are agreement with Van Hees *et al.* (2005).

#### Effect of treatments on growth parameters and yield of wheat:

##### Growth parameters

Some growth parameters of wheat plants are shown in Table 3. Application of P-fertilization and foliar spraying with Zn, HA and Zn+HA as well as bio fertilization significantly increased plant height, spike length and 1000-grain weight. The highest values (109 cm, 14.4 cm and 38.1 g ) were recorded in the plants treated with 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + (Zn + HA) + bio which caused increases of about 74.7%, 71.0% and 31.8%, respectively. The application of P-fertilization enriched with Zn and humic acids as well as inoculated with phosphorus dissolved bacteria was more effective on wheat yield than other treatments. The beneficial effects of HA on plant growth could be related to improved plant metabolism. Trevisan *et al.* (2010) attributed the growth stimulation effect of HA on plant growth to their interaction with physiological and metabolic processes; stimulating nutrient uptake and cell permeability, and the regulating mechanisms involved in plant growth stimulation. On the other hand, the increased occurred in growth parameters reflects the positive role of micronutrients in enhancing biochemical and physiological processes such as; photosynthesis, respiration, enzyme activity. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Yassen *et al.* (2010). The main effect of P-fertilization rate, concerning plant

height, spike length and 1000-grain weight followed the order of: Zn + HA > HA > Zn for plant height and 1000-grain weight and followed the pattern: Zn + HA ≥ HA ≥ Zn for spike length.

As for the main effect of foliar treatments, results show progressive increase in plant height, spike length and 1000-grain weight. The pattern was: Zn + HA > HA > Zn for plant height and 1000-grain weight while, followed the pattern: Zn + HA ≥ HA > Zn for spike length Bio inoculation was superior for increasing available Fe, Mn and Zn in soil after harvest.

##### Straw and grains yields

As shown in Table 4, P application, Zn and HA as well as their combinations is significantly increased straw and grain yields and consequently the biological yield of wheat plants. On the other hand, bio inoculation significantly increased straw yield only. Ali *et al.* (2011) reported that P and Zn fertilization resulted in increasing straw, grain and total yield of wheat.

The highest yields of straw and grain (4.26 and 2.94 Mg fed.<sup>-1</sup>), respectively were obtained with the application of 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + (Zn +HA) + bio inoculation with *Bacillus megatherium* sp. treatment which resulted in relative increments of 38.3% and 21.0%, respectively.

When investigating the role of phosphorus in plants, El-Kabbany and Darwish (2002) concluded that phosphorus is part of the molecular structure of several vitally important compounds, notably nucleic acids. In addition, phosphorus plays an indispensable role in photosynthesis and respiration and is also essential for cell division and for the development of meristem tissues. The yield increase due to P application was perhaps related to the increased concentration and uptake of essential plant nutrients and the decreased concentration and uptake of toxic ions (Na<sup>+</sup> and Cl) and to the widening of the Ca/Na and K/Na ratios. These results are in harmony with those obtained by Helmy, (2008). These increases also, illustrate the pronounced effect of bio inoculation with phosphate dissolving microorganisms on improving soil characteristics, fertility and plant production, (Nour El-Dein and Salama, 2006).

**Table (3) Yield components of wheat as affected by P and bio addition as well as foliar treatments**

| P application rate, kg P fed. <sup>-1</sup> (P) | Foliar treatment (F) | Plant height (cm) |                     |                  | Bio addition (b) Spike length (cm) |                     |                  | 1000-grain weight (g) |                     |                  |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|   |                      | With              | Without             | Mean             | With                               | Without             | Mean             | With                  | Without             | Mean             |
| 0   | Zn                   | 69.2              | 62.4                | 65.8             | 9.78                               | 8.42                | 9.10             | 32.1                  | 28.9                | 30.5             |
|   | HA                   | 75.1              | 68.4                | 71.7             | 12.0                               | 10.6                | 11.3             | 33.7                  | 30.1                | 31.9             |
|   | Zn +HA               | 79.8              | 72.6                | 76.2             | 12.7                               | 11.4                | 12.0             | 35.0                  | 31.1                | 33.1             |
|   | Mean                 | 74.7              | 67.8                | 71.2 c           | 11.5                               | 10.1                | 10.8 b           | 33.6                  | 30.0                | 31.8 c           |
| 6.5   | Zn                   | 87.2              | 67.6                | 77.4             | 9.89                               | 8.78                | 9.33             | 33.6                  | 30.4                | 32.0             |
|   | HA                   | 91.8              | 76.6                | 84.2             | 13.0                               | 11.3                | 12.2             | 35.9                  | 31.0                | 33.5             |
|   | Zn +HA               | 96.5              | 80.3                | 88.4             | 13.1                               | 11.9                | 12.5             | 37.0                  | 32.0                | 34.5             |
|   | Mean                 | 91.8              | 74.8                | 83.3 b           | 12.0                               | 10.7                | 11.3ab           | 35.5                  | 31.1                | 33.3 b           |
| 13  | Zn                   | 95.7              | 77.9                | 86.8             | 10.2                               | 8.94                | 9.56             | 36.1                  | 31.9                | 34.0             |
|   | HA                   | 103               | 86.8                | 94.9             | 13.9                               | 11.8                | 12.9             | 36.8                  | 32.1                | 34.5             |
|   | Zn +HA               | 109               | 91.3                | 100              | 14.4                               | 12.0                | 13.2             | 38.1                  | 33.8                | 35.9             |
|   | Mean                 | 103               | 85.3                | 94.0 a           | 12.8                               | 10.9                | 11.9 a           | 37.0                  | 32.6                | 34.8 a           |
| Grand Mean (bio)                                |                      | 89.8 a            | 76.0 b              |                  | 12.1a                              | 10.6 b              |                  | 35.4 a                | 31.2 b              |                  |
| F -test   |                      | P: **<br>Px F: ns | F: **<br>Px Fxb: ns | B: **<br>Fxb: ns | P: **<br>Px F: ns                  | F: **<br>Px Fxb: ns | B: **<br>Fxb: ns | P: **<br>Px F: ns     | F: **<br>Px Fxb: ns | B: **<br>Fxb: ns |
| Mean of foliar treatment (F)                    |                      |                   |                     |                  |                                    |                     |                  |                       |                     |                  |
| Zn  |                      |                   | 76.7 c              |                  |                                    | 9.33 b              |                  |                       | 32.1 c              |                  |
| HA  |                      |                   | 83.6 b              |                  |                                    | 12.1 a              |                  |                       | 33.3 b              |                  |
| Zn +HA  |                      |                   | 88.2 a              |                  |                                    | 12.6 a              |                  |                       | 34.5 a              |                  |

**Table (4) Yield (Mg fed.<sup>-1</sup>) of wheat as affected by P and bio addition as well as foliar treatments**

| P application rate, kg P fed. <sup>-1</sup> (P) | Foliar treatment (F) | Straw yield       |                     |                  | Bio addition (b) grain yield |                     |                  | Biological yield  |                     |                  |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|   |                      | With              | Without             | Mean             | With                         | Without             | Mean             | With              | Without             | Mean             |
| 0   | Zn                   | 3.39              | 3.08                | 3.23             | 2.63                         | 2.43                | 2.53             | 6.02              | 5.51                | 5.77             |
|   | HA                   | 3.55              | 3.25                | 3.40             | 2.71                         | 2.50                | 2.60             | 6.26              | 5.75                | 6.01             |
|   | Zn +HA               | 3.66              | 3.37                | 3.52             | 2.75                         | 2.54                | 2.64             | 6.41              | 5.91                | 6.16             |
|   | Mean                 | 3.53              | 3.23                | 3.38             | 2.69                         | 2.49                | 2.59             | 6.22              | 5.72                | 5.98             |
| 6.5   | Zn                   | 3.42              | 3.23                | 3.33             | 2.62                         | 2.61                | 2.72             | 6.25              | 5.84                | 6.05             |
|   | HA                   | 3.70              | 3.35                | 3.52             | 2.89                         | 2.69                | 2.79             | 6.59              | 6.04                | 6.32             |
|   | Zn +HA               | 3.79              | 3.41                | 3.60             | 2.92                         | 2.71                | 2.81             | 6.71              | 6.12                | 6.42             |
|   | Mean                 | 3.63              | 3.33                | 3.48             | 2.88                         | 2.67                | 2.77             | 6.51              | 6.00                | 6.26             |
| 13  | Zn                   | 3.85              | 3.43                | 3.64             | 2.87                         | 2.67                | 2.77             | 6.72              | 6.10                | 6.41             |
|   | HA                   | 4.01              | 3.53                | 3.77             | 2.91                         | 2.71                | 2.81             | 6.92              | 6.24                | 6.58             |
|   | Zn +HA               | 4.26              | 3.80                | 4.03             | 2.94                         | 2.74                | 2.84             | 7.20              | 6.54                | 6.87             |
|   | Mean                 | 4.04              | 3.58                | 3.81             | 2.91                         | 2.71                | 2.81             | 6.95              | 6.29                | 6.62             |
| Grand Mean (bio)                                |                      | 3.73 a            | 3.38 b              |                  | 2.83                         | 2.62                |                  | 6.56              | 6.00                |                  |
| F -test   |                      | P: ns<br>Px F: ns | F: ns<br>Px Fxb: ns | B: **<br>Fxb: ns | P: ns<br>Px F: ns            | F: ns<br>Px Fxb: ns | B: ns<br>Fxb: ns | P: ns<br>Px F: ns | F: ns<br>Px Fxb: ns | B: ns<br>Fxb: ns |
| Mean of foliar treatment (F)                    |                      |                   |                     |                  |                              |                     |                  |                   |                     |                  |
| Zn  |                      |                   | 3.40                |                  |                              | 2.67                |                  |                   | 6.08                |                  |
| HA  |                      |                   | 3.56                |                  |                              | 2.73                |                  |                   | 6.30                |                  |
| Zn +HA  |                      |                   | 3.72                |                  |                              | 2.76                |                  |                   | 6.48                |                  |

**Yield efficiency and harvest index**

Values of yield efficiency as affected by P fertilization, Zn and HA whether applied solely or in combinations are shown in Table 5. Grain yield efficiency, which is the ratio of grain yield to straw yield at maturity varied between 69.0% - 82.7%. The plants treated with 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + foliar spray with Zn + bio gave the highest yield efficiency giving increases of 19.9% as compared to the plants treated with 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + foliar spray with HA + Zn under bio inoculation which gave lowest value. These results are in a harmony with those obtained by Helmy, (2008) who found that the highest yield efficiency value (94.2%) was obtained due to (phosphorus dissolving bacteria, PDB + 7.0 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + 1.5 kg Zn fed.<sup>-1</sup>).

Harvest index of wheat increased due to the addition treatments and varied between, 40.8% - 45.3%. Harvest index of plants treated with 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + foliar spray with Zn + bio was the highest giving increase of 11.0%. The favourable effect of mineral P-fertilization is due to P being essential for plant growth. Therefore, the increase in P-fertilization rate would increase metabolic processes and physiological activities rate, and thus, increased yield with good quality of grains would occur (Russel, 1973).

**Total chlorophyll and Carbohydrates**

Data presented in Tables 5 and 6 shows that total chlorophyll and carbohydrate contents were significantly increased due to the addition of P fertilization, foliar spray with Zn and HA as well as biofertilization. The highest values of total chlorophyll and carbohydrate contents (38.8 mg/g F.W and 67.9 %) were obtained under application of 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + (Zn + HA) + biofertilization causing 49.8% and 12.6% increases.

As for P fertilization, the main effect followed the order: 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> > 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> > 0 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> for chlorophyll content and 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> ≥ 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> > 0 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> for carbohydrate content. On the other hand, the main effect of foliar treatments of Zn and HA shows descending order as follows: Zn + HA > HA > Zn for total chlorophyll and carbohydrate contents. The main effects of bio inoculation with *Bacillus megatherium* sp. showed a descending order of with bio > without bio. The increased amount of chlorophyll content in leaves indicates the photosynthetic efficiency, thus it can be used as one of the criteria for quantifying photosynthetic rate which occurred with biofertilization (Kowsar, et al., 2014). Zinc is essential for the activity of various enzymes and delay the senescence of wheat plants through increasing the levels of indol acetic acid (IAA) and chlorophyll, and is associated with

carbohydrate metabolism and protein synthesis (Marschner, 1998).

**Grain protein content and protein yield**

It can be seen from results presented in Table 6 that the grain protein content and grain protein yield of wheat increased as affected by the treatments of P fertilization and foliar spray with Zn and HA as well as biofertilization and their combinations. Helmy, (2008) reported that protein content in wheat grain increased with high rates of mineral P fertilizer up to 14 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + 1.5 kg Zn fed.<sup>-1</sup> + biofertilization. The favourable effect of mineral P-fertilization is attributed to its role as one of the most important constituents of all proteins and nucleic acids, and hence protoplasm and chlorophyll which led to an increase in metabolic processes and physiological activities necessary for more plant organs formation, more dry matter accumulation and enhancing the grain hilling rate, which finally increase the amount of protein in grain

(Wortman *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, the effect of phosphate dissolving bacteria in dissolving insoluble P as well as secreting promoting growth substances, which would increase plant growth and grain yield. The phosphate dissolving bacteria utilize organic compounds as carbon and energy source and produce organic acids, which can solubilize insoluble inorganic phosphate. According to Metwally (2000), PDB could produce growth promoting substances, including auxins, gibberelins and cytokinins, which increase plant growth. These results are in a harmony with those obtained by Abedi *et al.* (2010) and Rana *et al.* (2012). The highest values of protein content (17.4 and 506 kg fed.<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained due to the treatment of 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + (Zn + HA) + bio fertilization representing increase percentage of 31.8% and 57.6%, respectively. The treatments and its interaction had no significant effect on increasing protein content and protein yield

**Table (5) harvest index, yield efficiency and total chlorophyll of wheat as affected by P and bio addition as well as foliar treatments**

| P application rate, kg P fed. <sup>-1</sup> (P) | Foliar treatment (F)         | Yield efficiency |         |         | Bio addition (b) Harvest Index (HI) |         |         | Total chlorophyll (mg/g F.W.) |         |         |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|
|   |                              | With             | Without | Mean    | With                                | Without | Mean    | With                          | Without | Mean    |
| 0   | Zn                           | 77.6             | 78.9    | 78.3    | 43.7                                | 44.1    | 43.8    | 27.5                          | 25.9    | 26.7    |
|   | HA                           | 76.3             | 76.9    | 76.5    | 43.3                                | 43.5    | 43.3    | 30.8                          | 27.0    | 28.9    |
|   | Zn+HA                        | 75.1             | 75.4    | 75.0    | 42.9                                | 43.0    | 42.9    | 35.1                          | 27.9    | 31.5    |
|   | Mean                         | 76.2             | 77.1    | 76.6    | 43.2                                | 43.5    | 43.3    | 31.1                          | 26.9    | 29.0 c  |
| 6.5   | Zn                           | 82.7             | 80.8    | 81.7    | 45.3                                | 44.7    | 45.0    | 30.2                          | 26.4    | 28.3    |
|   | HA                           | 78.1             | 80.3    | 79.3    | 43.9                                | 44.5    | 44.1    | 33.0                          | 27.8    | 30.4    |
|   | Zn+HA                        | 77.0             | 79.5    | 78.1    | 43.5                                | 44.3    | 43.8    | 35.9                          | 28.2    | 32.1    |
|   | Mean                         | 79.3             | 80.2    | 79.7    | 44.2                                | 44.5    | 44.3    | 33.1                          | 27.5    | 30.3 b  |
| 13  | Zn                           | 74.5             | 77.8    | 76.1    | 42.7                                | 43.8    | 43.2    | 34.9                          | 27.2    | 31.0    |
|   | HA                           | 72.6             | 76.8    | 74.5    | 42.1                                | 43.4    | 42.7    | 35.9                          | 27.9    | 31.9    |
|   | Zn+HA                        | 69.0             | 72.1    | 70.5    | 40.8                                | 41.9    | 41.3    | 38.8                          | 29.3    | 34.1    |
|   | Mean                         | 72.0             | 75.7    | 73.8    | 41.9                                | 43.1    | 42.4    | 36.5                          | 28.1    | 32.3 a  |
| Grand Mean (bio)                                |                              | 75.8             | 77.7    | 76.7    | 43.1                                | 43.7    | 43.4    | 33.6 a                        | 27.5 b  | 30.5    |
| F -test   |                              | P: ns            | F: ns   | B: ns   | P: ns                               | F: ns   | B: ns   | P: **                         | F: **   | B: **   |
|   |                              | PxF: ns          | PxB: ns | FxB: ns | PxF: ns                             | PxB: ns | FxB: ns | PxF: ns                       | PxB: ** | FxB: ** |
|   | Mean of foliar treatment (F) |                  |         |         |                                     |         |         |                               |         |         |
|   | Zn                           |                  | 44.0    |         |                                     | 78.7    |         |                               | 28.7 c  |         |
|   | HA                           |                  | 43.4    |         |                                     | 76.8    |         |                               | 30.4 b  |         |
|   | Zn+HA                        |                  | 42.7    |         |                                     | 74.5    |         |                               | 32.5 a  |         |

Yield efficiency: (grain yield / straw yield) x 100.

Harvest Index (HI): (grain yield / biological yield) x100

**Table (6) Carbohydrate, protein (%) and protein yield (kg fed.<sup>-1</sup>) of wheat grain as affected by P and bio addition as well as foliar treatments**

| P application rate, kg P fed. <sup>-1</sup> (P) | Foliar treatment (F)         | Carbohydrate (%) |         |         | Bio addition (b) Protein (%) |         |         | Protein yield (kg fed. <sup>-1</sup> ) |         |         |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|---------|---------|------------------------------|---------|---------|--|---------|---------|
|   |                              | With             | Without | Mean    | With                         | Without | Mean    | With                                   | Without | Mean    |
| 0   | Zn                           | 61.8             | 60.3    | 61.1    | 14.2                         | 13.2    | 13.7    | 373                                    | 321     | 347     |
|   | HA                           | 63.2             | 61.7    | 62.4    | 15.3                         | 13.5    | 14.4    | 413                                    | 338     | 375     |
|   | Zn+HA                        | 65.5             | 62.7    | 64.1    | 16.2                         | 13.7    | 14.9    | 445                                    | 348     | 396     |
|   | Mean                         | 63.5             | 61.6    | 62.5 b  | 15.2                         | 13.5    | 14.3    | 411                                    | 335     | 373     |
| 6.5   | Zn                           | 62.7             | 61.2    | 61.9    | 15.1                         | 14.2    | 14.6    | 426                                    | 370     | 398     |
|   | HA                           | 64.9             | 62.9    | 63.9    | 16.3                         | 14.6    | 15.4    | 471                                    | 392     | 432     |
|   | Zn+HA                        | 67.8             | 63.8    | 65.8    | 17.0                         | 14.9    | 15.9    | 496                                    | 403     | 450     |
|   | Mean                         | 65.1             | 62.6    | 63.9 a  | 16.1                         | 14.5    | 15.3    | 465                                    | 388     | 427     |
| 13  | Zn                           | 63.5             | 62.4    | 63.0    | 16.4                         | 14.8    | 15.6    | 470                                    | 394     | 432     |
|   | HA                           | 64.8             | 63.9    | 64.3    | 17.0                         | 14.9    | 16.0    | 488                                    | 399     | 443     |
|   | Zn+HA                        | 67.9             | 64.6    | 66.2    | 17.4                         | 15.4    | 16.4    | 506                                    | 418     | 462     |
|   | Mean                         | 65.4             | 63.6    | 64.5 a  | 16.9                         | 15.0    | 16.0    | 488                                    | 404     | 446     |
| Grand Mean (bio)                                |                              | 64.7 a           | 62.6 b  | 63.7    | 16.1 a                       | 14.3 b  | 15.2    | 455                                    | 376     | 415     |
| F -test   |                              | P: **            | F: **   | B: **   | P: ns                        | F: ns   | B: *    | P: ns                                  | F: ns   | B: ns   |
|   |                              | PxF: ns          | PxB: ns | FxB: ** | PxF: ns                      | PxB: ns | FxB: ns | PxF: ns                                | PxB: ns | FxB: ns |
|   | Mean of foliar treatment (F) |                  |         |         |                              |         |         |  |         |         |
|   | Zn                           |                  | 62.0 c  |         |                              | 14.6    |         |  | 392     |         |
|   | HA                           |                  | 63.5 b  |         |                              | 15.3    |         |  | 417     |         |
|   | Zn+HA                        |                  | 65.4 a  |         |                              | 15.8    |         |  | 436     |         |

**Macronutrients content and uptake**

It can be seen from results presented in Tables 7 and 8 that N, P and K content and uptake by wheat grains increased owing to application of P fertilization and foliar spray with Zn and HA in presence or absence of biofertilization.

**Nitrogen content and uptake**

Results given in Table 7 reflect in significant increases in N content and uptake as affected by application of the treatments for wheat grains while, the effect of foliar spray with Zn and HA was significant in increasing grain N content only. The highest increase in N content and uptake (2.78% and 81.7 kg fed.<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) was recorded in the plants treated with 13

kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + (Zn + HA) under bio inoculation with PDB. be attributed to The positive effect of the PDB on nitrogen uptake can

**Table (7) N, P and K content (%) of wheat grain as affected by P and bio addition as well as foliar treatments**

| P application rate, kg P fed. <sup>-1</sup> (P) | Foliar treatment (F)         | Bio addition (b) |                   |         |         |                   |         |         |                   |         |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|
|   |                              | With             | Without N content | Mean    | With    | Without P content | Mean    | With    | Without K content | Mean    |
| 0   | Zn                           | 2.27             | 2.11              | 2.19    | 0.32    | 0.28              | 0.30    | 2.47    | 1.38              | 1.92    |
|   | HA                           | 2.44             | 2.16              | 2.30    | 0.44    | 0.31              | 0.38    | 2.70    | 1.53              | 2.11    |
|   | Zn +HA                       | 2.59             | 2.19              | 2.39    | 0.48    | 0.34              | 0.41    | 2.78    | 1.60              | 2.19    |
|   | Mean                         | 2.43             | 2.15              | 2.29    | 0.41    | 0.31              | 0.36 b  | 2.65    | 1.50              | 2.08 b  |
| 6.5   | Zn                           | 2.41             | 2.27              | 2.34    | 0.38    | 0.33              | 0.35    | 2.60    | 1.41              | 2.01    |
|   | HA                           | 2.61             | 2.33              | 2.47    | 0.52    | 0.37              | 0.44    | 2.76    | 1.60              | 2.18    |
|   | Zn +HA                       | 2.72             | 2.38              | 2.55    | 0.56    | 0.41              | 0.48    | 2.88    | 1.65              | 2.26    |
|   | Mean                         | 2.58             | 2.32              | 2.45    | 0.48    | 0.37              | 0.43 a  | 2.75    | 1.55              | 2.15 b  |
| 13  | Zn                           | 2.62             | 2.36              | 2.49    | 0.41    | 0.36              | 0.38    | 2.64    | 1.94              | 2.29    |
|   | HA                           | 2.72             | 2.39              | 2.56    | 0.61    | 0.41              | 0.51    | 2.81    | 2.11              | 2.46    |
|   | Zn +HA                       | 2.78             | 2.47              | 2.63    | 0.69    | 0.44              | 0.57    | 2.94    | 2.18              | 2.56    |
|   | Mean                         | 2.70             | 2.41              | 2.56    | 0.57    | 0.40              | 0.48 a  | 2.80    | 2.08              | 2.44 a  |
| Grand Mean (bio)                                |                              | 2.57 a           | 2.30 b            |         | 0.49 a  | 0.36 b            |         | 2.73 a  | 1.71 b            |         |
| F -test   |                              | P: ns            | F: *              | B: ns   | P: **   | F: **             | B: **   | P: **   | F: *              | B: **   |
|   |                              | PxF: ns          | Pxb: ns           | Fxb: ns | PxF: ns | Pxb: ns           | Fxb: ns | PxF: ns | Pxb: *            | Fxb: ns |
|   |                              |                  | PxFxb: ns         |         |         | PxFxb: ns         |         |         | PxFxb: ns         |         |
|   | Mean of foliar treatment (F) |                  |                   |         |         |                   |         |         |                   |         |
|   | Zn                           |                  | 2.34              |         |         | 0.34 b            |         |         | 2.07 b            |         |
|   | HA                           |                  | 2.44              |         |         | 0.44 a            |         |         | 2.25 a            |         |
|   | Zn +HA                       |                  | 2.52              |         |         | 0.49 a            |         |         | 2.34 a            |         |

**Phosphorus content and uptake**

Phosphorus content and uptake in wheat grains increased significantly as a result of P fertilization, foliar spray with Zn and HA as well as bio fertilization. The highest P content and uptake (0.69% and 20.3 kg fed.<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) were given by 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + (Zn + HA) under bio inoculation with PDB with an increase of 146% and 199%. This reflects the important role of phosphorus dissolving bacteria in releasing P from insoluble forms beside their stimulating effect (Abd El-Rasoul *et al.*, 2003). These bacteria produce growth promoting substances which could influence the plant growth that roots become able to explore more soil and more zones, where phosphate ions were chemically liberated from rock phosphate fertilizer and making P more available to the crop, (Metwally, 2000). increasing

plant growth due to increasing nutrients availability in the soil, and the promoting effect to the N supply.

These findings are in agreement with those reported by Ibrahim *et al.* (2008) and Helmy *et al.* (2013).

Effect of the treatments in increasing P-content followed the order of, 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> ≥ 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> > 0 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> for P fertilization, Zn + HA ≥ HA > Zn for foliar spray treatments and with bio > without bio inoculation representing an increase of 33.3% and 11.6% for P fertilization; 44.1% and 11.4% for foliar spray and 36.1% for bio fertilization, respectively. As for P-uptake, the pattern was: 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> ≥ 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> ≥ 0 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> for P fertilization and bio > without bio. Increases were 45.9% and 26.9% for P fertilization and 47.2% for bio fertilization, respectively.

**Table (8) N, P and K uptake (Kg fed.<sup>-1</sup>) of wheat as affected by P and bio addition as well as foliar treatments**

| P application rate, kg P fed. <sup>-1</sup> (P) | Foliar treatment (F)         | Bio addition (b) |                  |         |         |                  |         |         |                  |         |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|---------|------------------|---------|
|   |                              | With             | Without N-uptake | Mean    | With    | Without P-uptake | Mean    | With    | Without K-uptake | Mean    |
| 0   | Zn                           | 59.7             | 51.3             | 55.5    | 8.42    | 6.80             | 7.61    | 65.0    | 33.5             | 49.3    |
|   | HA                           | 66.1             | 54.0             | 60.1    | 11.9    | 7.75             | 9.83    | 73.2    | 38.3             | 55.8    |
|   | Zn +HA                       | 71.2             | 55.6             | 63.4    | 13.2    | 8.64             | 10.9    | 76.5    | 40.6             | 58.6    |
|   | Mean                         | 65.7             | 53.6             | 59.7    | 11.2    | 7.72             | 9.46 b  | 71.6    | 37.5             | 54.6    |
| 6.5   | Zn                           | 68.2             | 59.2             | 63.7    | 10.8    | 8.61             | 9.71    | 73.6    | 36.8             | 55.2    |
|   | HA                           | 75.4             | 62.7             | 69.1    | 15.0    | 10.0             | 12.5    | 79.8    | 43.0             | 61.4    |
|   | Zn +HA                       | 79.4             | 64.5             | 72.0    | 16.4    | 11.1             | 13.8    | 84.1    | 44.7             | 64.4    |
|   | Mean                         | 74.3             | 62.1             | 68.3    | 14.1    | 9.90             | 12.0ab  | 79.2    | 41.5             | 60.3    |
| 13  | Zn                           | 75.2             | 63.0             | 69.1    | 11.8    | 9.61             | 10.7    | 75.8    | 51.8             | 63.8    |
|   | HA                           | 79.2             | 64.8             | 72.0    | 17.8    | 11.1             | 14.5    | 81.8    | 57.2             | 69.5    |
|   | Zn +HA                       | 81.7             | 67.7             | 74.7    | 20.3    | 12.1             | 16.2    | 86.4    | 59.7             | 73.1    |
|   | Mean                         | 78.7             | 65.2             | 71.9    | 16.6    | 10.9             | 13.8 a  | 81.3    | 56.2             | 68.8    |
| Grand Mean (bio)                                |                              | 72.9             | 60.3             |         | 14.0 a  | 9.51 b           |         | 77.4 a  | 45.1 b           |         |
| F -test   |                              | P: ns            | F: ns            | B: ns   | P: **   | F: ns            | B: **   | P: ns   | F: ns            | B: **   |
|   |                              | PxF: ns          | Pxb: ns          | Fxb: ns | PxF: ns | Pxb: ns          | Fxb: ns | PxF: ns | Pxb: ns          | Fxb: ns |
|   |                              |                  | PxFxb: ns        |         |         | PxFxb: ns        |         |         | PxFxb: ns        |         |
|   | Mean of foliar treatment (F) |                  |                  |         |         |                  |         |         |                  |         |
|   | Zn                           |                  | 62.8             |         |         | 9.34             |         |         | 56.1             |         |
|   | HA                           |                  | 67.1             |         |         | 12.3             |         |         | 62.2             |         |
|   | Zn +HA                       |                  | 70.0             |         |         | 13.6             |         |         | 65.4             |         |

**Phosphorus use efficiency (PUE)**

Data of PUE parameter, which indicates yield produced by a unit weight of fertilizer phosphorus and also called nutrient to grain ratio, are shown in Table 9. The values of PUE markedly decreased as the phosphorus addition rates increased. P fertilization at low rate 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> was more efficient than 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> which indicates that no more P fertilizer is needed to raise the efficiency of P fertilization. This confirms that a reduction in P fertilization can be made. The

highest PUE was obtained due to addition of 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + (Zn + HA) especially, with bio inoculation of *Bacillus megatherium* which increased the efficiency use of phosphorus fertilization by 98.7% as compared with the high addition rate 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + Bio +(Zn + HA).

**Phosphorus agronomic efficiency (PAE)**

Agronomic efficiency (kg grain/ kg P applied) gave similar trend like the aforementioned parameter (PUE). Fageria *et al.* (2011) stated that phosphorus agronomic

efficiency decreased with increasing P rate. Greater agronomic efficiency at lower P rate indicates highest P utilization by wheat at a low P rate. The above two traits which behaved similarly, showed that plants absorb more P when it is of low level in the soil especially, under biofertilization with PDB. As the level of P increased the relative absorption of P went on decrease.

**Apparent phosphorus recovery (APR)**

The apparent phosphorus recovery (APR) parameter indicates the proportion of fertilizer P recovered by the plants. As shown in Table 9, mean of APR was greatest when 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> was added in combination with bio inoculation by *Bacillus megaterium* var phosphaticum compared to the other rate of 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> and gave 44.5% recovery. This means that application of a low rate of P caused an enhancing effect on plant growth through causing the roots to explore a greater soil volume and absorb more P from the soil. These results are in agreement with those obtained by Sweeney et al. (2000) and Fageria et al. (2011). As for the individual effect of treatments highest APR value (54.6 %) was obtained owing to treatment of 13 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> + (Zn +HA) under bio inoculation.

**Potassium content and uptake.**

**K content and uptake.**

The results presented in Tables 7 and 8 show that application of different P fertilization rates, foliar spray with Zn and HA as well as bio inoculation with PDB significantly increased K content. El-Kabbany and Darwish, (2002) reported that application of P increased K content in wheat grains. Sobh, et al. (2000) stressed the importance of PDB in increasing uptake of nutrients by plants. The marked effect of Zn in increasing K-

uptake is a reflection of the essential role of Zn for the activity of various enzymes caused delaying the senescence of wheat plants through increasing the levels of indole acetic acid (IAA) and chlorophyll, (Marrschner, 1998).

The highest K content and uptake of grains (2.94% and 86.4 kg fed<sup>-1</sup>) were obtained due to the addition of 13 kg P fed<sup>-1</sup> + (Zn + HA) with PDB causing 113% and 158% increases, respectively.

Regarding the effect of bio fertilization treatments, data show that inoculation with PDB gave greater K content and uptake as compared with without inoculation with increases of 59.6% and 71.6%, respectively.

**Micronutrients content and uptake**

Tables 9 and 10 clarify the content and uptake of Fe, Mn and Zn (mg/kg) in wheat grains as affected by the tested treatment. Fe, Mn and Zn contents were increased significantly and the treatment of 13 kg P fed<sup>-1</sup> + (Zn + HA) with PDB was superior to the other treatments. This promoting effect could be related to the P supplementary effect of PDB to plants due to the role of these bacteria in improving the availability of soil elements (Table 2) which were likely attributed to several reasons: 1) Enhancing the chelation of metal ions by humic acid, organic legands and / or other organic function groups which may promote the mobility of metal from solid to liquid phase in the soil environment and 2) Lowering the redox statues of iron and manganese, leading to reduction of higher Fe<sup>3+</sup> & Mn<sup>4+</sup> to Fe<sup>2+</sup> and Mn<sup>2+</sup> and / or transformation of insoluble chelated forms into more soluble ions, Paramasivam et al (2005).

**Table 9. PUE, PAE (kg kg<sup>-1</sup>P) and APR (%) of wheat grains as influenced by P fertilization, foliar spray with Zn and HA as well as bio fertilization**

| P application rate, kg P fed. <sup>-1</sup> (P) | Foliar treatment (F) | Phosphorus use efficiency (PUE) |         | Bio addition (b) Phosphorus agronomic efficiency (PAE) |         | Apparent phosphorus recovery (APR) |         |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------|--|---------|------------------------------------|---------|
|   |                      | With                            | Without | With   | Without | With                               | Without |
| 0   | Zn                   | --                              | --      | --   | --      | --                                 | --      |
|   | HA                   | --                              | --      | --   | --      | --                                 | --      |
|   | Zn+HA                | --                              | --      | --   | --      | --                                 | --      |
| 6.5   | Zn                   | 435                             | 402     | 3.08   | 2.77    | 36.6                               | 27.8    |
|   | HA                   | 445                             | 414     | 2.77   | 2.92    | 47.7                               | 34.6    |
|   | Zn+HA                | 449                             | 417     | 2.62   | 2.62    | 49.2                               | 37.8    |
|   | Mean                 | 443                             | 411     | 2.82   | 2.77    | 44.5                               | 33.4    |
| 13  | Zn                   | 221                             | 205     | 1.85   | 1.85    | 26.0                               | 21.6    |
|   | HA                   | 224                             | 208     | 1.54   | 1.62    | 45.4                               | 25.8    |
|   | Zn+HA                | 226                             | 211     | 1.46   | 1.54    | 54.6                               | 26.6    |
|   | Mean                 | 224                             | 208     | 1.62   | 1.67    | 42.0                               | 24.6    |

**Table (10) Fe, Mn and Zn content (mg/kg) of wheat grain as affected by P and bio addition as well as foliar treatments**

| P application rate, kg P fed. <sup>-1</sup> (P) | Foliar treatment (F) | Fe content |         |         | Bio addition (b) Mn content |         |         | Zn content |         |         |
|---|----------------------|------------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|---------|
|   |                      | With       | Without | Mean    | With                        | Without | Mean    | With       | Without | Mean    |
| 0   | Zn                   | 71.4       | 69.4    | 70.4    | 41.6                        | 39.9    | 40.7    | 25.0       | 20.4    | 22.7    |
|   | HA                   | 81.8       | 72.0    | 76.9    | 42.7                        | 40.6    | 41.7    | 26.4       | 21.7    | 24.0    |
|   | Zn+HA                | 83.1       | 73.6    | 78.3    | 43.0                        | 41.0    | 42.0    | 27.2       | 22.0    | 24.6    |
|   | Mean                 | 78.8       | 71.6    | 75.2 b  | 42.4                        | 40.5    | 41.5 c  | 26.2       | 21.4    | 23.8 b  |
| 6.5   | Zn                   | 72.9       | 70.6    | 71.8    | 44.8                        | 40.7    | 42.7    | 25.5       | 21.0    | 23.2    |
|   | HA                   | 83.2       | 72.8    | 78.0    | 45.7                        | 41.5    | 43.6    | 27.2       | 22.4    | 24.8    |
|   | Zn+HA                | 84.4       | 74.4    | 79.4    | 46.1                        | 42.5    | 44.3    | 28.4       | 22.8    | 25.6    |
|   | Mean                 | 80.2       | 72.6    | 76.4 a  | 45.5                        | 41.6    | 43.5 b  | 27.1       | 22.0    | 24.6 a  |
| 13  | Zn                   | 73.0       | 72.6    | 72.8    | 47.6                        | 42.4    | 45.0    | 25.9       | 21.9    | 23.9    |
|   | HA                   | 83.7       | 73.6    | 78.7    | 48.1                        | 43.8    | 46.0    | 27.6       | 22.5    | 25.0    |
|   | Zn+HA                | 84.5       | 75.1    | 79.8    | 48.2                        | 44.0    | 46.1    | 28.8       | 23.3    | 26.0    |
|   | Mean                 | 80.4       | 73.8    | 77.1 a  | 48.0                        | 43.4    | 45.7 a  | 27.4       | 22.6    | 25.0 a  |
| Grand Mean (bio)                                |                      | 79.8 a     | 72.7 b  |         | 45.3 a                      | 41.8 b  |         | 26.9 a     | 22.0 b  |         |
| F -test   |                      | P: **      | F: **   | B: **   | P: **                       | F: **   | B: **   | P: **      | F: **   | B: **   |
|   |                      | PxF: ns    | Pxb: ns | Fxb: ** | PxF: ns                     | Pxb: ** | Fxb: ns | PxF: ns    | Pxb: ns | Fxb: ns |
| Mean of foliar treatment (F)                    |                      |            |         |         |                             |         |         |            |         |         |
| Zn  |                      |            | 71.7 c  |         |                             | 42.8 b  |         |            | 23.3 c  |         |
| HA  |                      |            | 77.8 b  |         |                             | 43.7 a  |         |            | 24.6 b  |         |
| Zn+HA   |                      |            | 79.2 a  |         |                             | 44.1 a  |         |            | 25.4 a  |         |

The main effect of P fertilization followed the sequence: 13 kg P fed<sup>-1</sup> > 6.5 kg P fed<sup>-1</sup> > 0 kg P fed<sup>-1</sup> for Fe and Zn content as well as 13 kg P fed<sup>-1</sup> > 6.5 kg P fed<sup>-1</sup> > 0 kg P fed<sup>-1</sup> for Mn content. The pattern was: Zn + HA > HA > Zn for Fe and Zn content as well as Zn + HA ≥ HA > Zn for Mn content as affected by foliar spray treatment. The main effect regarding bio fertilization shows with bio > without bio; with

respective increases of 9.77%, 8.37% and 22.3% for Fe, Mn and Zn content, respectively.

As for Fe, Mn and Zn uptake, the increases were in significant due to P fertilization and foliar spray with Zn and HA while, the bio inoculation effect was significant. Highest Fe, Mn and Zn uptake of (248, 142 and 84.6 kg fed.<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) due to the treatment of 13 kg P fed<sup>-1</sup> + (Zn + HA) with PDB with an increases of 46.7%, 46.5% and 70.2%, respectively.

**Table (11) Fe, Mn and Zn uptake (g fed.<sup>-1</sup>) of wheat as affected by P and bio addition as well as foliar treatments**

| P application rate, kg P fed. <sup>-1</sup> (P) | Foliar treatment (F)         | Bio addition (b) |                   |         |         |                   |         |         |                   |         |
|---|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|
|   |                              | With             | Without Fe-uptake | Mean    | With    | Without Mn-uptake | Mean    | With    | Without Zn-uptake | Mean    |
| 0   | Zn                           | 188              | 169               | 179     | 109     | 96.9              | 103     | 65.8    | 49.7              | 57.8    |
|   | HA                           | 222              | 180               | 201     | 116     | 101               | 109     | 71.4    | 54.2              | 62.8    |
|   | Zn +HA                       | 228              | 187               | 208     | 118     | 104               | 111     | 74.8    | 55.8              | 65.3    |
|   | Mean                         | 213              | 179               | 196     | 114     | 101               | 108     | 70.7    | 53.2              | 62.0    |
| 6.5   | Zn                           | 206              | 184               | 195     | 127     | 106               | 117     | 72.2    | 54.7              | 63.5    |
|   | HA                           | 240              | 196               | 218     | 132     | 112               | 122     | 78.7    | 60.3              | 69.5    |
|   | Zn +HA                       | 246              | 202               | 224     | 135     | 115               | 125     | 83.0    | 61.7              | 72.4    |
|   | Mean                         | 231              | 194               | 212     | 131     | 111               | 121     | 78.0    | 58.9              | 68.4    |
| 13  | Zn                           | 210              | 194               | 202     | 137     | 113               | 125     | 74.4    | 58.5              | 66.5    |
|   | HA                           | 244              | 199               | 222     | 140     | 119               | 130     | 80.4    | 60.9              | 70.7    |
|   | Zn +HA                       | 248              | 206               | 227     | 142     | 121               | 132     | 84.6    | 63.9              | 74.3    |
|   | Mean                         | 234              | 200               | 217     | 140     | 118               | 129     | 79.8    | 61.1              | 70.5    |
| Grand Mean (bio)                                |                              | 226 a            | 191 b             |         | 128     | 110               |         | 76.1 a  | 57.7 b            |         |
| F -test   |                              | P: ns            | F: ns             | B: **   | P: ns   | F: ns             | B: *    | P: ns   | F: ns             | B: **   |
|   |                              | PxF: ns          | Pxb: ns           | Fxb: ns | PxF: ns | Pxb: ns           | Fxb: ns | PxF: ns | Pxb: ns           | Fxb: ns |
|   |                              |                  | PxFxb: ns         |         |         | PxFxb: ns         |         |         | PxFxb: ns         |         |
|   | Mean of foliar treatment (F) |                  |                   |         |         |                   |         |         |                   |         |
|   | Zn                           |                  | 192               |         |         | 115               |         |         | 62.6              |         |
|   | HA                           |                  | 214               |         |         | 120               |         |         | 67.7              |         |
|   | Zn +HA                       |                  | 220               |         |         | 123               |         |         | 70.6              |         |

**SAMMARY**

In calcareous soils there are many factors that affect P and Zn availability, such as high pH, high CaCO<sub>3</sub> and low organic matter (Alloway, 2008). The lower bioavailability of P and Zn in soil directly affects grain P concentration. (Cakmak, 2002).

The addition of humic acid with P produced significant and economical wheat yield with maximum nutrient accumulation and increased crop productivity by increased nutrient uptake. Phosphorus is of high mobility in plants and when deficient it may be translocate from old plant tissue to young active growing areas.

On the other hand, zinc absorption by plants involves a number of steps (Lasat *et al.*, 1998). First, adequate Zn bioavailability was necessary in the rhizosphere. There are two pathways for Zn to move from the soil solution to the rhizosphere, mass flow and diffusion. In calcareous soils, diffusion is the dominant pathway for Zn to reach root zones. However, diffusion of Zn in calcareous soils is low due to low soil moisture, low organic matter and high pH (Alloway, 2008). Consequently, there is not sufficient available Zn reaching the rhizosphere. However, the combined of humic acids with P or Zn were more effective on translocation, agronomic efficiency (AE) and apparent nutrient recovery (ANR) for wheat plants which might be due to the availability of soil microorganisms to convert the unavailable forms of nutrients elements to available forms (Ali *et al.*, 2011).

**CONCLUSION**

From the above mentioned results and discussion it can be concluded that, use of phosphorus materials such as super phosphate enriched with Zn and humic acids and inoculated with bacteria-dissolving phosphorus, maximizes the benefit from it and increases the released of phosphorus and other nutrients in calcareous soil. Enrichment of humic substances with the macro or micronutrients was more economic and secures not only a waste of money, but also keep the environment clean. Inoculation of wheat grains with bio-fertilizer (*Bacillus megaterium* var. phosphaticum) and foliar spraying with Zn and humic acid enhanced the efficiency use of P fertilization in calcareous soil alleviate the adverse effects of CaCO<sub>3</sub> stress on soil and plant and hence increased the wheat productivity and grains quality. Utilization of phosphorus fertilizer decreased to 49 % by integrating biological and low mineral phosphorus fertilizers rate without yield loss.

Increasing the productivity of wheat crop with good grain quality under newly reclaimed calcareous soil conditions of Egypt was achieved not only by using high rates of P-mineral fertilizers, but also by better management of its application to the soil through a moderate level of 6.5 kg P fed.<sup>-1</sup> and inoculation of grains with PDB. On the other hand, such management will decrease the enormous consumption of chemical P-fertilizers and meanwhile will minimize health and environmental risks which are prospectively fulfilled. Also, it could be consider as a strategy to achieve sustainable agricultural in calcareous soil.

## REFERENCES

- Abd El- Rasoul, Sh.M., El-Saadany, S., Rizik, N.S. and El-Tabey, H. 2003. Effect of phosphate fertilization and P-dissolving organisms (P.D.O) on wheat plants grown a sandy soil in relation to their economic benefits. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*; 18 (4A): 374–390.
- Abedi, T., Alemzadeh, A. and Kazemeini, S.A. 2010. Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on grain yield and protein banding pattern of wheat. *Australian J. Crop Sci.*, 4(6): 384–389.
- Abou El-Yazeid, A. and Abou-Aly, H.E. 2011. Enhancing growth, productivity and quality of tomato plants using phosphate solubilizing microorganisms. *Australian J. Basic and Appl Sci.*, 5(7): 371–379.
- Alloway, B.J. 2008. *Zinc in Soils and Crop Nutrition*. Second edition, published by IZA and IFA Brussels, Belgium and Paris, France.
- Ali, L., K.M., Nadia, A. Mohamed and T.A. El-Maghraby, 2011. Effect of P and Zn fertilization yield and nutrient uptake in calcareous soil. *J. Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mansoura Univ.*, 2(5): 555–569.
- Angas, P., Lampurlanes, J. and C.C. Martinez, 2006. Tillage and N fertilization effects on N dynamics and barley yield under semiarid Mediterranean conditions. *Soil and Tillage Res.* 87: 59–71
- Ashmaye, S.H., Shaban, Kh.A. and Abd El-Kader, M.G. 2008. Effects of mineral nitrogen, sulphur, organic and bio-fertilizer on maize productivity in saline soil of Sahl El-Tina. *Minufiya, J. Agric. Res.*, 33(1): 195–209.
- Cakmak, I. 2002. Plant nutrition research: Priorities to meet human needs for food in sustainable ways. *Plant Soil*, 247:3-24.
- Cakmak, I. 2008. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: agronomic or genetic biofortification. *Plant Soil* 302:1–17
- CAPMAS, 2014. *Statistical year report, 2012/2013*. Central Agency for Public Mobilization and Statistics. Egypt, December, 2014.
- Craswell, E.T. and Godwin, D.C. 1984. The efficiencies of nitrogen fertilizer applied to cereals in different climates. *Adv. Plant Nutr.* 1: 1-55
- Echeverria, H.E. and Videla, C.C. 1998. Eficiencia fisiologica y de utilizacion de nitrogeno en trigo en la region pampeana Argentina. *Ciencia del suelo* 16: 83–87
- El-Kabbany, E.A.Y. and Darwish, A.A. 2002. Evaluating the performance of biofertilizer cereal in wheat under different levels of nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization. *Egypt. J. Appl.Sci.*, 17, 390–402.
- Ewees, M.S.A. and Abdel Hafeez, A.A.A. 2010. Response of Maize grain to a partial substitution of N-mineral by applying organic manure, bio-inoculation and elemental sulphur as an alternative strategy to avoid the possible chemical pollution. *Egypt. J. Soil Sci.*, 50(1): 141–166.
- Fageria, N.K., Moreira, A. and Castro, C. 2011. Response of soybean to phosphorus fertilization in Brazilian oxisol. *Communications in soil and Plant Analysis* 42 (22): 2716–2723.
- Helmy, A.M. 2008. Evaluation the performance of P-dissolving bacteria and N<sub>2</sub>-fixing microorganismis as biofertilizers on wheat grown on a sand soil under P and Zn addition. *Egypt. J. Appl. Sci.*, 23(6A): 326–344.
- Helmy, A.M., Shaban, Kh.A. and Abd El-Kader, M.G. 2013. Enhancing the efficiency of rock phosphate in saline soil as an environmental friendly alternative for P-manufactured fertilizers and its effect on maize quality and productivity. *J.Soil Sci. and Agric. Eng., Mansoura Univ.*, 4(10): 1053–1071.
- Hussain, S. and Maqsood, M.A. 2010. Increasing grain zinc and yield of wheat for the developing world: A review. *Emir. J. Food Agric.* 22:326–339.
- Ibrahim, A.M., Mostafa, M.M., El-Garhi, A.I. and Youssef, N.N. 2008. Macronutrients uptake by maize plant and their availability in the soil as affected by organic fertilization under different sources and levels of nitrogen. *Zagazig J. Agric. Res.*, 35(5): 1127–1142.
- Jagshoran, Sh. R. K. and Tripathi, S.C. 2004. New varieties and production. *The Hindu, Survey of Indian Agric.*, 33-35.
- Kowsar, J., Aabid, M.R., Boswal, M.V. and Aijaz H.G. 2014. Effect of biofertilizer and organic fertilizer on morpho-physiological parameters associated with grain yield with emphasis for further improvement in wheat yield production. *Intl. J. Agri. Crop Sci.*,7(4): 178–184.
- Lasat, M.M., Baker, A.J.M. and Kochian, L.V. 1998. Altered Zn compartmentation in the root symplasm and stimulated Zn absorption into the leaf as mechanisms involved in Zn hyperaccumulation in *Thlaspi caerulescens*. *Plant Physiology*, 118:875-883.
- Leytem, A. 2008. *Phosphorus Chemistry in Soils and Response to Fertilizers and Manures*. USDA/ARS PowerPoint presented at Idaho Nutrient Management Conference.
- Marschner, H. 1998. *Mineral Nutrition in Higher Plants*. Academic Press, Harcourt Brace. Jovanovisch Publisher, London.
- Martínez-Viveros, O., Jorquera, M.A., Crowley, D.E., Gajardo, G., Mora, M.L. 2010. Mechanisms and practical considerations involved in plant growth promotion by rhizobacteria. *J Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 10 (3): 293–319.
- Metwally, S.G. 2000. Fertilizer use efficiency of wheat as affected by microbial inoculation and soil conditions. Ph.D. Soils Dept., Fac. of Agric., Mansoura Univ.
- Mohammed, S.S. 2004. Assessment of the relative effectiveness for some organic materials conjuacted with mineral nitrogen on soil fertility status, yield and quality of wheat grown on a newly cultivated soil. *Egypt J. Appl. Sci.* 19(3): 298–310.
- Nour El-Dein, M. and Salama, S.A. 2006. Significance of biofertilization for improving yield, chemical and technological properties of wheat plants grown in saline soil. *Zagazig j. Agric. Res.*, 33(3): 501–515.
- Oliveira, E.M.M., Ruiz, H.A., Alvarez, V.H., Ferreira, P.A., Costa, F.O. and Almeida, I.C. 2010. Nutrient supply by mass flow and diffusion to maize plants in response to soil aggregate size and water potential. *Rev.Bras Cienc. Solo*, 34:317–327.
- Page, A.L., Miller, R.H. and Keeney, D.R. 1982. "Methods of Chemical Analysis". Part 2: Chemical and microbiological properties (Second Edition). American Society of Agronomy, Inc. and Sci. Soc. of America, Inc. Publishers, Madison, Wisconsin U.S.A.
- Paramasivam, S., Sajwan, K.S. and Alva, A.K. 2005. Organic amendments to improve soil productivity and nutrient cycling. C.J. Li et al., (eds), *Plant nutrition for food security, human health and environmental protection* 1090-1091.

- Rana, A., Joshi, M., Prasanna, R., Shivay, Y.S. and Nain, L. 2012. Biofertilization of wheat through inoculation of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and cyanobacteria. Euro. J. Soil Biology, 50: 118–126.
- Russel, E. W. 1973. "Soil conditions and plant growth". 10<sup>th</sup> Ed., Longman Group Ltd., London.
- Ryan, J., Garabet, S., Harmsen, K. and Rashid, A. 1996. "A soil and plant analysis". Manual Adapted for the West Asia and North Africa Region. ICARDA, Aleppo, Syria, 140.
- Saric, M.R.K., Cupina, T. and Geric, I. 1967. Chlorophyll determination. Univ. Noven Sadu Praktikum is Kiziologize Bilijaka Beogard, Haucna, Anjiga.
- Shivay Y.S., Kumar, D. and Prasad, R. 2008. Effect of zinc-enriched urea on productivity, zinc uptake and efficiency of an aromatic rice-wheat cropping system. Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys, 81: 229–243.
- Sobh, M.M., Genaidy, S. and Hegazy, M. 2000. Comparative studies on mineral and Bio-fertilization for some main crops in northern delta soils. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 27:171 – 179.
- Steel, R.G.D. and Torrie, J.H. 1997. Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach. McGraw Hill Company, New York, 187-188.
- Sweeney, D.W., Granade, G.V., Eversmeyer, M.G. and Whitney, D.A. 2000. Phosphorus, potassium, chloride and fungicide effects on wheat yield and leaf rust severity. J. Plant Nutrition, 23: 1267 – 1281.
- Tan, K. H. 2003. Humic matter in soil environment, principles and controversies, Marcel Dekker, Inc. 270 Madison Avenue, New York, USA.
- Thomas, A.O., Ashok, K.A. and David, V.C. 2009. Citrus fertilizer management on calcareous soils. University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611.
- Trevisan, S., Francioso, O., Quaggiotti, S. and Nardi, S. 2010. Humic substances biological activity at the plant soil interface. Plant Signaling Behavior, 5(6): 635 – 643.
- Turan, M.A., Asik, B.B., Katkat, A.V. and Celik, H. 2011. The effects of soil-applied humic substances to the dry weight and mineral nutrient uptake of maize plants under soil salinity conditions. Not. Bot. Hort. Agrobot. Cluj., 39(1): 171-177.
- Upadhyay, A. and Srivastava S. 2014. Mechanism of zinc resistance in a plant-growth promoting Pseudomonas fluorescens strain. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 30. 2273-2282.
- Van Hees, P.A.W., Jones, D.L., Nyberg, L., Holmstrom, S.J.M., Godbold, D.L. and Lundström, U.S. 2005. Modeling low molecular weight organic acid dynamic in forest soils. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 37:517-531.
- Whiting, S.N., de Souza, M.P. and Terry, N. 2001. Rhizosphere bacteria mobilize Zn for hyperaccumulation by *Thlaspi caerulescens*. Environmental Science and Technology. 15, 3144-3150.
- Wortman, S.E., Davis, A.S., Schutte, B.J. and Lindquist, J.L. 2011. Integrating management of soil nitrogen and weeds. Weed Sci., 59: 162 170.
- Yassen, A., Abou El-Nour, E.A. and Shedeed, S. 2010. Response of wheat to foliar spray with urea and micronutrients. J. Am. Sci., 6(9): 14 – 22.
- Youssef, G.H., Osman, M.A. and Seddik, W.M.A. 2009. A comparison of phosphate forms and rates as affected by inoculation with phosphatidissolving bacteria in sandy soil 4<sup>th</sup> Conference on Recent Technologies in Fac. Agric., Cairo University.
- Zaki, R.N. and Radwan, T.E.E. 2006. Impact of microorganisms activity on phosphorus availability and its uptake by Faba Bean plants grown on some Newly Reclaimed Soils in Egypt. Inter. J. of Agric. and Biology 8: 221 -225.
- Zhang, Y., Song, Q.C., Yan, J., Tang, J.W., Zhao, R.R., Zhang, Y.Q. 2010. Mineral element concentrations in grains of Chinese wheat cultivars. Euphytica 174:303–313.
- Zhuang, X.L., Chen, J., Shim, H., Bai, Z. 2007. New advances in plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for bioremediation. Environ. Int. 33, 406–413.

## كفاءة التسميد الفوسفاتي للقمح تحت ظروف الأراضي الجيرية تحت تأثير الرش بالزنك ، حامض الهيوميك والتلقيح الحيوي (*Bacillus megatherium* sp.)

مجدى محمد نيازى ، حمدى عبدالمنعم خفاجى و رانيا جمال الدين هلال  
معهد بحوث الأراضي والمياه والبيئة – مركز البحوث الزراعية – الجيزة - مصر

تم دراسة تأثير التسميد الحيوي ببيكتريا (*Bacillus megatherium* sp.) و الرش بالزنك وحامض الهيوميك علي رفع كفاءة التسميد الفوسفاتي (سوبر فوسفات) بمعدلات مختلفة في الأرض الجيرية بمحطة النوبارية للبحوث الزراعية - محافظة الأسكندرية - جمهورية مصر العربية خلال موسم الشتاء لعامين متتاليين هما 2014/2015 و 2015/2016 على نبات القمح صنف سخا 93 و تأثير ذلك علي إنتاجية القمح وجودة الحبوب و امتصاصه لبعض العناصر الكبرى و الصغرى وتحسين بعض صفات التربة و قد تم إضافة السوبر فوسفات بمعدلات 0 ، 6.5 و 13 كجم فو للفدان وتم الرش بالزنك وحامض الهيوميك مع التلقيح أو عدم التلقيح بالبيكتريا المذبية للفوسفات. وقد أظهرت النتائج أن هناك زيادة معنوية في محتوى التربة من العناصر الكبرى و الصغرى الميسرة وذلك بعد الحصاد نتيجة للمعاملات تحت الدراسة. أدي الرش بالزنك و حامض الهيوميك مع التلقيح الحيوي و المعدلات المختلفة من التسميد الفوسفاتي إلي زيادة المحصول ومساهماته ، وزن 1000 حبة ، المحتوى و الممتص بواسطة الحبوب من عناصر ن ، بو ، حديد ، منجنيز و زنك (متوسط الموسمين). أدت أيضاً المعاملات تحت الدراسة إلي زيادة الكلوروفيل الكلي و الكربوهيدرات و كذلك البروتين الكلي ومحصوله بواسطة الحبوب. أعلى كفاءة محصولية (82.7 %) ، و دليل الحصاد (44.7%) تم التحصل عليها نتيجة معاملة الإضافة (6.5 كجم فو فدان<sup>-1</sup> + الرش بالزنك) مع التسميد الحيوي و (6.5 كجم فو فدان<sup>-1</sup> + الرش بالزنك)، علي التوالي. أنخفضت قيم الفسفور الكلي المستعاد ، APR ، و كفاءة الفسفور المستخدم PUE والكفاءة المحصولية للفسفور PAE مع زيادة معدل السوبر فوسفات المستخدم خاصة عند أضافته مع التسميد الحيوي وقد أعطت النباتات المعاملة بمعدل (6.5 كجم فو فدان<sup>-1</sup>) مع الرش بالزنك أو حامض الهيوميك أو كلاهما معاً في وجود التسميد الحيوي أعلى متوسطات للكفاءات السابقة للفسفور وهو ما يوضح دور التسميد الحيوي في خفض الكمية المستخدمة من التسميد الفوسفاتي المعدني دون خفض الإنتاجية من الشعير معنويًا. كانت المعاملة (سوبر فوسفات بمعدل 13 كيلوجرام P فدان<sup>-1</sup> + الرش بالزنك و حامض الهيوميك معاً) مع التلقيح بالبيكتريا المذبية للفوسفات هي أحسن معاملة مقارنة بباقي المعاملات تحت الدراسة لمعظم القياسات و التقديرات تحت الدراسة للقمح النامي تحت ظروف الأراضي الجيرية و أن كان في أغلبها بدون فرق معنوي مع المعدل المنخفض 13 كيلوجرام P فدان<sup>-1</sup>.

